

Ecological Site Description

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

ECOLOGICAL SITE DESCRIPTION

ECOLOGICAL SITE CHARACTERISTICS

Site Type: Rangeland

Site Name: Clayey Unstable

Quercus / Baccharis pilularis - Toxicodendron diversilobum / Bromus - Avena fatua (oak / dwarf chaparral broom - Pacific poison oak / brome - wild oat)

Site ID: R015XI007CA

Major Land Resource Area: 015 - Central California Coast Range

Physiographic Features

Land Form:

This site can be found along the coast range from Mendocino County to the San Francisco Bay but is mostly in Mendocino County. This site occurs on hills and mountains with slopes of 5 to 75 percent. These slopes are unstable and are characterized by slips, slides, hummocky relief, sag ponds, seeps and springs.

	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
Elevation (feet):	50	4000
Slope (percent):	5	75
Water Table Depth (inches):		
Flooding:		
Frequency:		
Duration:	None	None
Ponding:		
Depth (inches):		
Frequency:		
Duration:	None	None
Runoff Class:	Medium	High
Aspect:	No Influence on	this site

(1) Hill

Climatic Features

The climate on this site is characterized by mild cool winters. The average January temperature is about 46 degrees F, the average July temperature is about 73 degrees F, and the mean annual temperature is about 54 degrees to 59 degrees F. The average annual precipitation ranges from 30 to 60 inches, with most falling as rain from October to April. Average monthly precipitation is presented in the maximum monthly precipitation row in the table below.

				Minimum			<u>Maximum</u>					
Frost-free period (days):				12	25		27	270				
Freeze-free period (days):				0			0					
Mean annual precipitation (inches):				30	0.0		60	.0				
Monthly precipitation (inches) and temperature (°F):												
	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>Apr</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	<u>Aug</u>	<u>Sep</u>	<u>Oct</u>	Nov	<u>Dec</u>
Precip. Min.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Precip. Max.	8.2	6.0	5.0	2.6	0.69	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.56	2.28	5.6	7.0
Temp. Min.	38.0	39.0	41.0	43.0	47.0	52.0	57.0	56.0	54.0	48.0	41.0	37.0
Temp. Max.	55.0	58.0	62.0	67.0	73.0	80.0	87.0	87.0	84.0	75.0	62.0	55.0
Climate Station	<u>s:</u>											

Influencing Water Features

Intermittent and permanent streams drain this site.

Wetland

Description: System Subsystem Class

Representative Soil Features

The soils on this ecological site are very deep, and well drained. These soils formed in material weathered from chloritic schist and other sedimentary and metamorphic rocks. The soil is dry in all parts between depths of 6 to 19 inches from May to October. It is moist in all parts from December to April. The mean annual soil temperature is 59 degrees to 64 degrees F. The mineralogy is mixed with high amounts of chlorite, vermiculite, and mica.

CA687 225 Yorktree-Hopland-Woodin Complex, 30 To 50 Percent Slopes 40,417 3.7

CA687 226 Yorktree-Hopland-Woodin Complex, 50 To 75 Percent Slopes 14,341 1.3

CA687 227 Yorktree-Yorkville Loams, 15 To 30 Percent Slopes 2,770 0.3

CA687 228 Yorktree-Yorkville Loams, 30 To 50 Percent Slopes 27,715 2.5

CA687 229 Yorkville Loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes

CA687 230 Yorkville Loam, 30 to 50 percent slopes

CA687 231 Yorkville-Hopland Loams, 30 to 50 Percent Slopes

CA687 232 Yorkville-Squawrock-Witherell Complex, 15 to 30 percent slopes

CA687 233 Yorkville-Squawrock-Witherell Complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes

CA687 234 Yorkville-Yorktree-Squawrock Complex, 15 to 30 percent slopes

CA687 235 Yorkville-Yorktree-Squawrock Complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes

CA694 241 Yorkville-Hopland Association, 30 to 50 percent slopes

CA694 242 Yorkville-Squawrock-Witherell Complex, 15 to 30 percent slopes

CA694 243 Yorkville-Squawrock-Witherell Complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes

CA694 244 Yorkville-Yorktree-Squawrock Complex, 15 to 30 percent slopes

CA694 245 Yorkville-Yorktree-Squawrock Complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes

CA097 Yue Yorkville Clay Loam, 5 to 30 percent slopes

CA097 Yuf Yorkville Clay Loam, 30 to 50 percent slopes

CA097 Yvf Yorkville-Laughlin Complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes

CA097 Ywf Yorkville-Suther Complex, 0 to 50 percent slopes

CA097 Ywg Yorkville Suther Complex, 50 to 75 percent slopes

CA033 253 Yorkville-Pomo Complex, 15 to 50 percent slopes

CA033 254 Yorkville-Yorktree-Squawrock Association, 15 to 50 percent slopes

CA033 255 Yorkville Variant Clay Loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes

CA021 Yve Yorkville Clay Loam, 30 to 65 percent slopes

Predominant Parent Materials:

Kind: Residuum Origin: Schist

Surface Texture:

Subsurface Texture Group:

•	Minimum	Maximum
	William	Maximum
Surface Fragments <= 3" (% Cover):		
Surface Fragments > 3" (% Cover):		
Subsurface Fragments <=3" (% Volume):		
Subsurface Fragments > 3" (% Volume):		
Drainage Class: Moderately well drained To Well drained		

<u>Drainage Class:</u> Moderately well drained To Well drained

Permeability Class: Slow To Very slow

	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
Depth (inches):	45	60
Electrical Conductivity (mmhos/cm):		
Sodium Absorption Ratio:		
Calcium Carbonate Equivalent (percent):		
Soil Reaction (1:1 Water):		
Soil Reaction (0.01M CaCl2):		
Available Water Capacity (inches):	9.8	9.8

Plant Communities

Ecological Dynamics of the Site

Before European settlement, the natural plant community for this ecological site is assumed to have been a mixed oak woodland or savanna with a grass understory. A shrub layer may have been present depending on fire frequency. On this site, the woodland or savanna is frequently intermixed in a mosaic with open grasslands. The grassland and understory of this site was dominated by native annual and perennial grasses and forbs. The reference state for this ecological site is similar to its pre-European state; however, density of shrubs may be different due to fire suppression and annual grasses and forbs now dominate the understory.

The reference state for this ecological site is a mixed oak woodland with an annual dominated understory. Blue oak coast live oak (Q. agrifolia), and black oak (Q. kelloggii) dominate the mix but valley oak (Q. lobata), canyon live oak (Q.chrysolepsis), Oregon white oak (Q. garryana) and California laural (Umbellularia

californica) and toyon (Heteromeles arbutifolia)may also be present. Coyote bush (Baccharis pilularis) and poison oak (Toxicodendron diversiloba) may be present or there may be no shrubs. Annual grass dominated patches (non woody) are interspersed in a mosaic with woodland or savanna patches. Understory species and grassland patches are frequently dominated by bromes (Bromus spp), wild oats (Avena spp), and filaree (Erodium spp). The native perennial, purple needlegrass (Nasella pulcra), may be present in small amounts.

Competition between the species that germinate or resprout following fire or other disturbances, mediated by weather and soil moisture conditions, greatly influence the vegetation states present in the oak-woodlands. On some soils, geological substrates, and aspects; tree, shrub and grass patches are all possible vegetations states. Frequent fire tends to result in vegetation states dominated by an annual grass or oak-annual grass community. Protection from fire and grazing results in a gradual increase in shrubs contributing to increased fuel loads. As the shrub canopy reaches into the tree canopy the potential for crown fires increases. Crown fires can top-kill oak trees.

Blue oak trees are long-lived species that evolved under low severity understory fires that naturally occur at intervals of about 25 years (McClaran 1986). Many mature blue oaks range from 100 to 200 years old but some blue oaks have been aged at more than 400 years (McClaran 1986). Blue oak is adapted to fire by sprouting from the root crown but blue oak resprouting declines with age (Burns and Honkala 1990). Blue oak is a vigorous sprouter in some locations and not in others. Fire top-kills blue oak seedlings and saplings. Black oak is a vigorous resprouter following fire. Valley oak trees are fire resistant, while top-killed seedlings and saplings sprout from the root crown. Canyon live oak typically sprouts prolifically from the stump or rootcrown after the trunk or crown is marginally damaged by fire. Coast live oak is exceptionally fire resistant (Plumb 1979, Muick and Bartolome 1987).

The shrub layer is a mixture of species that resprout from the roots and crown or are stimulated to germinate by fire. Coyote bush is a prolific seed producer. Poison oak resprouts following fire. Frequent burning can remove these species from the site.

The historic herbaceous understory layer of this ecological site is unknown, having been replaced by annual grasses and forbs of European origin during the European settlement of California (Burcham 1957, Bartolome 1987, Baker 1989). The tree and shrub layers remain intact and fire is a normal component of these plant communities that were maintained by the Native American population to provide food and fiber (Blackburn and Anderson 1993). Prior to European settlement fire frequency was approximately every 25 years (McClaran 1986). Fires were more frequent (5 to 15 years) following settlement before and after the gold rush (Pavlik 1991, Mensing 1992, Stephens 1997). The intentional use of fire by ranchers and others to reduce brush from 1850 to the 1950s contributed to this frequent fire return interval. While prescribed burning continues today, foothill subdivision, urbanization and air quality concerns have reduced the use of fire as a management tool. Today fire frequency is more likely to be on the order of 25 to 50 years. Prescribed burning, mechanical and chemical brush control have been used to remove the shrub and tree layers but have been used infrequently since the beginning of the 21st century (Murphy and Crampton 1964, Murphy and Berry 1973).

Species composition and productivity of the annual dominated understory grasses and forbs vary greatly within and between years and are greatly influenced by the timing and amount of precipitation and the amount of residual dry matter (George et al. 2001a). Grass dominated years occur when rainfall is well-distributed or greater than normal. Filaree years occur in low rainfall years or when residual dry matter (Bartolome et al. 2002) is low. Drought, heavy grazing and fire result in filaree dominated understory. Following a fire filaree may dominate the site for up to three years (Parsons and Stohlgren 1989, McDougald et al 1991). Medusahead (Taeniatherum caput-medusa)is common on this site because of it's higher clay content and higher precipitation than more southern sites in this MLRA. There is potential for goatgrass (Aegilops triuncialis) and yellow starthistle (Centaurea solstitialis) to invade. Some experts have suggested that medusahead and other invasive species may gradually adapt to new sites (Rice et al 2006).

Oak Woodland Plant Community

This ecological site is dominated by oak woodland, savanna and open grassland patches. The oak woodlands of

California are a multi-layered mosaic of trees, shrubs and grass patches. In some locations these mosaics have been correlated with geological substrate (Cole 1980) and soil characteristics (Harrison et al. 1971). However, other researches have found each of these vegetation types on most soil depths, slopes, aspects and all geological substrates suggesting that disturbance (fire) and/or biological factors (competition, grazing and browsing) are important determinants of the patchy distribution of these vegetation types (Wells 1962, Callaway and Davis 1991) at a scale smaller than an ecological site or even a soil mapping unit. Given this mosaic of multi-layered vegetation types there is wide amplitude in expected species composition and amounts on the same soil series or association within an ecological site. Therefore, these sites were delineated more on the basis of soil characteristics and long-term understory production than on species composition.


The understory and open grassland patches are dominated by annual grasses and forbs of European origin. As germination, seedling establishment and plant growth progress during the growing season, species composition changes depending primarily on the timing and amount of precipitation and temperature (George et al. 2001a). Consequently, understory and open grassland species composition varies seasonally and annually. Unlike many perennial dominated grasslands, kinds and amounts (weight or cover) of herbaceous species are not stable and annually predictable. Therefore, exact percentages by weight or ground cover are not reported as is done in perennial dominated ecosystems. Instead several species are listed, several of which can be expected to dominate the composition in some years and be present in most years.

The tree layer is a mixture of blue oak (Quercus douglasii), black oak (Q. kelloggii) and coast live oak (Q. agrifolia). Canyon live oak (Q. chrysolepis) and Oregon white oak (Q. garryana) may also be present. Hybrids of these species may also be found on this site. The shrub layer, when present, may include coyote bush (Baccharis pilularis) and poison oak (Toxicodendron diversilobum). The understory is dominated by annual grasses and forbs of European origin. Patches on shallow soils are often dominated by filaree or other low growing forbs. Native perennial grasses are often present.

Total Annual Production and Growth Curve

Forage production and species composition is largely controlled by four factors: precipitation, temperature, soil characteristics and plant residue (George et al. 2001a). Precipitation and temperature control the timing and characteristics of four distinct phases of forage growth: break of season (germination and onset of growth), winter growth, rapid spring growth, and peak forage production. March and April are usually the months when 50 to 75 percent of the annual production occurs. The cold months of December and January often produce only 0 to 5 percent of the annual production. During cold weather seasonal and annual variation in production during each of these seasons contributes to the variable total annual production in the annual dominated understory and open grass patches. This ecological site commonly supports an open annual grassland intermixed with a mixed oak woodland of less than 50 percent canopy cover. In this woodland type understory production decreases as canopy cover increases above 25 percent.

Production curves are provided as examples of monthly forage production for normal (2500 lb/a), favorable (3300 lb/a), and unfavorable (1200 lb/a) years. Annual plant growth begins with germination following the first fall rains (George et al. 2001a). Germination commonly begins within 1 week of receiving 0.5 to 1.0 inch of rainfall. This normally occurs in October or early November. Temperatures commonly turn cold in mid-November. The longer the period between germination and the onset of cold temperatures the greater is fall herbage production. Early rains followed by an extended dry period can result in loss of most of the initial wave of germination. This is known as a "false break" and will be followed by a second germination wave when adequate rainfall resumes. The onset of rapid spring growth coincides with warming spring temperatures commonly in mid-February. The rapid spring growth period continues until soil moisture is depleted following the end of the rainy season. The longer the period from mid-February to soil moisture depletion, the greater is spring production.



Clayey Unstable State and Transition Model

State 1: Historic State

State 1: This is the assumed historic plant state consisting of long-lived tree and shrub species similar to those in State 2. State 1 assumes that native annual and perennial grasses and forbs were common in the understory of the tree and shrub layer of these former mixed oak-woodland ecosystems but there is no record of the species composition. As in State 2 a continuum of plant communities (PC 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3) resulted from increasing canopy cover following fire. In State 1, fire was more frequent and was not suppressed as is commonly the case in State 2. Under a more frequent fire regime, this community may never have reached the higher canopy covers that occur in State 2.

1.1a (PC 1.1 to PC1.2): Under natural fire frequencies shrub and tree canopy cover increases toward State PC 1.2 following fire.

1.2a (PC 1.2 to PC 1.1): Natural fire frequencies estimated to be every 25 years maintains an oak savanna with few shrubs and an herbaceous understory.

1.2b (PC 1.2 to PC 1.3): Prolonged periods without fire result in increased shrub and tree canopy cover to the point where the savanna is classified as woodland. Increasing ladder fuels increase the chances of a high intensity crown fire. Under a 25 year fire interval this state may not have been reached in the pre-European plant community.

1.3a (PC 1.3 to PC 1.1 or 1.2): Burning woodlands with dense shrub and tree layers results in removal of most shrub and understory tree canopy. In extreme cases this transition could return from PC 1.3 to PC 1.1.

T1a (State 1 to State 2): Invasion by exotic annual species, yearlong continuous grazing, drought, fire suppression and cultivation reduced or destroyed the native perennial grass and forb component of the assumed climax plant community (Burcham 1957, Bartolome 1987, Baker 1989). Apparently this is an irreversible transition in a time frame relevant to management. Restoration of native perennial herbaceous vegetation is a recurring management objective that has been largely unsuccessful. Researchers, managers and citizens groups have been unsuccessful at reversing the loss of native perennial grasses. Competition from invasive annuals and long dry summers apparently are insurmountable. Annual grasses and forbs are more competitive for soil moisture than native perennials reducing oak seedling survival (Gordon et al. 1989, Corbin and D'Antonio 2004).

State 2: Reference State

State 2: This reference state is characterized by a continuum of plant communities that can be sparse to dense in canopy cover depending on the frequency of fire. Fire suppression has resulted in longer intervals between fires resulting in fewer ground fires and more intense crown fires. Natural fires in State 1 would have been ignited by lightening, whereas anthropogenic fires were ignited most commonly by Native Americans. Fire in State 2 is often man-caused, but can be started by lightening as well, however the timing and frequency of the fire has probably changed from State 1 to State 2.

Plant community 2.1 (PC 2.1) is a mixed oak savanna community (Allen Class: Mixed Oak-/Grass) of 10 to 20 percent canopy cover with an annual grass dominated understory and few or no shrubs. Blue oak, black oak, valley oak canyon live oak and coast live oak resprout vigorously following most fires. Coyote bush reestablishes from seed and poison oak reprouts following fire.

Plant community 2.2 (PC 2.2) ranges from 20 – 60 percent canopy cover resulting from increased oak canopy.

Plant community 2.3 (PC 2.3) is a mixed oak woodland community resulting from continued increases in canopy cover (60 - 100 %). The understory of these plant communities is generally dominated by annual grasses and forbs of Eurasian origin. Understory productivity decreases as canopy cover increases above 50%. The understory species composition and dynamics in this state is similar to that for State 3 with ripgut brome

and foxtail barley often prevalent under the oak canopy and soft brome and filaree more common in the open patches.

2.1a (PC 2.1 to PC 2.2): Under natural fire frequencies tree canopy cover increases toward PC 2.2 following fire

2.2a (PC 2.2 to PC 2.1): Natural fire frequencies estimated to be 25 years maintains an oak savanna with few or no shrubs and a herbaceous understory. More frequent burning can result in a savanna free of shrubs and understory trees. Application of mechanical and/or chemical brush control practices can result in a similar transition.

2.2b (PC 2.2 to PC 2.3): Prolonged periods without fire result in increased srub and tree canopy cover to the point where the savanna is classified as woodland. Increasing ladder fuels increase the chances of a high intensity crown fire.

2.3a (PC 2.3 to PC 2.1 or 2.2): Burning woodlands with dense understory tree layers results in removal of the understory tree canopy. In extreme cases this transition could return from PC 2.3 to PC 2.1. Implementation of mechanical or chemical brush control practices can result in a similar transition.

T2a (State 2 to State 3 - Type conversion from woodland to grassland): Use of mechanical and chemical tree and shrub control and prescribed burning remove all trees and shrubs resulting in a conversion from woodland to annual grassland. In some cases this transition may be irreversible without artificial regeneration of native woody species, especially if frequent fires and grazing suppress seedlings of woody species. Seeding and fertilization often accompanied tree and shrub control. At low canopy covers fire or natural mortality could remove woody species and conditions for resprouting and/or acorn germination and seedling establishment may be unfavorable.



Clayey Unstable Site

State 2: Reference State Plant Species Composition:

Grass/Grass	slike	*	Annual Production in Pounds Per Acre	
Group Group Name	Common Name	Scientific Name	Low	<u>High</u>
2 - Native cool season	grass		0	0
	purple needlegrass	<u>Nassella pulchra</u>	0	0
8 - Non-native cool sea	ason annual grass		0	0
	wild oat	Avena fatua	0	0
	ripgut grass	Bromus diandrus	0	0
	soft brome	Bromus hordeaceus	0	0
	red brome	Bromus rubens	0	0
	bristly dogstail grass	Cynosurus echinatus	0	0
	barley	<u>Hordeum</u>	0	0
	•	Lolium multiflorum (Syn)	0	0
Forb			Annual Production in Pounds Per Acre	
Group Group Name	Common Name	Scientific Name	Low	<u>High</u>
12 - Native annual forb)		0	0
	tarweed	<u>Hemizonia</u>	0	0
	trefoil	<u>Lotus</u>	0	0
14 - Non-native annual	l forb		0	0
	Italian thistle	Carduus pycnocephalus	0	0
	thistle	Cirsium	0	0
	stork's bill	Erodium	0	0
	bedstraw	<u>Galium</u>	0	0
Shrub/Vine			Annual Production in Pounds Per Acre	
Group Group Name	Common Name	Scientific Name	Low	<u>High</u>
17 - Native shrub			0	0
	dwarf chaparral broom	Baccharis pilularis	0	0
	Pacific poison oak	Toxicodendron diversilobum	0	0
Tree			Annual Production in Pounds Per Acre	
Group Group Name	Common Name	Scientific Name	Low	<u>High</u>
24 - Native deciduous	tree		0	0
	blue oak	Quercus douglasii	0	0
	Oregon white oak	Quercus garryana	0	0
	California black oak	Quercus kelloggii	0	0
	valley oak	<u>Quercus lobata</u>	0	0
25 - Native non-decidu	ious tree		0	0
	toyon	Heteromeles arbutifolia	0	0
	California live oak	Quercus agrifolia	0	0
	canyon live oak	Quercus chrysolepis	0	0
	California laurel	<u>Umbellularia californica</u>	0	0

Structure and Cover:

Ground Cover (%)

<u>Vegetative Cover</u>					N	lon-Vegeta	tive Cover	
					Surface Fragments			

<u>Grass/</u> <u>Grasslike</u>	<u>Forb</u>	Shrub/ Vine	<u>Tree</u>	Vascular Plants	Biological Crust	<u>Litter</u>	> 1/4 & <= 3"	Fragments > 3"	Bedrock	Water	Bare Ground
80 to 100		0 to 30	0 to 50			80 to 100					0 to 20

Structure of Canopy Cover (%)

	Grasses/Grasslike	<u>Forbs</u>	Shrubs/Vines	<u>Trees</u>
<=0.5 feet		0 to 20		
> 0.5 - < 1 feet	80 to 100			
<1->=2 feet				
> 2 - < 4.5 feet				
< 4.5 - >= 13 feet			0 to 30	
> 13 - < 40 feet				0 to 50

Plant Growth Curve:

Growth Curve Number: CA1504

Growth Curve Name: North Coast annual rangeland (normal production year)

<u>Growth Curve Description:</u> Growth curve for a normal(average)production year resulting form the production year starting in October and extending through May. Growth curve is for oak-woodland and associated annual grasslands.

Percent Production by Month

<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>Apr</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	<u>Aug</u>	<u>Sep</u>	<u>Oct</u>	<u>Nov</u>	<u>Dec</u>
0	10	25	30	20	0	0	0	0	5	5	5

Plant Growth Curve:

Growth Curve Number: CA1505

Growth Curve Name: North Coast annual rangeland (favorable production year)

<u>Growth Curve Description:</u> Growth curve for a favorable production year resulting from the production year starting in October and extending into June. Growth curve is oak-woodlands and associated annual grasslands.

Percent Production by Month

<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>Apr</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	<u>Sep</u>	<u>Oct</u>	<u>Nov</u>	<u>Dec</u>
0	10	20	25	20	5	0	0	0	10	5	5

Plant Growth Curve:

Growth Curve Number: CA1506

Growth Curve Name: North Coast annual rangeland (unfavorable production year)

<u>Growth Curve Description:</u> Growth curve for an unfavorable production year resulting from the production year starting late and ending early. Growth curve is for oak-woodlands and associated annual grasslands.

Percent Production by Month

<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>Apr</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	<u>Sep</u>	<u>Oct</u>	<u>Nov</u>	<u>Dec</u>
0	15	30	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5

State 3: Annual Grassland State

State 3: Annual grassland with species composition fluctuating in response to weather, grazing, fire and fertility. Plant community 3.1 (PC 3.1) is dominated by wild oats (Avena spp), soft brome (Bromus hordeaceus) and ripgut brome (B. diandrus). Plant community 3.2 (PC 3.2) is dominated by filaree (Erodium spp) or other decumbent species. Plant community 3.3 (PC 3.3) is an annual grassland containing seeded annual legumes such as subterranean clover (Trifolium subterraneum) and vetch (Vicia spp.). Soil quality, especially fertility, declines following tree removal.

Annual Production

T3a (State 3 to State 2): Recovery from grassland conversions may take decades or may be irreversible depending on the intensity and type of brush control practices. Repeated fires and grazing help to maintain the grassland. Blue oaks and other woody plants may colonize adjacent open grasslands but seedlings are seldom found more than 30 m from existing tree or shrub canopy.

- 3.1a (PC 3.1 to PC 3.2): Filaree increases in response to low litter levels. Litter levels reduced by poor growing conditions, fire or heavy grazing. Long periods of inadequate rainfall within the growing season reduce grasses.
- 3.2a (PC 3.2 to PC 3.1): Annual grasses increase in filaree patches. Light to moderate grazing increases litter. Mulching effect of litter favors annual grass seedlings. Annual grasses shade filaree and other forb seedlings. Nitrogen fertilization favors increase in grasses.
- 3.1b (PC 3.1 to 3.3): Sulfur and/or phosphorus fertilization are required to maintain productive subterranean clover stands. Rose clover increases and spreads without fertilization. Close grazing helps to maintain legume composition.
- 3.2b (PC 3.2 to 3.3): Sulfur and/or phosphorus fertilization are required to maintain productive subterranean clover stands. Rose clover increases and spreads without fertilization. Close grazing helps to maintain legume composition.
- 3.3a (PC 3.3 to PC 3.1): Grasses increase with improved soil fertility and light grazing
- 3.3b (PC 3.3 to PC 3.2): With loss of fertility and close grazing annual legumes are replaced by filaree.

T3b (State 3 to State 4): Medusahead invades grassland. Light to moderate grazing allows build up of medusahead litter, excluding most other grassland species.

State 3: Annual Grassland State Plant Species Composition:

Grass/Grass	slike		Annual Production in Pounds Per Acre	
Group Group Name	Common Name	Scientific Name	Low	<u>High</u>
2			0	0
	purple needlegrass	<u>Nassella pulchra</u>	0	0
Shrub/Vine			Annual Production in Pounds Per Acre	
Group Group Name	Common Name	Scientific Name	Low	<u>High</u>
8			0	0
	wild oat	<u>Avena fatua</u>	0	0
	ripgut grass	Bromus diandrus	0	0
	soft brome	Bromus hordeaceus	0	0
	red brome	Bromus rubens	0	0
	bristly dogstail grass	Cynosurus echinatus	0	0
	barley	<u>Hordeum</u>	0	0
		Lolium multiflorum (Syn)	0	0
Grass/Grass	slike		Annual Production in Pounds Per Acre	
Group Group Name	Common Name	Scientific Name	Low	<u>High</u>
12 - Non-native annual	forb		0	0
	tarweed	<u>Hemizonia</u>	0	0
	trefoil	<u>Lotus</u>	0	0

Shrub/Vine			in Pounds Per Acre	
Group Group Name	Common Name	Scientific Name	Low	<u>High</u>
17 - Native shrub			0	0
	dwarf chaparral broom	Baccharis pilularis	0	0
	Pacific poison oak	<u>Toxicodendron diversilobum</u>	0	0
Tree			Annual Production in Pounds Per Acre	
Group Group Name	Common Name	Scientific Name	Low	<u>High</u>
24 - Native deciduous	tree		0	0
	blue oak	Quercus douglasii	0	0
	Oregon white oak	Quercus garryana	0	0
	California black oak	Quercus kelloggii	0	0
	valley oak	Quercus lobata	0	0
25 - Native non-deciduous tree			0	0
	toyon	<u>Heteromeles arbutifolia</u>	0	0
	California live oak	Quercus agrifolia	0	0
	canyon live oak	Quercus chrysolepis	0	0
	California laurel	<u>Umbellularia californica</u>	0	0

Annual Production by Plant Type:

	Annual Production (lbs/AC)				
Plant Type	Low	Representative Value	<u>High</u>		
Forb	240	500	660		
Grass/Grasslike	960	2000	2640		
Total:	1200	2500	3300		

Structure and Cover:

Ground Cover (%)

Ground Cover (70)											
<u>Vegetative Cover</u>			Non-Vegetative Cover								
<u>Grass/</u> <u>Grasslike</u>	<u>Forb</u>	Shrub/ Vine	<u>Tree</u>	Non- Vascular Plants	Biological <u>Crust</u>		<u>Surface</u> <u>Fragments</u> ≥ 1/4 & ≤= 3"	Surface Fragments > 3"	Bedrock	Water	Bare Ground
80 to	0 to 20	0 to 30	0 to			80 to					0 to 20
100			100			100					

Structure of Canopy Cover (%)

	Grasses/Grasslike	<u>Forbs</u>	Shrubs/Vines	<u>Trees</u>
<=0.5 feet		0 to 20		
> 0.5 - < 1 feet	80 to 100			
< 1 ->= 2 feet				
> 2 - < 4.5 feet				
< 4.5 ->= 13 feet			0 to 25	
> 13 - < 40 feet				0 to 100

State 4: Medusahead dominated grassland

State 4: Medusahead dominated grassland resulting from medusahead invasion.

T4a (State 6 to State 3): Burning when medusahead is still green and other annuals are dry can reduce medusahead by more than 90 percent (McKell et al. 1962). Filaree and other forbs may dominate for up to three

years following burning. Grasses will gradually increase. Heavy grazing in winter and spring reduces medusahead density and allows other grassland species to increase (George et al. 1989).

Ecological Site Interpretations

Animal Community:

Wildlife

Of the 632 terrestrial vertebrates (amphibians, reptiles, birds, and mammals) native to California, over 300 species use oak woodlands for food, cover and reproduction, including at least 120 species of mammals, 147 species of birds and approximately 60 species of amphibians and reptiles (Tietje et al. 2005). Common species on this site include California quail (Callipepla californicus), Beechey ground squirrels (Spermophilus beecheyi), Botta pocket gopher (Thomomys bottae mewa), Blacktailed jackrabbit (Lepus californicus), and mule deer (Odocoileus hemionus). The rich rodent and lagomorph population is an important food source for common predators including: bobcat (Lynx rufus californicus), coyote (Canis latrans) and the Pacific rattlesnake (Crotalus viridis oreganus). The value of this site for food or cover changes seasonally with the vegetation. In habitat planning each plant community and each species needs must be considered individually and collectively.

Deer and lagomorphs, browse oaks and rodents graze and browse in this community. Acorns are eaten by at least a dozen species of songbirds, several upland game birds, rodents, black-tailed deer, feral and domestic pig, and all other classes of livestock (Adams et al. 1992, Duncan and Clawson 1980, Sampson and Jespersen 1963). Acorns are a critical food source for deer (Burns and Honkala 1990). Studies in the central Sierra Nevada foothills showed that blue oak woodland is utilized by 92 species of birds, 60 of which nest there (Block and Morrison 1990). The California Wildlife Habitat Database (Mayer and Laudenslayer 1988), maintained by California Department of Fish and Game, can provide extensive information on wildlife species that may occur in the habitat type on this site.

Grazing and Browsing

The annual dominated understory of this plant community is used by domestic livestock and wildlife throughout the year. Historically and currently use has been primarily by cow-calf operations but stocker cattle are also grass fed on these plant communities. While sheep use may have been greater in the past it is currently limited. The main problem for livestock production on this site is lack of natural water sources during most of the year.

The plant communities on this site are suitable for grazing by all classes of livestock at any season. However, forage quality declines below the nutritional needs of many kinds and classes of livestock during the 6 to 8 month dry season. Matching the nutrient demands of livestock with the nutrients supplied by range forage is a balancing act for a considerable portion of each year (George et al. 2001b). The quality of range forage varies with plant species, season, location, and range improvement practices. Range forage is optimal for livestock growth and production for only a short period of the year. Early in the growing season, forage may be of high nutrient content, but high water content in the forage may result in rapid passage through the rumen and incomplete nutrient extraction. The browse value of common oak woodland species is listed in Sampson and Jespersen (1963).

Plant Preference by Animal Kind:

Hydrology Functions:

The watersheds associated with these sites are drained by intermittent streams that only flow during the wet season and by perennial streams. In dry years these intermittent streams may not flow at all. Runoff on these soils is rapid and soil erosion hazard is high.

The soils of this ecological site are present at the UC Hopland Research and Extension Center in Mendocino County. Research at this station illustrates the loss of soil following conversion of the oak-woodland to a grassland. Removal of the deep rooted trees and shrubs reduces the amount of water extracted from the lower soil profile (Dahlgren et al. 2001). Watershed studies have found that it take about 6 to 10 inches of precipitation to initiate stream flow.

Recreational Uses:

Hunting, horseback riding, bird watching, off-road driving and hiking are common recreational pursuits. Wood Products:

Firewood cutting of blue oak, once prevalent, has decreased as voluntary and county regulatory actions to protect blue oaks. Interior live oak and madrone (Arbutus menziesiI) are harvested for firewood. Other Products:

Native Americans have historically used and managed the blue oak woodlands for food and fiber. Other Information:

Revegetation/Restoration Of Disturbed Areas

Oak Restoration:

Natural regeneration of oaks may be limited because of a number of factors that limit seed germination, seedling establishment and survival to the tree stage. Competition for soil moisture from the understory annual plants, acorn and seedling damage by rodents, livestock grazing and changed fire regimes are important factors that can reduce oak regeneration. Blue oaks may be limited because they are weak resprouters on some dry sites. Valley oaks may occur on this site and have been reported to have regeneration problems in some areas. Black oak regeneration is generally not a problem because they are strong resprouters. McCreary (2001) provides an extensive review of oak regeneration problems and practices on California's oak woodlands.

Native Grass Restoration:

While, the soils on this ecological site support remnant native perennial grasses, competition from non-native annuals have often prevented successful natural and artificial re-introduction of native grasses.

Annual Legumes and Non-native Perennial Grasses:

Subterranean clover seedings have been highly successful on these soils but require phosphorus and sulfur to maintain high productivity. The high cost of seeding and fertilization has reduced the use of this practice. Introductions of non-native perennial grasses such as harding grass (Phalaris tuberosa) and summer dormant orchard grass (Dactylis glomerata) can be successful on this site but this practice is infrequently used (George et al. 1983).

Poisonous/Non-native Plants

Poisonous Plants:

Poisonous plants that may occur on this ecological site include lupine (Lupinus spp), and fiddleneck (Amsinkia spp), common groundsel (Senecio vulgaris), and hemlock (Cicuta spp). Yellow starthistle (Centaurea solstitialis) is poisonous to horses. Livestock poisoning is usually a result of hungry animals being concentrated on toxic plants.

Invasive Species:

The understory and open grassland vegetation on this site is dominated by non-native annuals that invaded

during the colonization of California. The species composition of the pre-colonization community is unknown. Several species have invaded and spread in these annual dominated communities including: medusahead (Taeneantherum caput-medusa), goatgrass (Aegilops triuncialis), starthistle (Centaurea solstitialis), Italian thistle (Carduus pycnocephalus), and tansy ragwort (Senecio jacobaea).

Supporting Information

Associated Sites:

Site Name Site ID Site Narrative

Similar Sites:

Site Name Site ID Site Narrative

State Correlation:

This site has been correlated with the following states:

CA

Inventory Data References:

JMHMenS1A 39.0254358 123.0965390

JMHMenVasser1 38.9936848 123.0771177

JMHMenOrchard1 39.0328687 123.0637304

MlmendoOrchard2 39.0331673 123.0629882

JMHMenFoster4 38.9998877 123.0919457

MlmendoFoster3 39.0034752 123.0947386

MlmendoFosterBIO3 38.9995036 123.0907349

MlmendoVassWest2 38.9883940 123.0701477

mlPineflat5 38.7078237 122.7630233

SlmarinBeebee1 38.0425784 122.7705796

SLMcIaasc1 38.0381550 122.7647770

JMHMenVasser2 38.9860050 123.0817441

JMHMenLambing1 39.0046227 123.0825916

JMHMenRileyBio3 39.0096258 123.0565418

JMHMenVassEast 38.9878630 123.0666921

MlmendoLambing2 39.0053189 123.0833603

MlmendoRileyBIO4 39.0125481 123.0599074

MlmendoUpperHorse2 39.0090846 123.0823422

JMHMenMiddle1 39.0119034 123.0742798

MlmendoMiddle 39.0121686 123.0740546

Type Locality:

Relationship to Other Established Classifications:

This mixed oak site may include the following Oak Woodland Classes (Allen-Diaz et al. 1989): 1) Blue Oak/Grass, 2) Blue Oak-Coast Live Oak/Grass, 3) Mixed Oak/Grass, and 4) Mixed Oak-Black Oak/Grass. This site includes Blue Oak Woodland (BOW) and Coast Oak Woodland (COW) of the California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System.

Other References:

Adams, Theodore E., Peter B. Sands, William H. Weitkamp, and Neil K. McDougald. 1992. Oak seedling establishment on California rangelands. J. Range Manage. 45: 93-98.

Allen Diaz, Barbara, Rand R. Evett, Barbara A. Holzman, and Ayan J. Martin. 1989. Report on Rangeland Cover Type Descriptions for California Hardwood Rangelands. Forest and Rangeland Resources Assessment Program, Calif. Dep. of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento, Calif. 318 pgs.

Baker, H.G. Sources of the naturalized grasses and herbs in California. In: Huenneke, L.F. and H.A. Mooney (ed.). 1989. Grassland Structure and Function: California Annual Grassland. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands. Pg 29-38.

Bartolome, J. W. 1987. California grassland and oak savannah. Rangelands 9. 122-125.

Bartolome, J.W., W.F. Frost, N.K. McDougald and M. Connor. 2002. California guidelines for residual dry matter (RDM) management on coastal and foothill annual rangelands. Rangeland Monitoring Series. Publ. 8092, Div. of Agr. and Nat Res., Univ. of Calif. 8pp.

Blackburn, T.C. and K. Anderson. 1993. Before The Wilderness: Environmental Management By Native Californians. Ballena Press, Menlo Park, CA.

Block, William M. and Michael L Morrison. 1990. Wildlife diversity of the Central Sierra foothills. Calif. Agric. 44:19-22.

Burcham, L. T. 1957. California Rangeland. Div. Forestry, Sacramento, Calif. 261 pgs.

Burns, Russell M. and Barbara H. Honkala. 1990. Silvics of North America (Vol 2): Hardwoods. Agric. Handbook 654. USDA Forest. Service, Washington D.C. 877 p.

Callaway, R.M. and C.M. D'Antonio. 1991. Shrub facilitation of coast live oak establishment in central California. Madrono 38:158-169.

Callaway, R.M. and F.W. Davis. 1991. Vegetation dynamics, fire, and physical environment in coastal central California. Ecology 74:1567-1578.

Cole, K. 1980. Geological control of vegetation in the Purisima Hills, California. Madrono 27:79-89.

Corbin, Jeffrey D. and Carla M D'Antonio. 2004. Competition between native perennial and exotic annual grasses: Implications for an historical invasion. Ecology 85:1273-1283.

Dahlgren, R.A., K.W. Tate, D.J. Lewis, E.R. Atwill, J.M. Harper and B.H. Allen-Diaz. 2001. Watershed research examines rangeland management effects on water quality. California Agriculture 55:64-71.

Daly, Christopher. 2006. Guidelines for assessing the suitability of spatial climate data sets. Internat. J. of Climatology 26: 707–721.

Duncan, D. A. and W.J. Clawson. 1980. Livestock utilization of California's oak woodlands. In: Plumb, Timothy R., (technical coordinator). Proceedings of the symposium on the ecology, management, and utilization of California oaks. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-44. U.S. Dep. of Agr., For. Serv. Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Berkeley, CA. Pgs. 306-313.

George, M.R., T.E. Adams, and W.J. Clawson. 1983. Seeded Range Plants for California. Leaflet No. 21344, Univ. of Calif. Div. of Agric. Sci. 23 pgs.

George, M. R., R. S. Knight, P. B. Sands, and M. W. Demment. 1989. Intensive grazing increases beef production. Calif. Agric. 43(5):16-19.

George, Mel, Jim Bartolome, Neil McDougald, Mike Connor, Charles Vaughn and Gary Markegard. 2001a. Annual Range Forage Production. ANR Publ. 8018, Div. of Agric. And Nat. Res., Univ. of Calif., Oakland, Calif. 9 pgs.

George, Melvin, Glenn Nader, Neil McDougald, Mike Connor, and Bill Frost. 2001b. Annual Rangeland Forage Quality. ANR Publ. 8022, Div. of Agric. And Nat. Res., Univ. of Calif., Oakland, Calif. 13 pgs.

Gordon, D.P., J.M. Whelker, J.M. Menke, and K.J. Rice. 1989. Neighborhood competition between annual plants and blue oak (Quercus douglasii) seedlings. Oecologia 79:533-51.

Haggerty, Patricia K. 1991. Fire effects in blue oak woodland. In: Standiford, R. (technical coordinators). Proceedings of the Symposium on Oak Woodlands and Hardwood Rangeland Management. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-126., Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Berkeley, CA. Pgs. 342-344

Harrison, A., E. Small, and H. Mooney. 1971. Drought relationships and distribution of two Mediterranean-climate California plant communities. Ecology 52: 869-875.

Mayer K. E., W. F. Laudenslayer. (Eds.) 1988. A guide to wildlife habitats of California. California Dept. of Forestry and Fire Protection, Sacramento.

McCreary, Douglas D. 2001. Regenerating rangeland oaks in California. ANR Publ. 21601, Div. of Agric. And Nat. Res., Univ. of Calif., Oakland, Calif. 62 pgs.

McClaran, M.P. 1986. Age structure of Quercus douglasii in relation to livestock grazing and fire. Ph.D. Dissertation. Univ. of Calif., Berkeley. 119 pp.

McDougald, N.K. W.E. Frost, and W.J. Clawson. 1991. Estimating the cost of replacing forage losses on annual rangeland. Leaflet 21494. Division of Agric. and Nat. Res., Univ. of Calif., Oakland, Calif.

McKell, C.C., A.M. Wilson and B.L. Kay. 1962. Effective burning of rangelands infested with medusahead. Weeds 10:125-131.

Mensing, Scott A. 1992. The impact of European settlement on blue oak (Quercus douglasii) regeneration and recruitment in the Tehachapi Mountains, California. Madrono. 39: 36-46.

Muick, Pamela C.; Bartolome, James W. 1987. Factors associated with oak regeneration in California. In: Plumb, Timothy R.; Pillsbury, Norman H., technical coordinators. Proceedings of the symposium on multipleuse management of California's hardwood resources; 1986 November 12-14; San Luis Obispo, CA. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-100. Berkeley, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station: 86-91.

Muick, Pamela C. Effects of shade and clipping on coast live and blue oak seedling mortality and growth in California annual grasslands. In: Pillsbury, N.H., Jared Verner, and W.D. Tietje (tech ed). 1997. Proceedings, Symposium on Oak Woodlands: Ecology, Management, and Urban Interface Issues. USDA Forest Service GTR-PSW GTR-160.

Murphy, A.L. and B. Crampton. 1964. Quality and yield of forage as affected by chemical removal of blue oak (Quercus douglasii). J. Range Manage. 17:142-144.

Murphy, A.L. and L.J. Berry. 1973. Range pasture benefits through tree removal. Calif. Agric. 27:8-10.

Parsons, D.J and T.K. Stohlgren. 1989. Effects of varying fire regimes on annual grasslands in the southern Sierra Nevada of California. Madroño, 36:154-168.

Pavlik, B.M., P.C. Muick, S. Johnson, and M. Popper. 1991. Oaks of California. Cachuma Press, Inc. Los Olivos, Calif. 184 pgs.

Plumb, Tim R. Response of oaks to fire. In: Plumb, Timothy R., (technical coordinator). 1979. Proceedings of the symposium on the ecology, management, and utilization of California oaks. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-44. U.S. Dep. of Agr., For. Serv. Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Berkeley, CA. Pgs. 306-313.

Rice, Kevin J. and Erin K. Espeland. 2006. Genes on the Range: Population Genetics (in press).

Sampson, Arthur W. and Beryl S. Jespersen. 1963. California range brushlands and browse plants. Univ. of Calif. Div. of Agr. Sci., Berkeley, CA. 162 pgs.

Stephens, S.L. Fire history of mixed oak-pine forest in the foothills of the Sierra Nevada, El Dorado County, Calif. In: Pillsbury, N.H., Jared Verner, and W.D. Tietje (ed). 1997. Proceedings, Symposium on Oak Woodlands: Ecology, Management, and Urban Interface Issues. USDA Forest Service GTR-PSW GTR-160

Tietje, William, Kathryn Purcell, and Sabrina Drill. Oak woodlands as wildlife habitat. In: Giusti, Gregory A., Douglas D. McCreary, and Richard B. Standiford (ed). 2005. A Planner's Guide for Oak Woodlands, 2nd Ed. ANR Publ. 3491, Div. of Agric. and Nat. Res., Univ. of Calif., Oakland, Calif. pp 15-31.

Wells, P.V. 1962. Vegetation in relation to geological substratum and fire in the San Luis Obispo Quadrangle, California. Ecol. Monog. 32:79-103.

Site Description Approval:

<u>Author</u> <u>Date</u> <u>Approval</u> <u>Date</u>

Melvin George, John 5/25/2004

Harper, Stephanie Larson,

Mike Lennox

Reference Sheet

Author(s)/participant(s):

Contact for lead author:

Date: MLRA: 015X Ecological Site: Clayey Unstable R015XI007CA This *must* be verified based on soils and climate (see Ecological Site Description). Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Composition (indicators 10 and 12) based on: Annual Production, Foliar Cover, Biomass

Indicators. For each indicator, describe the potential for the site. Where possible, (1) use numbers, (2) include expected range of values for above- and below-average years for <u>each</u> community and natural disturbance regimes within the reference state, when appropriate and (3) cite data. Continue descriptions on separate sheet.

1. Number and extent of rills:

- 2. Presence of water flow patterns:
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, standing dead, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:
- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):
- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values):
- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type and strength of structure, and A-horizon color and thickness):
- 10. Effect on plant community composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:
- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground weight using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to) with dominants and sub-dominants and "others" on separate lines:

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

- 13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
- 14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (inches):
- 15. Expected annual production (this is TOTAL above-ground production, not just forage production:
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List Species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicator, we are describing what in NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
- 17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: