Inhibition of Smooth Muscle Proliferation by Urea-Based Alkanoic Acids via Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor α–Dependent Repression of Cyclin D1
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Objective—Proliferation of smooth muscle cells is implicated in cardiovascular complications. Previously, a urea-based soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor was shown to attenuate smooth muscle cell proliferation. We examined the possibility that urea-based alkanoic acids activate the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPARα) and the role of PPARα in smooth muscle cell proliferation.

Methods and Results—Alkanoic acids transactivated PPARα, induced binding of PPARα to its response element, and significantly induced the expression of PPARα-responsive genes, showing their function as PPARα agonists. Furthermore, the alkanoic acids attenuated platelet-derived growth factor–induced smooth muscle cell proliferation via repression of cyclin D1 expression. Using small interfering RNA to decrease endogenous PPARα expression, it was determined that PPARα was partially involved in the cyclin D1 repression. The antiproliferative effects of alkanoic acids may also be attributed to their inhibitory effects on soluble epoxide hydrolase, because epoxyeicosatrienoic acids alone inhibited smooth muscle cell proliferation.

Conclusions—These results show that attenuation of smooth muscle cell proliferation by urea-based alkanoic acids is mediated, in part, by the activation of PPARα. These acids may be useful for designing therapeutics to treat diseases characterized by excessive smooth muscle cell proliferation. (Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2006;26:2462-2468.)

Key Words: soluble epoxide hydrolase • epoxyeicosatrienoic acids • PPARα • smooth muscle cells • proliferation

Smooth muscle cell (SMC) proliferation is a critical event in atherosclerosis and in restenosis following interventional procedures. After injury to the vasculature, a vaso- and proliferation cascade is initiated that includes the recruitment and proliferation of SMCs, which can eventually lead to occlusive lesions that result in myocardial ischemia. Much effort has been made to inhibit SMC proliferation using pharmacological and genetic approaches that interfere with cell cycle regulators such as cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs).

Cyclins and CDKs are part of the regulatory machinery controlling cell cycle progression. The D and E cyclins and their associated kinases are viewed as essential for entry into and progression through the G1 phase of a cell cycle. Overexpression of the D cyclins can shorten G1, implying that they are critical for cell cycle progression through this phase. In leukemic and breast cancer cells, xenobiotics such as clofibrate and troglitazone inhibit cyclin D expression, resulting in cell cycle arrest. These compounds are ligands for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) (NR1C) family of nuclear receptors.

PPARs are ligand-activated nuclear receptors of which there are 3 isoforms (α, γ, and δ). Activators of PPARα include polyunsaturated fatty acids and fibrate drugs. PPARγ ligands include the prostaglandin (PG) D2 derivative 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2 (15-ΔPGJ2), oxidized linoleic acid, and the antidiabetic thiazolidinediones such as troglitazone and rosiglitazone. All 3 PPAR isoforms are expressed in vascular smooth muscle and endothelial cells, and recent studies have elucidated the importance of these receptors in atherogenesis. On ligand activation, PPAR heterodimerizes with the retinoid X receptor (RXR), and they subsequently bind to the peroxisome proliferator response element (PPRE). By recruiting large complexes of coactivators, target gene transcription is then initiated. PPARα can also repress gene expression by interfering with other signaling pathways such as the nuclear factor κB and activator protein-1 pathways. Eicosanoids derived from the cytochrome P450–catalyzed metabolism of arachidonic acid include the regio- and stereoisomeric epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (EETs), which have potent vasoactive and antiinflammatory effects in smooth muscle and endothelial cells. The soluble epoxide hydrolase
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(sEH) enzyme hydrates EETs into their corresponding dihydroxyeicosatrienoic acids (DHETs) and is thus critical in controlling the biological effects of EETs. Interestingly, sEH is strongly induced by PPARα ligands such as clofibrate.16 In a recent study, 1-cyclohexyl-3-dodecyl urea (CDU), a urea-based sEH inhibitor, decreased platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-induced SMC proliferation by inhibiting cyclin D1 expression.17 This study suggested an increase in intracellular EET concentration caused by inhibition of sEH may be responsible for the decrease in SMC proliferation. However, other studies have indicated that EETs are mitogenic in SMCs.18 Thus the ability of CDU to inhibit SMC proliferation may be independent of its effects on sEH. The effect of CDU may also be context dependent, varying with species, tissue, and physiological state.

In this report, we show that inhibitors of sEH, the urea-based alkanoic acids, activate PPARα and in turn attenuate PDGF-induced SMC proliferation by repressing cyclin D1 expression. To unambiguously determine whether the decreased cyclin D1 expression is mediated by PPARα, endogenous expression of PPARα in SMCs was decreased using small interfering RNA (siRNA). Results indicate that PPARα is at least partially responsible for the observed attenuation of SMC proliferation by urea-based alkanoic acids.

**Methods**

**Materials and Cell Culture**

The synthesis of all urea-based acids has been described in detail elsewhere.19 The compounds used in this study were cyclohexyl butanoic acid urea (CUBA), cyclohexyl heptanoic acid urea (CU-HpA), cyclohexyl octanoic acid urea (CUOA), cyclohexyl undecanoic acid urea (CUUA), cyclohexyl dodecanoic acid urea (CUDA), adamantyl dodecanoic acid urea (AUDA), and cyclohexyl dodecyl urea (CDU). The cyclin D1 and β-actin antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology and Santa Cruz Biotechnology, respectively. Wt, 14,643 and PDGF-BB were purchased from Biomol and Upstate Biotechnology, respectively. Radioactive compounds were obtained from Perkin Elmer. Human aortic and coronary artery SMCs were purchased from Cambrex and maintained in SmGM-2 medium. All experiments using SMCs were carried out at passages 4 to 9.

**HepG2 and SMC Transfection**

HepG2 cells were transfected in 24-well plates with 1 ng Ga4-hPPARα, 100 ng UAS-LUC (both kindly provided by Dr Thomas Scanlan at the University of California, San Francisco), and 20 ng of pCMV-βgal using Lipofectamine PLUS reagents (Invitrogen) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were treated with test compounds dissolved in DMSO (<0.1%) for an additional 24 hours. Luciferase activity is normalized to β-galactosidase activity, and fold activation is calculated relative to the DMSO control. Each experiment was carried out in triplicate and repeated 3 times. For the detection of PPARα responsive genes, cells were transfected with 1 ng of pCMX-mPPARα (kindly provided by Dr Ronald Evans at the Salk Institute, La Jolla, Calif).

Aortic SMCs were grown to 50% to 60% confluence and transfected with PPARα (no. 5439) or negative control siRNA no. 1 using siPORT Amine (all from Ambion) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. For detection of cyclin D1 expression, transfected cells were incubated in quiescence medium 24 hours after transfection for 1 day. Cells were then exposed to growth medium with or without the test compounds for the indicated times.

**Gel Shift Assays**

pCMX-mPPARα and pRS-hRXRα were translated using the TNT reticulocyte in vitro translation system (Promega). Plasmids were kindly provided by Dr Ronald Evans (Salk Institute). Gel shift assays were carried out as described previously.20 The sequence for the consensus PPRE is 5'-CCA AAC TAG GTC AAA GGT CA-3'; for the mutant PPRE, 5'-CCA AAG TAG CAC AAA GCA CA-3'.

**[3H]-Thymidine Incorporation, Real-Time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction, and Western Immunoblotting**

Incorporation of [3H]-thymidine into SMCs was assessed as described.15 Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and reverse transcribed using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega). The 18S primers and probe were provided by the University of California San Francisco Cancer Center. All other primers and probes were purchased from Applied Biosystems. Relative expression of specific transcripts was calculated by the following formula: relative expression = 2^(-ΔΔCt), where ΔΔCt = ΔCttarget - ΔCttarget_control.21 For Western immunoblotting, cells were lysed with a 1% Igepal lysis buffer and proteins were separated on Criterion 12.5% Tris-HCl gels (Bio-Rad) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were incubated with a 1:1000 dilution of primary antibody followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibody.

**Statistics**

Statistical significance of differences between values was evaluated by an unpaired Student’s t test. Significance was set at a probability value of <0.05.

**Results**

**Urea-Based Alkanoic Acids Activate PPARα and Induce Formation of a PPARα/PPRE Complex**

An adamantyl and a series of cyclohexyl substituted urea-based alkanoic acids were tested for their ability to activate PPARα. The structures of these acids are shown in supplemental Figure I of the online data supplement, available at http://atvb.ahajournals.org. In transactivation assays, HepG2 cells were transfected with the DNA-binding domain of the Gal4 transcription factor fused to the ligand-binding domain of the hPPARα receptor and tested for their ability, in the presence of the alkanolic acids, CDU, or the PPARα-positive control Wy 14,643, to transactivate the Gal4 response element UAS tagged to a luciferase reporter gene (UAS-LUC). All of the alkanolic acids and CDU significantly activated PPARα compared with the DMSO vehicle control (Figure 1A). PPARα activation increased as the carbon chain length in the cyclohexyl-based acids was lengthened. A maximum activation was observed with CUUA and CUDA. The adamantyl urea-based acid AUDA also strongly activated PPARα more than 20-fold over control. As expected, activation required PPARα transfection. A steep dose dependence for PPARα activation was observed between 10 and 100 μmol/L CUUA and AUDA, with saturation evident at 50 μmol/L (supplemental Figure II). The Gal4-thyroid receptor β was not transactivated by CUUA or AUDA, showing that activation of nuclear receptors is specific for PPARα (data not shown).

Using electrophoretic mobility-shift assays (EMSA), it was next examined whether these alkanolic acids could induce the formation of a PPARα-PPRE complex. In vitro–translated PPARα and RXRα were tested for their ability to heterodimerize in the presence of Wy 14,643 or the alkanolic...
acids and bind to the PPRE. Addition of Wy 14,643 or the alkanoic acids resulted in the detection of a PPRE–protein complex (Figure 1B). PPARα/H9251 and RXRα/H9251 were also able to heterodimerize and bind the PPRE in the absence of ligand; however, densitometric analysis revealed that the extent of this basal binding was significantly less than the binding observed with Wy 14,643 or all of the alkanoic acids except CUBA and CUHpA. The negative controls, a mutated response element and unprogrammed reticulocyte (unprg) were used as controls.

Urea-Based Alkanoic Acids Induce Expression of PPARα-Responsive Genes

To determine whether these PPARα activators could affect known PPARα-responsive genes, acyl-coenzyme A (CoA) synthetase (ACS), carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1A (CPT1A), and acyl-CoA oxidase (ACOX) mRNA expression were determined in hepatocytes, and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression was assayed in human aortic SMCs using TaqMan quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Figure 2). Treatment of PPARα-transfected HepG2 cells with Wy 14,643, CUUA, or AUDA resulted in the induction of all 3 hepatic PPARα-responsive genes (Figure 2A). ACS expression was upregulated 7-fold, CPT1A 16-fold, and ACOX 5-fold by Wy 14,643. CUUA and AUDA increased ACS expression 4- to 5-fold. Similarly, CPT1A and ACOX expression were induced 2- to 5-fold by CUUA and AUDA. The expression of the PPARα-responsive gene COX-2 in SMCs was determined in the absence or presence of interleukin (IL)-1β (Figure 2B). Wy 14,643 potentiatsed IL-1β-induced COX-2 expression by 4-fold, whereas CUUA and AUDA both increased COX-2 expression by approximately 2-fold.

Urea-Based Alkanoic Acids Inhibit Human SMC Proliferation

Human aortic SMCs were quiesced for 24 hours, then incubated in medium containing PDGF-BB with or without the PPARα activators Wy 14,643, CUUA, or AUDA. As expected, PDGF resulted in a marked increase in SMC proliferation (Figure 3). Wy 14,643, CUUA, and AUDA, but not CDU, significantly attenuated PDGF-induced proliferation (Figure 3A). In dose-dependence studies, a significant decrease in proliferation relative to PDGF alone was evident starting at 1 μmol/L CUUA or AUDA (Figure 3B). Interestingly, a sharp decrease in proliferation was observed when human aortic SMCs were treated with 20 μmol/L AUDA. A
similar decrease was observed when coronary artery SMCs were treated with 20 μmol/L AUDA (data not shown). To show that decreased SMC proliferation is not attributed to increased cellular toxicity, SMCs were subjected to proliferation conditions and fluorescently labeled with a viability stain, 7-AAD (supplemental Figure IV). Cellular toxicity was not evident in any of the treatments and thus cannot account for the attenuation of SMC proliferation.

Urea-Based Alkanoic Acids Decrease Cyclin D1 Expression, an Effect That Requires, in Part, the Expression of PPARα

To understand the mechanism whereby CUUA and AUDA induce cell cycle arrest, cyclin D1 RNA and protein levels were examined (Figure 4). Cyclin D1 RNA expression was induced 6 to 12 hours after incubation in PDGF medium (Figure 4A). With the addition of Wy 14,643 and AUDA, cyclin D1 levels were significantly decreased at 6 to 24 hours, and CUUA attenuated cyclin D1 RNA expression at 12 hours compared with PDGF treatment alone. Increased cyclin D1 protein levels are detected already at 6 hours following PDGF treatment, consistent with its early expression in the cell cycle (Figure 4B). Addition of Wy 14,643, CUUA, and AUDA attenuated PDGF-induced cyclin D1 protein expression from 6 to 24 hours, with AUDA almost completely ablating cyclin D1 expression at all time points examined. To determine whether inhibition of cyclin D1 is attributed to CUUA/AUDA activation of PPARα, PPARα expression was silenced using siRNA in SMCs (Figure 4C and supplemental Figure V). Transfection with the negative control siRNA had no effect on PPARα transcript or protein expression. In SMCs transfected with negative control siRNA, cyclin D1 protein expression was induced with the addition of PDGF medium and attenuated in the presence of Wy 14,643, CUUA, and AUDA. These results indicated that transfection of SMCs with the control siRNA did not interfere with the normal response of cyclin D1 to PPARα activators. Interestingly, in PPARα siRNA-transfected SMCs, PDGF failed to induce cyclin D1, whose level was comparable to that in growth-arrested cells. Because Wy 14,643 is a known agonist of PPARα, silencing of PPARα should dampen the attenua-
tion of cyclin D1 expression that was observed in control-transfected cells. Consistent with this reasoning, SMCs transfected with PPARα siRNA and treated with PDGF medium containing Wy 14,643 resulted in a 1.4-fold increase in cyclin D1 expression. Furthermore, the repressive effects of CUUA and AUDA were partially mitigated by knocking down PPARα. In CUUA-treated cells, cyclin D1 expression increased 3.5-fold relative to control-transfected cells, and a striking 6.2-fold increase was observed with AUDA treatment. These results show that PPARα plays a role in mediating the inhibitory effects of CUUA and AUDA on cyclin D1 expression.

EETs and 14,15-EEZE Inhibit PDGF-Induced SMC Proliferation

To determine whether the antiproliferative effects of CUUA and AUDA can be attributed to their inhibition of sEH and subsequent elevation of cellular EET levels, proliferation assays were performed with various regioisomeric EETs (Figure 5). It was first determined that sEH expression was detectable in these cells (supplemental Figure VI). EETs were indeed effective against SMC proliferation, with 8,9-, 11,12-, and 14,15-EET decreasing PDGF-induced proliferation by 77%, 57%, and 40%, respectively. The putative EET receptor antagonist 14,15-epoxyeicosa-5(Z)-enoic acid (EEZE) was also used to attenuate the EET-dependent effects. Interestingly however, 14,15-EEZE itself significantly inhibited SMC proliferation (Figure 5).

Discussion

Urea-based alkanoic acids are potent inhibitors of sEH with nanomolar \( K_i \) values.\(^1\) The studies described within demonstrate that the urea-based alkanoic acids CUUA and AUDA functionally activated PPARα and induced the expression of PPARα-responsive genes in hepatocytes and SMCs. Because the concentration required for PPARα activation is in the micromolar range, the effects of sEH inhibition may be distinct from that of PPARα activation. CUUA and AUDA also attenuated PDGF-induced SMC proliferation and repressed cyclin D1 expression, an action mediated partially by PPARα. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that increased EET levels resulting from sEH inhibition by CUUA and AUDA contributes to the antiproliferative effects of these compounds. CUUA, AUDA, and related compounds may represent novel structural leads for the synthesis of more potent PPARα ligands for therapeutic use.

Cyclohexyl alkanoic acid and adamantyl-dodecanoic acid ureas were tested as putative PPARα activators. Functional assays showed increasing activity with increasing alkyl chain length, with a maximum effect observed with the 11 and 12 carbon analogs CUUA and CUDA. The crystal structure of AZ 242 bound to the ligand-binding domain of human PPARα shows extensive hydrophobic interactions between its central ring systems and the receptor.\(^2\) The fact that shorter chain alkanoic ureas are less effective as PPARα activators may be attributable to decreased hydrophobic interactions. CUDA and AUDA differ only in the substitution of the cyclohexyl for an adamantyl group, and AUDA is a slightly weaker PPARα activator. The bulkier adamantyl moiety might restrict accessibility to the ligand binding site; however, this effect is not limiting because AUDA potently transactivates PPARα.

During the preparation of this report, a similar study was published that reported the activation of PPARα by CUDA and AUDA.\(^3\) Reminiscent of our findings, the authors showed that chain-shortened metabolic products of CUDA and AUDA were progressively less potent activators of PPARα. In addition, the PPARα agonist GW7647 reduced DHE production by 30% to 50%, suggesting that PPARα agonists and alkanoic acid ureas can be rationally optimized to perform both biological functions.

Via PPARα-mediated mechanisms, peroxisome proliferators induce the expression of numerous genes involved in fatty acid metabolism and transport in the liver, including ACS, CPT1A, and ACOX1.\(^4\)\(^–\)\(^6\) The novel PPARα activators, CUUA and AUDA, are inducers of ACS, CPT1A, and ACOX1, critical enzymes that facilitate the uptake and entry of fatty acids into the mitochondria for catabolism by \( \beta \)-oxidation.\(^7\) It is therefore possible that treatment with alkanoic acid urea-based sEH inhibitors may influence lipid homeostasis.

In vascular SMCs, PPARα-responsive genes are expressed in response to numerous inflammatory stimuli.\(^1\) One such gene is COX-2, which has a PPRE in its promoter region.\(^2\) In this study, we show that in human aortic SMCs, CUUA and AUDA potentiate IL-1β-induced expression of COX-2. The consequences of increased COX-2 expression in SMCs in response to sEH inhibitors are not yet clear. Studies have reported that upregulation of COX-2 expression and its metabolites can result in both increased and decreased SMC proliferation.\(^2\)\(^9\)\(^–\)\(^3\) Interestingly, COX-2 can have inflammatory properties in venules and act as an antiinflammatory mediator in SMCs of large capacity vessels.\(^3\) An understanding of the role of COX-2 metabolites in the vascular response to inflammatory stimuli will be necessary for evaluating the use of sEH inhibitors for the treatment of vascular inflammation.

Furthermore, CUUA and AUDA attenuated PDGF-induced SMC proliferation. Another urea-based compound, CDU, was previously shown to inhibit SMC proliferation; however, we have not been able to consistently demonstrate its antiproliferative effects. This discrepancy is likely attributable to the use of primary cells from different donors. Urea-based alkanoic acids inhibit sEH at nanomolar concentrations, whereas their antiproliferative effect was only observed at micromolar concentrations, suggesting that the
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inhibitors may signal via a separate pathway to decrease SMC proliferation. AUDA decreased proliferation by 50% at a concentration of 5 μmol/L, whereas 5 μmol/L CUUA only moderately inhibited SMC proliferation. The fact that CUUA and AUDA have relatively comparable effects on PPAR activation, yet CUUA is not as potent at inhibiting SMC proliferation as AUDA, suggests that the antiproliferative effect of these compounds may not be solely attributable to PPAR activation. A steep decrease in proliferation was observed between 10 μmol/L and 20 μmol/L AUDA in 2 different types of SMCs, and toxicity could not account for the sudden proliferative decrease. Possibly, the phenomenon is associated with the ability of AUDA to form aggregates (B. Feng, V.Y. Ng, D.L. Kroetz, B.K. Shoichet, unpublished data, 2005). Small molecules may inhibit enzymes nonspecifically by the formation of aggregates at micromolar concentrations. At 20 μmol/L, AUDA, aggregates form readily, whereas minimal aggregation was observed with the same concentration of CUUA. Surprisingly, AUDA is 2 times more soluble in water than CUADA; therefore, their aggregatory properties may not be related to their solubility. It may be possible that AUDA, by forming aggregates, indirectly inhibits cyclin D1 expression. Unfortunately it was not possible to test this hypothesis because the required disruption of aggregates using Triton-X is detrimental to cellular integrity. Also the adamantine group is more rapidly metabolized by hydroxylation in some cases than is the cyclohexyl. Thus differential metabolism is a possible explanation, but investigation is beyond the scope of this study.

Inhibition of cyclin D1 RNA and protein was observed when PDGF-stimulated SMCs were incubated with AUDA. AUDA completely abolished cyclin D1 protein expression, indicating SMCs were G1 growth arrested. Despite the complete repression of cyclin D1 immunoreactive protein, its transcripts were readily detectable. The discrepancy could be attributable to the high sensitivity of TaqMan real-time PCR or that expression of cyclin D1 is regulated by both transcriptional and nontranscriptional mechanisms. Interestingly, CUUA inhibited cyclin D1 protein expression at 6 and 12 hours yet had minimal effects on cyclin D1 RNA. These results would suggest that CUUA does not regulate cyclin D1 at the transcriptional level. Nontranscriptional mechanisms for nuclear receptor signaling have been reported. Estrogen receptor regulates nitric oxide release by physically interacting with the regulatory subunit of the lipid kinase phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and mediates estrogen-dependent transport of ribonucleoprotein from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. It is therefore plausible that CUUA and AUDA exert their effects on cyclin D1 via nontranscriptional mechanisms.

An alternative explanation would suggest that CUUA and AUDA inhibit SMC proliferation independent of PPARα; however, by reducing its expression, the role of PPARα was evident. In the absence of normal levels of PPARα, repression of cyclin D1 by alkanoic acids was greatly reduced. Interestingly, when PPARα expression is reduced, PDGF failed to induce expression of cyclin D1, indicating that PPARα may be a downstream member of the PDGF signaling pathway. PPAR ligands have been implicated in the progression of the cell cycle. In rat aortic SMCs, a partial PPARγ agonist attenuated the induction of G1 cyclins by PDGF, and in human leukemic cells, clobafibrate arrested cells in G1/G0, by decreasing cyclin D2 and c-myc expression. Without complete knockdown of PPARα, it is not possible to conclude that CUUA and AUDA repress cyclin D1 solely by activating PPARα.

Urea-based inhibitors have been widely used to block the conversion of EETs into DHETs and to examine the role of EETs in numerous biological functions. To date, effects associated with sEH inhibition have been attributed to the increased levels of EETs or more generally the increase in lipid epoxide to diol ratios, including the reduction of blood pressure and protection against kidney failure in hypertensive rats. In this report, EETs alone inhibited SMC proliferation. We have also demonstrated that EETs are potent activators of PPARα (V.Y. Ng, L.M. Reddy, J.R. Falck, D.L. Kroetz, unpublished data); therefore, it is possible that the PPARα-activating and sEH inhibitory effects of the alkanoic acids act in concert to culminate in their anti-proliferative properties. PPARα activation and sEH inhibition/EET overexpression display overlapping biological effects, such as their antiinflammatory properties in vascular cells, and protective effects during hypoxic and ischemic conditions. Reexamination of PPARα functions in the studies that involved the use of sEH inhibitors or overexpression of cytochrome P450 epoxygenases will provide additional insight into its role in these disease models.

Interestingly, the putative EET antagonist 14,15-EEZE also inhibited SMC proliferation. This compound has been shown to both inhibit and enhance relaxation of arteries, thus its actions have not been clearly defined. To date, the activity of 14,15-EEZE in SMCs has not been studied. It is possible that 14,15-EEZE, being a structural analog of EETs, acts as a sEH substrate such that sEH activity is inhibited and EET levels are increased.

In summary, the present study identified a novel class of PPARα activators, urea-based alkanoic acids, which are also inhibitors of sEH. CUUA and AUDA can induce fatty acid metabolism as well as inhibit SMC proliferation. Because excess SMC accumulation and proliferation is a hallmark of atherosclerosis, the use of these compounds for treatment of atherosclerosis is an intriguing possibility. In addition to possessing qualities of other PPARα agonists, CUUA and AUDA have the added ability to inhibit sEH, thereby increasing vascular protective EET levels. The combined biological effects of these urea-based alkanoic acids may prove to be well suited for the treatment of vascular diseases such as hypertension and inflammation.
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