To duckweeds (*Landoltia punctata*), nanoparticulate copper oxide is more inhibitory than the soluble copper in the bulk solution
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The large uptake of Cu from nanoparticulate CuO suspension into duckweed tissue causes the toxicity of CuO-NP to be equivalent to about four times the soluble Cu in the NP suspension.
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**A B S T R A C T**

CuO nanoparticles (CuO-NP) were synthesized in a hydrogen diffusion flame. Particle size and morphology were characterized using scanning mobility particle sizing, Brunauer–Emmett–Teller analysis, dynamic light scattering, and transmission electron microscopy. The solubility of CuO-NP varied with both pH and presence of other ions. CuO-NP and comparable doses of soluble Cu were applied to duckweeds, *Landoltia punctata*. Growth was inhibited 50% by either 0.6 mg L\(^{-1}\) soluble copper or by 1.0 mg L\(^{-1}\) CuO-NP that released only 0.16 mg L\(^{-1}\) soluble Cu into growth medium. A significant decrease of chlorophyll was observed in plants stressed by 1.0 mg L\(^{-1}\) CuO-NP, but not in the comparable 0.2 mg L\(^{-1}\) soluble Cu treatment. The Cu content of fronds exposed to CuO-NP is four times higher than in fronds exposed to an equivalent dose of soluble copper, and this is enough to explain the inhibitory effects on growth and chlorophyll content.

**1. Introduction**

Metal oxide nanoparticles are receiving increasing attention in a large variety of applications; efforts are underway in many laboratories to understand the potential for eco-toxicity (Boczkowski and Hoet, 2009; Nowack and Bucheli, 2007; Unrine et al., 2008). In particular copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO-NP) are being used in antifouling paints for boats (Saison et al., 2010), used in some inks, plastics, and antimicrobial coatings (Cioffi et al., 2005) and marketed for many applications including ceramics, electronics, and propellants (reviewed in Pan et al., 2010). Recently, air-borne CuO-NP have been shown to be more toxic to human lung epithelial cells than μm size particles (Karlsson et al., 2008, 2009; Midander et al., 2009).

In aqueous media metal oxide nanoparticles can form a suspension with particles that aggregate in clusters of particular sizes (Franklin et al., 2007). A certain amount of metal also dissolves, and the equilibrium solution depends on the composition of the medium. The toxic effects of metal oxide nanoparticles can be due to the effects of the dissolved ionic metal, or there may be special hazards from nanoparticles themselves due to enhanced bioactivity. Several authors have pointed out the importance of distinguishing the toxicity of nanoparticulate structure from heavy metal toxicity (Franklin et al., 2007; Griffitt et al., 2007; Karlsson et al., 2008, 2009). In this study we have manufactured CuO-NP and characterized them with respect to particle size, aggregation, and the release of soluble Cu as a function of time, pH, and presence of mineral nutrients. The toxicity of CuO-NP and the uptake of Cu into plant tissue were compared to a reference toxicant, CuCl\(_2\).

The bioassays for toxicity examined the inhibition of growth and the decrease in chlorophyll content of *Landoltia punctata*, a member of the duckweed family. Duckweeds, distributed worldwide in ponds, sloughs and irrigation ditches, are favorite subjects for bioassays because they are easily cultured on defined nutrient media. They have high capacity for heavy metal uptake and have been proposed for bioremediation of copper (Bonardi et al., 1999; Kanoun-Boule et al., 2009; Zayed et al., 1998). Duckweeds grow with a bifurcating pattern of frond production, so that when nutrients are abundant the number of fronds increases exponentially with time (Fig. 1; Lehman et al., 1981). The rate of population growth can be characterized by D, the frond doubling time in the growth equation:
In the order of appearance of fronds. Lower time evolution of nanoparticles aggregation analysis was studied using a dynamic about 60 mg of powder sample was measured, and nitrogen was used as the adsorbate.

\[ N(t) = N_0 2^{t/D} \Rightarrow \log_2 N(t) = \log_2 N_0 + \frac{t}{D} \]  

where \( N(t) \) is the number of fronds at time \( t \) produced by an initial number \( N_0 \). Thus the characteristic doubling time can be obtained as the reciprocal of the slope of the regression of \( \log_2 \) of the frond number vs time. Here, growth inhibition by ionic Cu and CuO-NP is quantified as an increase in \( D \).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Synthesis and characterization of CuO-NP

Synthesis and characterization methods followed Rice et al. (2009). In brief, copper oxide nanoparticles were synthesized in the gas phase by introducing the vapor of the metal precursor into a hydrogen/air diffusion flame. The flame was stabilized on a 3/8” stainless steel tube and located in a vertical wind tunnel. The fuel stream consisted of a 1:1 mixture of H2 and N2 at a flow rate of 1.5 l/min. The entire fuel stream was passed through a cartridge containing copper acetylacetonate maintained at 160 °C and located just below the exit of the tube. Copper acetylacetonate vapor pyrolyzes in the temperature range from 300 to 700 °C to produce metallic copper. The organic products were exposed to a highly oxidizing environment in the flame at which temperature organic compounds were converted completely to carbon dioxide and water (Vonhöene et al., 1958). Particles in the flame effluent were extracted using a vacuum probe and collected on PTFE membrane filters (Advantec Inc.) with a pore size of 0.2 μm. The aerosol size distribution at the sampling location was measured using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, TSI Inc.). Particle size and morphology were observed using a Philips CM-12 transmission electron microscope. The particle crystalline phase was identified using a Scintag powder x-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation operated at 45 kV and 40 mA. Nanoparticle surface area measurements were done by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method using an Autosorb-1 instrument (Quantachrome Instruments, Boynton Beach, FL). For the BET analysis, about 60 mg of powder sample was measured, and nitrogen was used as the adsorbate.

Time evolution of nanoparticles aggregation analysis was studied using a dynamic light scattering (DLS) instrument (Brookhaven ZetaPlus, Worcesterhire, UK). The particle concentration at the start of the DLS experiment was 1 mg L\(^{-1}\) in Hoagland’s solution, and the solution was probe sonicated for one minute to disperse the particles. For the rest of the DLS the solution is left unperturbed.

Nanoparticles’ dissolution was assessed first using the equilibrium dialysis method of Franklin et al. (2007). Spectra/Por 7 dialysis membrane (ordered from Spectrumlabs.com) of 1000 Da molecular weight cutoff (nominal pore size) and 45 mm diameter was cut into 10 cm lengths and rinsed thoroughly in Milli-Q ultra-high-purity water prior to use. The dialysis cells were formed by filling the membrane tubes with Milli-Q water and sealing them with plastic dialysis clips which had been cleaned by soaking in 1% (v/v) nitric acid for several hours and thoroughly rinsing in Milli-Q water. The volume of the cells was approximately 30 mL. Test solutions were prepared by adding CuO-NP powder (10 mg/L) and bulk CuO powder (10 mg/L) to water or Hoagland’s solution buffer buffered with MES. Dialysis cells were added to the test solution stirred in a beaker. To minimize dilution effects, care was taken to keep the volume of the dialysis cells below 5% of the total solution volume. At each sampling time, two cells were removed and sampled by pipette into polycarbonate vials, then used for particle dissolution determination. Samples of the external test solution were also taken at each time point for a measurement of pH. A faster and less expensive method was to determine dissolved copper present in the supernatant of samples centrifuged at 100,000 g for 30 min. For both dialysis and ultracentrifugation separation methods, copper assay was by atomic absorption spectroscopy using a Perkin Elmer AAnalyst 800 or (for dilute samples) by ICP–MS at the Interdisciplinary Center for Plasma Mass Spectrometry at UC Davis. The ICP–MS system is an Agilent 7500a quadrupole instrument coupled to a Nd:YAG New Wave UP 213 laser.

2.2. Duckweed culturing and growth analysis

Duckweed, L. (Spirodela punctata (G. Mey.) Les & D. J. Crawford, was obtained from Dean Kelch (California Department of Food and Agriculture, Plant Pest Diagnostics Laboratory, CDA Herbarium, 3294 Meadowview Road, Sacramento, CA 95832-1448) and maintained in dilute Hoagland’s solution. To kill contaminating algae before experimental treatments, plants were surface sterilized for five minutes in 0.5% NaClO then placed in half-strength Hoagland’s solution for 48 h. Because preliminary results showed that plant growth and CuO-NP dissolution were both pH dependent, growth incubation was at constant pH 6.0; and nutrient media buffered with MES were prepared before addition of CuO-NP. For the growth assays, five ml of incubation medium were pipetted into sterile petri dishes, five to ten replicates per treatment. A pilot study compared the number of fronds present 96 h after treatment with a range of concentrations of CuCl2, CuO-NP and bulk CuO. Then growth curves were obtained with treatments of 0.2 mg L\(^{-1}\), 3.15 μM, “low Cu salt”) or 0.6 mg L\(^{-1}\) Cu (9.45 μM, “high Cu salt”) supplied as CuCl2, or 1.0 mg L\(^{-1}\) CuO-NP (“CuO-NP”). Ten fronds were added to each dish on day one. The culture dishes were maintained on a shaker set at a gentle speed in a Conviron growth chamber maintained at 14 h days at 24 °C and 10 h nights at 20 °C (Fig. 2). Nutrient medium was replaced on alternate days. Light was provided by a mix of incandescent and fluorescent bulbs (Philips warm white) providing 189 umol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) at bench height. Fronds were counted and pH was monitored (and adjusted if necessary to pH 6.0) daily. A magnifying glass was used to count fronds: only fronds that had emerged from their growth pockets were counted. Five or six replicate growth assays were conducted for each treatment. The 95% significance level of the doubling coefficient was computed using the t statistic to obtain the confidence level of the slope of the growth equation and then taking the reciprocal of the slope with and without the confidence interval.

2.3. Assays for chlorophyll and copper uptake

Photosynthetic pigments were assayed by standard spectrophotometric assays. Fronds (approximately 100 mg fresh weight) were extracted in 10 ml cold ethanol and acetone (1:1 in volume). The absorbance of pigment extract was measured at wavelengths of 663, 645, and 470 nm with a spectrophotometer. The contents of

Fig. 1. Bifurcating frond production in duckweeds. Upper figures are lettered to show the order of appearance of fronds. Lower figures are numbered to show frond age (in terms of number of generations produced). In D time units the number of fronds doubles.

Fig. 2. Experimental setup for growth inhibition studies.
Chlorophyll (Chl) a and Chl b were calculated from:

\[ \text{Chl } a = \frac{12.72 A_{663}}{C_0^{2.69 A_{645}}} \]
\[ \text{Chl } b = \frac{22.9 A_{645}}{C_0^{4.68 A_{663}}} \]

Five replicate assays were performed per treatment.

Elemental Cu in leaf and frond tissue was determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy using a Perkin Elmer AAnalyst 800. Plants were desorbed 15 min in cold 10 mM CaCl\(_2\). Roots or fronds were excised, dried at 75°C for two days and weighed. Samples (approximately 250 mg frond tissue or 100 mg root tissue) were digested in 1 M HCl for 48 h and diluted with deionized water. The solution was transferred to polystyrene tubes and refrigerated until analysis by AA. Standard curves were made with CuSO\(_4\) and ZnSO\(_4\), and three replicate samples were analyzed per treatment.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Characterization of CuO-NP

The primary particles that are formed in the flame form aggregates; and the aerosol size distribution, as measured by the scanning mobility particle sizer shown in Fig. 3A, was log-normal with a count mean mobility diameter of 43 nm. The copper oxide primary particle size, estimated by transmission electron microscopy, is between 10 and 15 nm, although larger aggregates form as artifacts due to drying of solution on TEM grids (Fig. 3B). X-ray diffraction analysis revealed the presence of only one crystal phase, corresponding to monoclinic CuO (Fig. 4).

To investigate the stability of the copper oxide particles in Hoagland's solution over time, particle size measurements were determined by DLS as shown in Fig. 5. The number weighted particle diameter for the first seven days of the experiment is constant at 9 nm and thereafter the particles grow slightly by aggregation.

The BET measurement indicated that the copper oxide nanoparticles have a specific surface area of 141 ± 1 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). The BET effective diameter is determined by,

\[ d_{\text{BET}} = \frac{6}{A_s \rho} \]

where \(A_s\) is the specific surface area and \(\rho\) is the particle density of 6.4 g cm\(^{-3}\) and \(d_{\text{BET}}\) is 6.7 nm. The primary particle size measured by DLS, TEM and BET is comparable.

#### 3.2. Release of soluble copper from CuO-NP suspensions

Preliminary experiments established that for the CuO-NP suspensions, the measured soluble Cu concentration in the supernatant from ultracentrifugation is indistinguishable from that of the dialysate obtained from nanopore dialysis (Fig. 6). Assays for soluble Cu released by CuO-NP were therefore based on the quicker and less expensive ultracentrifugation technology.

Dissolution of CuO-NP increases with time over a two day period; more than 80% of the soluble Cu that will be released appears within 48 h after addition of water (Fig. 7). The CuO-NP release 14 times more Cu than bulk CuO. Dissolution is pH dependent, as expected (Fig. 8). The presence of the nutrient solution also increases Cu\(^{2+}\) dissolution. In half-strength Hoagland's solution solution 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) CuO-NP releases 6.4 mg L\(^{-1}\) soluble copper at pH 3.2 but only 1.1 mg L\(^{-1}\) soluble copper at pH 7.0 (Fig. 8) after
In pure water the nanoparticulate suspension releases 3.6 and 0.7 mg L$^{-1}$ soluble copper at, respectively, pH 3.2 and 7.0.

### 3.3. Growth inhibition

To establish the toxicity for the different copper compounds, a pilot study compared the number of fronds produced during 96 h of incubation with a range of concentrations of CuCl$_2$ ("Cu salt"), CuO-NP and bulk CuO. The sensitivity of *L. punctata* to Cu salt was within the range reported for other species in the Lemnaceae (Zayed et al., 1998; Boniardi et al., 1999; Prasad et al., 2001; Kanoun-Boule et al., 2009). The bulk CuO had little bioactivity; the slight growth inhibition can be explained by the Cu released to the solution. However, CuO-NP inhibited growth substantially (Fig. 9). The concentration that reduces growth to half of control values is 0.5 mg L$^{-1}$ for Cu salt, 0.8 mg L$^{-1}$ for CuO-NP, and is not achieved with bulk CuO in the range tested. Above a certain toxic threshold fronds died more rapidly than they were produced. This threshold is 1.0 mg L$^{-1}$ for Cu salt and 2.0 mg L$^{-1}$ for CuO-NP.

To quantify effects on growth the following five treatments were chosen based on the concentration dependence: "control" treatments were nutrient (half-strength Hoaglands) solutions containing 0.0158 mg L$^{-1}$ Cu, "low Cu salt" treatments had 0.2 mg L$^{-1}$ Cu$^{2+}$, "high Cu salt" had 0.6 mg L$^{-1}$ Cu$^{2+}$, and "CuO-NP" had 1.0 mg L$^{-1}$ CuO-NP suspension releasing 0.16 mg L$^{-1}$ soluble Cu to the medium. If CuO-NP affects growth simply by releasing soluble Cu$^{2+}$, then the low Cu salt and the CuO-NP treatments should produce similar inhibitions.

### 3.4. Decrease in frond chlorophyll content

Elevated copper caused yellowing of the duckweed fronds. Consistent with other studies (Prasad et al., 2001), we found this effect results from chlorophyll degradation in the high Cu treatment (Fig. 11). The low Cu treatment resulted in a small, statistically insignificant promotion of chlorophyll content after nine days of incubation. The high Cu treatment reduced the chlorophyll content to 75% of control values, while the CuO-NP reduced the chlorophylls even more, to 60% of control values in Hoaglands solution.
3.5. Uptake of Cu

Higher plants often show retention of heavy metals in roots, with the metal concentration in shoots less than 10% of that in roots (e.g. O’Dell et al., 2007; Lin and Xing, 2008). However, the floating aquatic duckweeds of this study, like those described by Zayed et al. (1998), had frond Cu concentration more than half of that found in roots (Fig. 12). Tissue Cu content increased with external Cu dosing preferentially in the fronds, so at the higher Cu treatment frond Cu concentration was 80% that of the roots. Copper was easily absorbed from the CuO-NP suspension; the tissue Cu concentration in roots and fronds treated with CuO-NP was more than three times as high as would be expected from the ionic Cu in solution (Fig. 12).

4. Discussion

The results of paper show that CuO-NP inhibit duckweed growth as much as soluble ionic Cu present at three- to four-fold more than would be expected on the basis of the ionic Cu released to the incubation medium. That is, the CuO-NP have an effect similar to ionic Cu three to four times as concentrated as the Cu released by the nanoparticles to the bulk solution. Similar toxicity is evident in the decreases in chlorophyll content produced by the CuO-NP. The inhibitory effects in turn can be explained by the preferred plant uptake of Cu from CuO-NP supplied in the bathing medium. These results join the list of several different kinds of effects that are being reported for nanoparticulate metal oxides. Some nanoparticulate heavy metal oxides are more toxic than the elemental forms: CuO-NP damage human epithelial lung cells by inducing reactive oxygen species and causing single-strand breaks in DNA (Karlsson et al., 2008, 2009, Midander et al., 2009); core-shell CuO-NP damage photosystem II in chlamydomonas (Saison et al., 2010); CuO-NP have high bacterial mutagenicity (Pan et al., 2010). In contrast, TiO$_2$-NP had no long-term inhibitory effect on maize growth in the field but in hydroponic solution caused a transient decrease in leaf growth attributable to decreased hydraulic conductivity of roots (Asli and Neumann, 2009). In one of the few studies on uptake of heavy metal oxides into plants, Lin and Xing (2008) reported that ZnO-NP inhibit growth of monocot plants, Lolium perenne, more than can be explained by the release of ionic Zn to the growth medium. However, in contrast to our effects of CuO-NP on duckweed (a monocot), Zn is not translocated to the shoot of L. perenne after exposure to ionic Zn, and Zn content of the shoot is not elevated during exposure to ZnO-NP. It is becoming clear that nanoparticulate forms of metal oxides vary widely in their uptake and toxicity relative to the ionic forms (Unrine et al., 2008).

This study raises interesting questions about the mechanism of plant uptake of the CuO-NP. In what form is the intra-cellular Cu? Are the nanoparticles themselves taken up into the frond cells?
Results in the literature suggest our Cu-NP may be too large to cross the cell wall: The alga *Chara corallina* excludes from the cell lumen dextran particles larger than 9 nm (Proseus and Boyer, 2005), while maize roots exclude metal nanoparticles larger than 6.6 nm (or 3.0 nm after nanoparticle exposure, Asli and Neumann, 2009). Does a CuO-NP floc adhere to the roots and/or fronds and favor Cu uptake by physical proximity? This phenomenon might occur in natural wetlands where a continuing supply of CuO-NP might be progressively concentrated at the surfaces of plant tissue. In a similar way, our regular replenishment of the incubation medium in the culture dishes might concentrate CuO-NP in the boundary layer next to the plant frond and root, if the NP are loosely bound in exudates or to cell walls. Another possibility is that the plant might solubilize extra copper from nearby CuO-NP. This is suggested by the commonly observed acidification of growing parts of plants. Nichol and Silk (2001) have reviewed acidification of root growth zones and their rhizospheres; an interesting example of leaf wall acidification of aquatic plants is presented in Vreeburg et al. (2005). The acidic wall might solubilize extra Cu from the closely associated nanoparticles, or the Cu might be preferentially solubilized in exudates from the aquatic fronds and roots. Our study shows that the inhibitory effects of CuO-NP can be explained by the large uptake of Cu from the nanoparticulate metal oxide suspension, but further work remains to understand the mechanism of the preferred uptake.
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