

# Total Mixed Ration Mineral Content in California Dairy Farms

<sup>1</sup>University of California Cooperative Extension, Merced and Tulare, California, USA <sup>2</sup>The Ohio State University, Animal Science Department, Columbus, Ohio, USA Alejandro R. Castillo1, Noelia Silva-del-Río1, Normand St-Pierre

Am. Dairy Sci. Assoc. Meeting (2009) Abstract #: W293, Montreal, Canada



#### INTRODUCTION

producers in California (WDR) are affecting Water Quality Regulations based on Discharge Requirements 2

The WDR consist of:

(1) Waste Management Plan (WMP)

(2) Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) with nitrogen as the main concern

main concern. NRC (2005) effects on crop yield or the environment (Cd, Cu, Fe, Hg, P, K, Na, Se, S, and Zn), where P is the There are ten minerals with potential

minerals, soil fertilization, method of level same feed characterized and affected by: intake The bioavailability of minerals of common feeds is not well type, variations of the interactions between

mineral composition in lactating dairy analysis, etc. NRC (2001, 2005) cows' diets in commercial dairies This study was designed to identify

#### **OBJECTIVES**

commercial dairy farms according to: of lactating dairy cow diets in Evaluate the dietary mineral content

- N The Maximum Tolerable Levels The NRC (2001) requirements

of the NRC (2005

#### METHODS

-Mean Milk production 3.5%FC: -Herd size: 787 cows (210 to 2435) -Number of dairies: Mean TS in drinking water Location: Merced County, CA Dairies Surveyed 550 mg/L (100 to 1700 mg/L) 31.8 kg (20.6 to 43.5 kg) 40

dairies (total 138 TMR or groups of cows Drinking water from a water trough Total Mixed Rations (TMR): from 40

All samples were collected in duplicate on two non-consecutive days

S 5 Na Ω Mg

2.5 ì

15.0 1

77.5 l

5.0 100.0 100.0

Sample Analysis

sulfur, copper, iron, manganese, selenium, and zinc pnospnorus, magnesium, chloride, potassium, sodium Wet chemistry (UC Davis, ANR Lab) for calcium

Dietary Mineral Content Calculation TMR mineral intake content weight by the proportion of animals in each production group

2 Drinking water mineral content. Total intake of minerals and flow meters from water was estimated based on Murphy et al. (1983

O Z

#### Milk Production

and when not available, on bulk tank milk data Estimate based on Dairy Herd Improvement (DHI) records

TMR mineral Content were Classified as:

2) 80 ≥ to 120% 1) < 80%,

of NRC (2001) requirements

Z

3) 120 ≥ to 200% and 4) ≥ 200% for lactating cows

Maximum Tolerable Levels (MTL) according to the NRC Dietary Mineral (TMR+water) were compared to determine NRC mineral requirements

The higher near value for milk production was used to

#### RESULTS

#### NRC requir Table 1. Proportions of dairies (n=40) fed different percentages of

Minerals Ca

rements in the TMN	the TMR.		
< 80	80 ≥ to 120	120 ≥ to 200	≥ 200
2.5	40.0	57.5	1
1	37.5	62.5	1
1	1	82.5	17.5
ì	2.5	32.5	65.0
}	7.5	85.0	7.5
}	15.0	37.5	47.5
1	25.0	75.0	1
7.5	27.5	47.5	17.5

The higher near value for milk production was used to determine NRC 17.5

# Table 2. Dietary mineral contents and Maximum Tolerable Levels (MTL)

1	500	139.5	38.5	75.8±21.53	ppm	Zn
1	S	0.75	0.22	0.45±0.11	ppm	Se
Ì	2,000	113.3	40.5	72.8±19.12	ppm	M
15	500	770	198.3	380±145.1	ppm	Fe
ı	40	37.33	8.44	17.7±7.09	ppm	Cha
47	0.32	0.38	0.18	0.29±0.05	%	S 2
1	3.01	2.6	0.68	1.12±0.32	%	la+CI1
į	1	1.10	0.24	0.47±0.14	%	Na
Ī	1	1.50	0.33	0.65±0.21	%	Ω
C)	2.0	2.36	1.18	1.61±0.25	%	_
Ī	0.6	0.47	0.27	0.35±0.05	%	Mg
1	0.7	0.58	0.32	0.44±0.06	%	ס
ī	1.5	1.13	0.47	0.81±0.14	%	Ca
MTL (%)	MTL	Max	Min	Mean±SD		ontent
Dairies over						/lineral

1 Individual values (Na & CI) were added to compare MTL for NaCl (lactating cows)

Assuming normal concentrations of molybdenum and sulfu Sulfur MTL value for high concentrate diets (NRC 2005)

## SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

Dietary Ca and Se content were below 80% of the NRC requirements in 2.5% of the dairies and in 7.5% for Cu.

depending on the mineral in 57.5% and in 100% of the 120% or more of the NRC requirements The dietary mineral content per farm was dairies

and the environment (NRC 2005) were over 120% requirements in more than of their potential effect on crop yields 60% of the dairies.

Minerals considered a concern because

200% NRC requirements in all dairies evaluated in this study.

Two minerals (Fe and Mn) were over

of the dairies respectively (NRC, 2005) The maximum Tolerable Levels for K, S, Fe, were exceeded in 5%, 47% and 15%

reducing content in lactating dairy cow diets opportunity to adjust dietary mineral excrettor This survey indicates that there is feed cost and minera

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

and participation County for their support producers in Merced The authors thank dairy

ANR Core Issues supported by the University of California This project was

Grants Program



inteke estimations Larry Burrow, working with flow meters for weter