Modoc, a high-yielding, short-statured durum variety developed for the Tulelake area, produces grain of good quality for milling.



a new durum wheat for northern California

Y. Paul Puri = Calvin O. Qualset = Kenneth G. Baghott = Herbert E. Vogt = William F. Lehman E. Wayne Hempleman - William C. Shuey - John D. Prato

Durum wheat, an important crop in northern California's Tulelake Basin since 1953, is planted in the spring in fertile, irrigated soil. The varieties used (developed by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and North Dakota State University) usually produce an average of 3,600 pounds per acre with good semolina quality. However, these varieties are susceptible to lodging, because they grow too tall in irrigated, highly fertile soil.

A search for short-statured durums was begun in the 1960s. Short-statured varieties tested so far, such as Oviachic 65 from Mexico and new varieties from the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), have proved unsuitable.

In 1966 a local durum breeding program was started, emphasizing variety development for the Tulelake region but also working on varieties for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta region and other potential durum-producing areas of California. Plantings have been made each year since then in the Imperial Valley, Davis, the Delta, and Tulelake. Modocthe first variety developed-was released by the University of California in 1975.

Modoc, originated from hybrid UC69494, is D7069 x Leeds made at Davis in 1969. The parent D7069 is a short-statured line from CIMMYT (II 22234-6M-1Y-OM = TremezMolle xTehucan²) x (Zenati Bouteille x W²). The other parent, Leeds, is a tall variety with good semolina quality.

Description

Modoc has a spring growth habit, maturing at about the same time as Leeds at Tulelake and about two weeks earlier in the Imperial Valley. The variety is relatively photoperiod insensitive. The spikes

TABLE 1. PERFORMANCE OF MODOC COMPARED WITH DURUM AND COMMON WHEAT VARIETIES IN U.C. REGIONAL AND FIELD STATION TRIALS, 1974 AND 1975

Variety	Number of experiments	Times exceeded by Madoc	Yield percent of Modoc			
Leeds	7	7	72			
Sentry	4	4	63			
Anza	7	3	106			
Lark (WS 1651) 4	2	93			
Cocorit 71	3	1	105			
Crane "B"	3	0	107			
Produra	1	1	94			
	taris a Miller I	For a capital Asia				

are fully awned; awns are about twice as long as the spike. The spike has white, glabrous glumes; the spikelets are moderately to densely arranged; and the peduncle is S-shaped (see photo). The grain is hard amber with good test weight and kernel size distribution.

The variety is short statured—about 38 cm shorter than Leeds or Sentry. Average height is about 90 cm; less than 0.1 percent of the plants are 2 to 5 cm taller than the remainder of the population. Modoc is stiff strawed, is lodging and shatter resistant, and, because of strong glumes, may be more difficult to harvest (combine) than present wheat and barley varieties. Modoc is susceptible to current races of stripe rust and powdery mildew.

Performance

Although yield data are limited to two years, Modoc outperformed Leeds and Sentry by 20 percent or more in all experiments (table 1). Modoc was evaluated in comparative performance trials at Tulelake, Imperial Valley, and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta in

1974 and 1975 (table 2). In Tulelake tests, Modoc yielded about 40 percent more than Leeds and the same as or slightly less than the common wheat, Anza, Modoc vielded better than Leeds in the Imperial Valley and in the Delta.

Milling quality of grain produced from the field-scale plantings and from small-plot yield trials was evaluated with small-sample laboratory analyses by the USDA Durum Wheat Quality Laboratory at Fargo. North Dakota, and by General Mills, Inc., Great Falls, Montana (tables 3 and 4). Results indicate that Modoc has very good promise for use in pasta products.

Modoc was selected for the Tulelake Basin, where its susceptibility to stripe rust and powdery mildew has not influenced its performance. However, Modoc should be used with caution in the areas where stripe rust and powdery mildew are problems. Performance data in the Delta and the Imperial Valley suggest that the variety may be suitable there, but additional data are needed.

Initial distribution of foundation seed will be made from the 1975 Tulelake production, and new foundation seed will be developed from a 1975 breeders seed lot produced at Tulelake. Foundation, registered, and certified seed classes will be recognized for the variety. Breeders and foundation stocks will be maintained by the Foundation Seed and Plant Materials Service, Department of Agronomy and Range Science, University of California, Davis.

TABLE 2. YIELDS OF DURUM VARIETIES AT THREE CALIFORNIA LOCATIONS

				Tulelake			Delta	Imperial Valley		
State Park Law Law		1975	Mean	Percent	Percent		Percer			
Variety	1974*	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3 (557)	(1974-75)	of Leeds	1975	of Leeds	1975	of Leed
	I had a		lb/acre			%	lb/acre	%	lb/acre	%
Modoc										
(TLD 701W)	5,740	6,540	8,340	6,910	6,880	141	5,750	120	7,110	150
Sentry	4,520	4,480	3,840						3,610	77
Leeds	4,900	5,320	3,570	5,720	4,880	100	4,770	100	4,710	100
Anza	7,090	6,270	8,280	7,080	7,180	147	6,520	137		
Lark										
(WS 1651)	7,260		4,850							
Cocorit 71				7,560			5,730	120	7,370	157
Crane "B"			-	7,370			6,040	127	7,750	165
Produra				-					6,660	141
Least										
Significant Difference—										
5%	880	1,090	1,190	1,430			1,070		1,030	
Coefficient of										
Variation (%)	10.0	11.0	13.0	10.0			7.0		12.0	

^{* 1974} results obtained with the bulk population containing both bronze and white glumes or with closely related sister lines

TABLE 3. MILLING QUALITY EVALUATIONS CONDUCTED BY USDA DURUM WHEAT QUALITY LABORATORY, FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA, ON DURUM WHEAT GROWN AT TWO CALIFORNIA LOCATIONS

Location and year								Yellow pigmentation		
			Weight of					Dust		
	Variety	Test weight	1,000 kernels	large	nel size medium	Wheat	Purified semolina	color	Visible color*	General evaluation
und your	variety	lb/bu	gm	%		%	%			
Tulelake										
1971	Modoc †	63.5	46.9	75	23	13.4	48.6	91	9.5	Good promis
1971	Leeds	65.0	43.9	63	35	11.4	52.5	90	9.5	Good promis
1971	Sentry	62.0	49.3	70	28	14.4	47.7	89	9.0	Good promis
Imperial Valley			TEATS!							
1973	Modoc [‡]	65.0	45.7	67	32	12.4	59.8	94	10.0	Good promis
1973	N.D. Standard Blend	61.9	38.0	36	61	12.6	51.4	95	9.5	Good promis

TABLE 4. MILLING QUALITY EVALUATION CONDUCTED BY GENERAL MILLS, INC., GREAT FALLS, MONTANA, ON DURUM WHEAT VARIETIES GROWN AT FOUR CALIFORNIA LOCATIONS

Location		Test			Kernel tribution	Weight of	Flour		Flour	Dust	Vitreous
and year	Variety	weight	Protein	large	medium	kernels	extraction	Ash	protein	score	kernel
		lb/bu	%		%	gm	%	%	%		%
Tulelake (TFS)											
1972	Modoc*	62.4	13.7	-	-	44.3	61.0	0.78	12.3	98	-
1972	Leeds	60.2	15.3	-	-	49.2	62.0	.81	14.3	97	-
1974	Modoc [†]	63.5	13.4	91	9	43.9	61.2	.68	11.5	94	-
1974	Leeds	63.5	14.8	93	7	43.2	62.4	.69	12.8	96	-
1974	Sentry	62.2	15.8	92	8	41.7	58.4	.69	13.7	94	-
1975	Modoc†	66.0	12.1	95	5	49.1	62.3	.81	11.0	92	_
1975	Leeds	64.6	12.3	97	3	46.8	64.5	.80	11.0	92	_
1070	Locas	01.0	12.0								
Christy Farm, Tulelake Basin											
1974	Modoc [‡]	61.1	13.7	88	12	43.3	58.8	.77	11.4	90	
1974	Leeds	60.1	13.8	72	28	38.3	60.3	.73	11.6	91	
Kandra Farm,		-	MIN-			Hardine.					
Tulelake Basin											
1974	Modoc [‡]	63.8	15.2	95	5	44.9	63.0	.71	13.2	90	
										-	
Imperial Valley	+										
1974	Modoc [‡]	64.2	13.5	90	10	46.0	58.0	.76	11.2	92	98
1975	Modoc [†]	66.1	12.2	90	10	46.0	-	.70	10.9	98	98
1975	Leeds	62.8	11.8	60	38	36.0	-	.71	10.8	100	87
1975	Sentry	62.0	11.6	65	33	36.0	_	.74	11.0	98	92
1975	Cocorit 75	62.0	11.9	85	14	44.0	_	.71	10.6	87	94
1975	Produra	64.5	11,8	88	11	44.0	1 - 1	.68	10.5	90	97
1975	Crane "s"	62.0	12.4	89	11	47.0	_	.69	10.4	89	95

 F_4 generation (UC69494–43T–252T–768T) obtained in breeding program

Y. Paul Puri is Specialist, Department of Agronomy and Range Science, and Superintendent of the U.C. Tulelake Field Station; Calvin O. Qualset is Professor and Chairman, Department of Agronomy and Range Science, U.C., Davis; Kenneth G. Baghott is Farm Advisor, Modoc County; Herbert E. Vogt is Staff Research Associate, U.C., Davis; William F. Lehman is Agronomist, U.C. Imperial Valley Field Station; E. Wayne Hempleman is a Cereal Chemist, General Mills, Inc., Great Falls, Montana; William C. Shuey is Cereal Chemist, USDA Wheat Quality Laboratory, Fargo, North Dakota; and John D. Prato is Extension Agronomist, U.C., Davis. Research leading to development of Modoc durum wheat was supported in part by funds from the California Crop Improvement Association; California Cereal Research Fund; Newell Grain Growers Association; Winema Elevators, Inc.; and General Mills, Inc.

F₃ generation (UC69494-43T-262T) obtained in breeding program

ine (TLD701 bulk) developed in breeding program and selected line.

[†] Line (TLD701W) developed in breeding program and selected pure line for spike color

[‡] Line (TLD701 bulk) developed in breeding program and selected line.