INFLUENCE OF GRAFTING ON YIELD OF CANNING TOMATOES: 2017 PROGRESS REPORT



GENE MIYAO, FARM ADVISOR, UCCE, YOLO, SOLANO & SACRAMENTO COUNTIES JOSH CHASE, GROWER'S TRANSPLANTING, INC. JASMIN BONILLA-RAMIREZ, FIELD ASSISTANT, UCCE



24 JANUARY 2018, N. SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY- CTGA MEETING, MODESTO

USDA Grant # 2016-51181-25404

Goal: >>> Reduce premature vine senescence

66 days before harvest

18 days before harvest

8 days before harvest



fruit sizing

fruit ripening

approaching harvest











1) Sterile trays & sterile media seeded 5 weeks before grafting



 Both rootstock & scion plant stems clipped at ~45° angle

Slides: Brenna Aegerter



3) Grafting clips positioned half-way on rootstock stems



4) Scion stems align to rootstock angle with attention to match stem diameter





Scion (Fruit): N 6428 DRI 319 HM 3887

Rootstock: Maxifort Multifort DR 0138TX

(3 x 3) 9 combos + 3 non-grafted controls = 12 treatments

0.00

AR

- Vitros May



DRI 319 on rootstock

DRI 319 (conventional)



N 6428 on rootstock Maxifort

N 6428 (conventional)


Effect of rootstocks on processing tomatoes, Harlan Family Farm, Madison area, 2017



		Marketable		non-				
			yield	grafted				
	Rootstock	Scion	Tons/A	% yield	°Brix			
1	-	N 6428	53.5		4.2			
2	MaxiFort	N 6428	62.2	116	4.1			
3	MultiFort	N 6428	59.7	112	4.0			
4	DR 0138TX	N 6428	64.1	120	4.0			
5	-	DRI 319	34.0		4.8			
6	MaxiFort	DRI 319	37.1	109	4.6			
7	MultiFort	DRI 319	40.3	118	4.6			
8	DR 0138TX	DRI 319	40.7	120	4.7			
9	-	HM 3887	38.1		5.1			
10	MaxiFort	HM 3887	50.4	132	4.5			
11	MultiFort	HM 3887	48.8	128	4.5			
12	DR 0138TX	HM 3887	45.7	120	4.4			
	LSD 5%		8.1		0.3			
	%CV		12		4			
CLASS COMPARISONS:								
	Grafted vs		49.9	119	4.4			
	non grafte	d	41.9	100	4.7			
	Probability		0.00		0.00			

Effect of rootstocks on processing tomatoes, Harlan Family Farm,

Madison area, 2017



FACTORS			Yield	C	% of	
Α.	Variety (scic	on)	ton/A	(control	
		N 6428	62.0	а	116	
		DRI 319	39.4	С	116	
		HM 3887	48.3	b	127	Mar H
	Probability		0.00			
	LSD 5%		4.8			State State
Β.	Rootstock					Area
		MaxiFort	49.9		119	
		MultiFort	49.6		119	AT STATE
		DR 0138TX	50.2		120	n Ha
	Probability LSD 5%		NS			
C.	Interaction ((probability)				
	Variety x I		NS			
	% CV					

Effect of rootstocks on processing tomatoes, Harlan Family Farm,

Madison site, 2017

Woodland site, 2016

Service March

FACTORS			Yield	% of				Marketable	e non-
Α.	Variety (scio	n)	ton/A	control	FA	CTORS		yield	grafted
		N 6428	62.0 <mark>a</mark>	116	Α.	Variety (scie	on)	Tons/A	yield (%)
		DRI 319	39.4	c 116			H 8504	53.5 <mark>b</mark>	110
		HM 3887	48.3 k	127			DRI 319	62.7 <mark>a</mark>	114
	Probability	_	0.00		1		HM 3887**	65.0 <mark>a</mark>	105
	LSD 5%		4.8			Probability		0.000	
	202 070		1.0			LSD 5%		3.57	
В.	Rootstock Probability	MaxiFort MultiFort DR 0138TX	49.9 49.6 50.2 NS	119 119 120	В.	<i>Rootstock</i> Probability	MaxiFort MultiFort** DR 0138TX	59.3 * 60.2 61.8 NS	108 109 109
	LSD 5%					LSD 5%			
C.	Interaction (nteraction (probability)			C.	Interaction	(probability)		
	Variety x R	Rootstock	NS			Variety x	Rootstock	NS	
	%CV		11	4 + 11-5 P		% CV		7	

Verse a -

Challenges ?

- High establishment costs > \$0.65 @
 Rootstock disease resistance: searching for Verticillium wilt race 2 other pathogens
- Location of graft union relative to soil surface
- Rootstock x Scion interactions > not displayed
- Coordination of rootstocks w/ scion plantsdoubling greenhouse space plus healing room





Year 2017 Cooperators:

Blake Harlan Harlan Family Farm, Woodland Grafting- (small-scale by hand) Growers Transplanting Inc. Josh Chase Joan Venegas Experimental Assistance Timothy Stewart and Lekos Ag Seeds Monsanto Statistical Analysis of Variance support- Brenna Aegerter

2018 project:

Brenna Aegerter coordination of project with USDA, multi-year grant



USDA

USDA Grant # 2016-51181-25404