## 2021 Orange County 4-H Fashion Revue - Consumer Science Purchased Scorecard

Name	Placing  Medalist:	25-28
County	Blue: 18-	24
Division: ☐ Junior: 9-10 ☐ Intermediate:11-13 ☐ Seni	ior: 14-19 🔲 Red: 11-	17
	☐ White: 7-	10

	Excellent 4	Very Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Needs Improvement 1	Score	
EXHIBITOR					I	
Self Esteem, Poise, & Posture	Confident & self-assured, models outfit to its best advantage; great use of gestures, eye contact, and facial expressions.	Good confidence, poise, posture, gestures, expressions, and eye contact.	Presents outfit with limited enthusiasm, poise, eye contact, gestures, and expressions.	Appears awkward or uncomfortable when presenting outfit. Lack of gestures, eye contact, or facial expressions.		
Personal Appearance & Grooming	Neat, spotless clean, well- groomed, well-pressed appearance.	Well groomed, neat appearance.	Minor problems with grooming; shoes or pressing need attention.	Appearance and grooming need more attention. Outfit shows wear.		
Verbal & Written Communication  Thorough knowledge of fabric, fiber content, cos value, and care.		Able to answer questions about care, fabric content, and cost vs. value.	Basic knowledge of care and cost vs. value.	Difficulty giving complete answers or providing answers to some questions.		
GARMENT(S)						
Shopability & Versatility	Outfit pieces add excellent functionality and versatility to wardrobe. Multiple shopping sources used. Learned new purchasing skills and gained new attitudes through the shopping experience.	Outfit pieces add some functionality and versatility to existing wardrobe. Learned new consumer skills.	Bought outfit pieces without much concern for functionality; adds limited versatility to wardrobe. New consumer skills not obvious without questioning.	No new skills and limited shopping experience indicated. Outfit pieces do not add functionality and versatility with existing wardrobe.		
Cost vs. Value	Great quality for money invested. Value of items far exceeds the cost paid. Cost and time for care are not excessive.	Good quality for money invested. Value is higher than cost. Cost and time for care are reasonable.	Average quality for money invested. Value is slightly higher than cost. Cost and time for care were not considered.	Poor quality for money invested. Value is equal to or lower than cost. Cost and time for care exceeds value of garment.		
Fit	Fit enhances personal attributes. A great fit of neck, shoulder, sleeves, waist, crotch, and side seams.	Well sized for style and body type; allows adequate ease of movement.	Minor adjustments needed in fit of entry.	Entry has fit defects that detract from overall appearance.		
Coordinated total look	Smart, well put together look. All aspects complement the model. Accessories enhance overall look.	Fabric, color and design complement the model. Accessories relate well to look of outfit.	Suitable for model; accessories add little interest to outfit.	Looks incomplete; design elements are not cohesive.		
EVALUATOR: TOTAL POINTS						

_	Disqualification:	⊨ntry	aid not include	e current 4-H	year re	eceipts for	all visible	items includ	ing snoes.
$\neg$	Dia 100 41	C 4		40.00	-1:4 I	Paris		1 4	

Comments: What was especially good about this entry and what can be done to improve it?

Disqualification: Entry exceeds the \$40.00 expenditure limit, not counting sales tax.