Evaluation of variety tolerance and chemical control of Fusarium vine decline

Brenna Aegerter, UC Cooperative Extension, San Joaquin County Cassandra Swett & Kelley Paugh, UC Davis Plant Pathology Amber Vinchesi-Vahl, UCCE Colusa & Sutter/Yuba counties Tom Turini, UCCE Fresno County Ag Seeds and TS & L

> **University** of **California** Agriculture and Natural Resources

Fusarium wilt

Fusarium falciforme vine decline





Fusarium wilt

Fusarium crown and root rot

Fusarium falciforme stem rot and vine decline





Cassandra Swett



N 6428





Cassandra Swett



TS&L variety trial in a commercial field, Yolo County 2022





| Cultivar | # of field trials | Normalized vield [×] | Normalized fruit damage levels ^y | Fruit damage average to verv low | Normalized vine decline at harvest ^z | Tendency towards vine decline | | |
|----------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | , | | HIGH PERFORMING | | | | |
| H1776 | 3 | 1.26 | 0.54 | very low fruit damage | 0.96 | average tendency towards vine decline | | |
| SV9016 | 3 | 1.16 | 0.52 | very low fruit damage | 0.82 | more data needed | | |
| SV9019 | 2 | 1.15 | 0.61 | very low fruit damage | 0.54 | more data needed | | |
| N6428 | 7 | 1.13 | 0.65 | low fruit damage | 0.87 | less likely to decline prematurely | | |
| SV9025 | 3 | 1.13 | 0.39 | very low fruit damage | 0.95 | more data needed | | |
| H5608 | 4 | 1.10 | 0.77 | low fruit damage | 0.44 | more data needed | | |
| H8504 | 5 | 1.10 | 0.67 | low fruit damage | 0.80 | less likely to decline prematurely | | |
| DRI0319 | 3 | 1.06 | 0.96 | average damage | 0.41 | less likely to decline prematurely | | |
| N6434 | 3 | 1.05 | 0.73 | low fruit damage | 0.38 | more data needed | | |
| HM58841 | 5 | 1.05 | 0.86 | low fruit damage | 1.04 | average tendency towards vine decline | | |
| | | | | MEDIUM PERFORMING | <u><u> </u></u> | | | |
| BQ273 | 2 | 1.04 | 1.65 | | 0.24 | more data needed | | |
| H1428 | 3 | 1.00 | 0.81 | low fruit damage | 0.89 | more data needed | | |
| HM5235 | 4 | 1.00 | 1.39 | | 0.90 | less likely to decline prematurely | | |
| HM58801 | 5 | 0.97 | 1.16 | | 0.96 | average tendency towards vine decline | | |
| H1996 | 2 | 0.96 | 0.57 | very low fruit damage | 1.50 | more data needed | | |
| BQ403 | 2 | 0.95 | 1.30 | | 1.06 | more data needed | | |
| HM4909 | 5 | 0.92 | 0.97 | average damage | 1.13 | more likely to decline prematurely | | |
| SV9011 | 2 | 0.90 | 1.30 | | 0.69 | more data needed | | |
| H4707 | 2 | 0.90 | 0.56 | very low fruit damage | 0.95 | more data needed | | |
| H1310 | 4 | 0.89 | 1.07 | | 1.08 | average tendency towards vine decline | | |
| H1662 | 2 | 0.88 | 0.43 | very low fruit damage | 0.98 | more data needed | | |
| | | | | LOW PERFORMING | | | | |
| HM5522 | 2 | 1.04 | 1.63 | | 1.23 | more data needed | | |
| BP13 | 2 | 1.02 | 1.65 | | 1.32 | more data needed | | |
| HM3887 | 7 | 0.88 | 1.35 | | 1.33 | more likely to decline prematurely | | |
| SV8011 | 3 | 0.86 | 1.07 | | 1.37 | more data needed | | |
| H9663 | 2 | 0.86 | 1.70 | | 1.36 | more likely to decline prematurely | | |
| AB0311 | 3 | 0.82 | 1.07 | variable fruit damage | 1.28 | more data needed | | |
| N6416 | 2 | 0.77 | 1.30 | | 1.30 | more likely to decline prematurely | | |

Top performers under F. falciforme pressure

- N 6428, N6434
- H 5608, H 1776
- SVTM 9016, SVTM 9019, SVTM 9025
- HM 58841, HM5235

• Trials on-going



Efficacy of drip-applied fungicides and metam-potassium fumigant against:

- Fusarium wilt caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici race 3
- Fusarium crown and stem rot and vine decline caused by *Fusarium falciforme*

Study sites

2019

- UC Davis field infested with Fusarium wilt
- UC Davis field infested with Fusarium falciforme
- Yolo Co. commercial field with Fusarium falciforme
- San Joaquin Co. commercial field with both diseases

2020 & 2021

San Joaquin Co. commercial field with both diseases

Materials evaluated:

Fungicides (applied at planting and early season):

- Miravis (Syngenta) pydiflumetofen (FRAC group 7)
- Velum (Bayer) fluopyram (7)
- Rhyme (FMC) flutriafol (3)

Fumigant (applied at least two weeks prior to planting):

K-Pam (AMVAC) – metam potassium

Application timings

| | application timing(s) relative to transplant date | >2 weeks pre-plant | At transplanting | 3 wk | 5 wk |
|--------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|------|------|
| | Product (active ingredient) | | | | |
| | Velum One (fluopyram) | | drench | drip | drip |
| North States | Rhyme (flutriafol) | | drench | drip | drip |
| | Miravis (pydiflumetofen) | | drench | drip | drip |
| The second | K-Pam (metam potassium) | drip | | | |

| | Fusarium incidence (%) | | Marketable yield | | Fruit biomass | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|----|
| Treatment | | 13-Aug | | (tons/acre) | | (tons/acre) | |
| K-Pam 31 gal | 1.8 | 15.8 | e | 53.5 | a | 58.7 | а |
| K-Pam 31 gal + AMV6125 at planting | 3.0 | 18.8 | de | 48.6 | ab | 56.8 | а |
| K-Pam 15.5 gal | 3.0 | 23.0 | cd | 41.2 abc | | 49.9ab | |
| Rhyme 7 oz at 0, 4 & 6 wks | 6.0 | 23.8 | bcd | 41.1abc | | 47.8ab | |
| K-Pam 15.5 gal + AMV6125 at planting | 3.3 | 21.5 | cde | 40.5 | bc | 48.2 | ab |
| AMV6125 at planting | 5.8 | 5.8 34.0 a | | 36.8 bcd | | 43.2 | bc |
| Miravis 13.7 oz at 0, 2 & 4 weeks | 3.5 | 27.5 | abc | 36.8 | bcd | 44.6 | bc |
| Rhyme 7 oz at 0, 2 & 4 weeks | 6.5 | 28.5 | abc | 34.0 | cd | 40.0 | bc |
| Non-treated control | 4.3 | 30.3 | ab | 27.6 | d | 34.1 | С |
| | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 4.1 | 24.8 | | 40.0 | | 47.0 | |
| LSD | NS | 7.03 | | 12.69 | | 12.09 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| P-value | NS | 0.0004 | | 0.015 | | 0.008 | |
| CV % | 54.9 | 19.4 | | 21.7 | | 17.6 | |

Means in the same column with the same letter are not significantly different.

San Joaquin County trial, 2021

Summary of seven field trials including fungicides and/or fumigants

| year location disease(s) Product | 2019 UC Davis Fol | 2019 UC Davis Ff | 2019 Yolo Co Ff | 2019 San Joaquin Co Fol | 2019 San Joaquin Co Ff | 2020 San Joaquin Co Fol & Ff | 2021 San Joaquin Co Fol & Ff |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| K-Pam ~30 gal | ++ | NT | NT | ++ | + 7.2 t/a | + | + 26 t/a |
| K-Pam ~15 gal | - | NT | + 11.9 t/a | NT | NT | + | + 13.6 t/a |
| Miravis | ++ | + | NT | ++ | NT | + | + 9.2 t/a |
| Rhyme | - | NT | NT | - | NT | + | + 10 t/a |
| Velum | - | + | NT | - | NT | - | NT |
| | | | | | | | |
| Disease level in non- treated control | 68% vine decline | 47% rot | 73% rot | 37% vine decline | 20% vine decline | 31% vine decline | 30% vine decline |
| Disease P value | P < 0.05 | NS | NS | 0.01 | not tested | 0.06 | 0.0004 |
| Yield <i>P value</i> | NS | NS | 0.01 | NS | 0.016 | NS | 0.015 |

San Joaquin Co. F. falciforme split field



Effect of metam drip fumigation on processing tomato yield in trials 2017 to 2021



2017 -2018 data from Marja Koivunen, AMVAC

Rates are expressed as broadcast equivalents,

Yield difference is expressed in comparison to non-treated control in Tons/A

JEIERA

Section 2 (ee) Recommendation

| Date: | June 24, 2020 |
|----------|---|
| Product: | Miravis® Prime EPA Reg. No. 100-1603 |
| Use: | Suppression of Fusarium wilt in Fruiting Vegetables |
| State: | California |

Directions for Use

- It is a violation of Federal law to use this product in a manner inconsistent with its labeling.
- The user must refer to the federally approved labels for the above noted product and read and follow all directions for use, restrictions, and precautions.
- The user should have this recommendation in its possession at the time of use.
- This recommendation for use of this product is permitted under Section 2(ee) of FIFRA and has not been submitted to or been approved by EPA.

Apply 11.4 fl oz/A immediately after transplanting or within 7-14 days later. Make a second application of 11.4 fl oz/A, 14-21 days after the first application. Apply no closer than a 7-day interval. Apply using one of the following application methods:

- foliar spray in a 7- to 10-inch band spray over the top,
- direct nozzles on both sides of transplants as a soil-directed spray in a minimum of 20 GPA or,
- using overhead chemigation in 0.25 inches water per acre.



EPA Reg. No. 279-3588

FIFRA 2(ee) Recommendation

FOR DISTRIBUTION AND USE IN ARKANSAS, CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, KENTUCKY, ILLINOIS, INDIANA, MAINE, OHIO, MARYLAND, NEW HAMPSHIRE, NEW JERSEY, NEW YORK, SOUTH CAROLINA, MASSACHUSETTS, MICHIGAN, NORTH CAROLINA, PENNSYLVANIA, RHODE ISLAND, TENNESSEE, VERMONT, VIRGINIA, WEST VIRGINIA THIS RECOMMENDATION, WHICH CONTAINS ADDITIONAL DIRECTIONS FOR USE, IS MADE AS PERMITTED UNDER FIFRA SECTION 2(ee) AND HAS NOT BEEN SUBMITTED TO OR APPROVED BY THE US EPA.

This recommendation for Rhyme™ fungicide is valid until March 31, 2025, or until withdrawn, canceled or suspended.

Use of this product according to this bulletin has not been reviewed or endorsed by the Office of the Indiana State Chemist

IT IS A VIOLATION OF FEDERAL LAW TO USE THIS PRODUCT IN A MANNER INCONSISTENT WITH ITS LABELING. ALL APPLICABLE DIRECTIONS, RESTRICTIONS AND PRECAUTIONS ON THE EPA REGISTERED LABEL MUST BE FOLLOWED.

THESE USE DIRECTIONS MUST BE IN THE POSSESSION OF THE USER AT THE TIME OF PESTICIDE APPLICATION.

FOR SUPPRESSION OF FUSARIUM SP. VIA CHEMIGATION IN TOMATOES

Directions for Use

Rhyme fungicide will provide suppression of listed diseases.

Apply preventatively or when conditions are favorable for disease development. Repeat as necessary if conditions are favorable for disease development. Best results for soilborne pathogens has been obtained when Rhyme fungicide is applied preventively, shortly after transplanting, followed by subsequent applications at 14 day intervals to provide protection of the roots from soilborne pathogens.

| Сгор | Pest | Rate (fl oz/A) | PHI | Retreatment Interval (Days) | Restrictions |
|--|---|-------------------|-----|--------------------------------|--|
| Tomatoes (see label for listed crops) | Fusarium sp.(Suppression) (Fusarium oxysporum) | 7 | D | 14 | Do not apply more than 7.0 fl. oz. (0.114 lb ai) product/A/ application. Do not apply more than 28 fl. oz. of product/A/year. Do not make more than 4 applications/ year. Do not apply more than 0.455 lb. ai of flutriafol or flutriafol containing products/A/year. |

Variety selection and chemical control

We don't have resistance to the new Fusarium vine decline, but there are varieties that are more tolerant

Chemical control is not highly effective, but combined with other measures it can often be useful



Acknowledgements

California Tomato Research Institute

Ag Seeds and TS & L

Cassandra Swett & Kelley Paugh, UC Davis Plant Pathology Amber Vinchesi-Vahl, UCCE Colusa & Sutter/Yuba counties

Tom Turini, UCCE Fresno County

Del Carlo Farms, R & J Sanguinetti Ranch, Coit Farms, Dresick Farms

Bill Vignolo, Simplot Stockton

AMVAC, Syngenta, Bayer and FMC

My info: Brenna Aegerter bjaegerter@ucanr.edu (209) 351-1595 mobile