Use of 1-Methylcyclopropene in Ornamentals: Carnations as a Model System for Understanding Mode of Action
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Abstract. The first commercial use of 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) was with cut flowers and potted plants, and treatment with this compound is still the preferred strategy for protection of most ethylene-sensitive crops. Research is continuing into optimum treatment conditions and strategies for efficient application in commerce. In studies using carnation (Dianthus caryophyllus L. ‘White Sim’) petals to determine the optimal conditions for commercial treatment, we noted some aspects of the inhibition response that were not consistent with the current competitive inhibition model of 1-MCP action. Our data are better explained by an alternative model in which 1-MCP binds to a site that is exposed during the allosteric changes that accompany the enzymatic activities of the binding site in the absence of ethylene.

The story of the introduction of the commercial use of 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) is tightly linked with its beneficial effects in ethylene-sensitive ornamentals (Reid and Staby, 2007). Although the use of 1-MCP in apple storage now dwarfs its use in ornamentals, many of the strategies for commercial use were developed using ornamental models. Ornaments provide a diversity of species and isolated petal and individual flower systems that can be used to test chemicals such as 1-MCP. Researchers have studied a range of ethylene-sensitive ornamental crops. Some wilt in response to ethylene, including orchids (Phalaenopsis), Hibiscus, stock (Matthiola incana; Celikel and Reid, 2002a) and carnation (Dianthus caryophyllus; Serek et al., 1995a, 1995b). Others respond to ethylene by abscising petals or florets, including Antirrhinum majus (Fig. 1), Alstroemeria, Penstemon, Rosa hybridra (Serek et al., 1995a), and wax flower (Chamaeleonium uncinatum; Macnish et al., 2000). Potted plants studied include Begonia (Serek et al., 1995b), Kalanchoe blossfeldiana (Serek and Reid, 2000), Pelargonium peltatum (Cameron and Reid), Campanula carpatica (Sisler et al., 2004), and Liliun (Celikel et al., 2002).

Formulated as “EthylBloc,” (Floralife, Walterboro, SC) dextrin-bound 1-MCP is a very effective tool for overcoming the effect of ethylene in sensitive ornamentals, both cut flowers and potted plants (Celikel et al., 2002; Serek et al., 1995a). Despite its effectiveness, 1-MCP is not as widely used as it could be in the ornamentals industry. One major issue for producers of ornamental crops is the gaseous nature of the compound, which leads to practical difficulties in treatment. To address the need for a convenient treatment system, Floralife, the company that commercialized 1-MCP in North America, provides an “in-the-truck” treatment system. It comprises a bucket of water or mild alkali that is placed at the rear of the load and a water-soluble pouch containing the 1-MCP that is dropped into the bucket. The doors of the truck are closed, the bag dissolves, and sufficient 1-MCP is liberated to treat the flowers within the truck. Recently, the company has released a novel treatment system—1-MCP sachets resembling tea bags. The bags are dipped in water just before being placed within a packed box; the water diffuses through the bag, and the 1-MCP in it is released into the air within the box. Preliminary experiments have shown this technique to be quite effective (Staby, personal communication).

Among ethylene-sensitive flowers, carnations are perhaps the most studied (Borochov and Woodson, 1989). We previously used individual petals to examine the physiology of ethylene action in carnations (Mor and Reid, 1980). Not only are carnation flowers very sensitive to ethylene, but also the kinetics of the binding site in carnations have already been determined (Sisler et al., 1986). The kilodaltons for ethylene binding in petals was estimated to be 0.1 μL·L⁻¹ and the concentration of binding sites was estimated to be 6.0 × 10⁻³ mol·kg⁻¹. Application of inhibitors (Ag⁺ or 2,4-norbornadiene) inhibited ethylene binding by 20% to 80%.

In studies aimed at determining optimal conditions for commercial application of 1-MCP to cut flowers and potted plants, we used carnation petals as a model ethylene response system. We noted some curious aspects of the relationship among 1-MCP, ethylene, and temperature (Celikel and Reid, 2002b). The effectiveness of 1-MCP appeared to be strongly affected by the treatment temperature and was greatly reduced by the presence of ethylene during the treatment period. Neither of these results would be predicted by the current model of 1-MCP action. We report the results of further studies using this model system and suggest an alternative model to explain the inhibitory effects of 1-MCP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material. Untreated carnation (Dianthus caryophyllus L. ‘White Sim’) flowers were obtained from commercial growers or were harvested directly from the university greenhouse at standard commercial maturity (petals in the outermost whorl horizontal).

Treatment with 1-methylcyclopropene. Flowers or petals were placed in sealed chambers (20-L aquaria or 1-L mason jars) in which vigorous air circulation was provided by a small fan. The calculated weight of bound 1-MCP (EthylBloc powder, 0.14% a.i.) required to obtain a high concentration (50 μL·L⁻¹) of 1-MCP was placed in a 1-L volumetric flask sealed with a rubber serum cap and sufficient water was injected into the flask to give an internal volume of 1 L and to release 1-MCP from the EthylBloc powder. Calculated volumes of the 1-MCP concentrate were removed from the flask with syringes and injected into the sealed chambers.

Measurement of the ethylene response. To quantitatively determine the extent of the ethylene response, the outer whorl of petals was removed from each of four replicate flowers, and two petals from each flower were taken to provide replicate sets of eight petals. The base of each petal was placed in a 1-mL glass vial, and the eight vials were placed in a small rack. The initial largest
width of each petal was measured. The racks were placed at 20 °C for 24 h in a chamber ventilated with a flowing air stream (40 L h⁻¹) containing the desired concentration of ethylene (normally 1 µL L⁻¹), the largest width of the petals was again measured, and the percent inrolling was calculated as the percent reduction in petal width. Fully inrolled petals showed an ~75% reduction in diameter.

Effect of treatment temperature during 1-methylcyclopropene treatment. To determine the effect of treatment temperature on the efficacy of 1-MCP, replicate sets of carnation petals were placed in 1-L mason jars at different temperatures for 1 h to allow the temperature to equilibrate. The jars were then sealed and sufficient 1-MCP concentrate was injected to provide 1 nL L⁻¹ 1-MCP in the jars. After 6 h, the jars were opened and the petals aerated at the treatment temperature for 1 h before measurement of the ethylene response (to 1 µL L⁻¹ ethylene, 24 h, 24 °C).

Effect of ethylene concentration on inrolling of 1-methylcyclopropene-treated petals. To determine whether high concentrations of ethylene could overcome the effects of 1-MCP, petals were treated for 6 h at 24 °C with 50 nL L⁻¹ 1-MCP and then exposed at 24 °C to ethylene at concentrations from 1 to 1000 µL L⁻¹. The inrolling of treated and air control petals was measured after 24 h.

Effect of ethylene on the efficacy of 1-methylcyclopropene treatments. To assess the competition between 1-MCP and ethylene, petals were treated with a mixture containing 1 µL L⁻¹ ethylene and from 15 min to 10 h. After treatment, the petals were aerated (1 h) and then exposed for 24 h to 1 µL L⁻¹ ethylene (at 24 °C) before measurement of inrolling. Control petals were treated with 100 nL L⁻¹ 1-MCP for different periods before aeration and exposure to ethylene.

RESULTS

Effect of treatment temperature. The ethylene response of carnation petals at 20 °C was completely inhibited when they had previously been treated for 6 h at 20 °C with the mixture of C₂H₄ and 1-MCP to achieve the inhibition achieved by a 30-min treatment with 1-MCP alone.

DISCUSSION

Many intriguing and commercially important questions relating to the use and action of 1-MCP remain to be resolved. These questions include determining the optimum conditions for treatment (duration, concentration, temperature) and understanding why these differ among different tissues. In particular, we do not understand the need for much higher 1-MCP concentrations to inhibit ethylene action in fruits that are producing ethylene. Nor do we have a biochemical basis for the marked differences in longevity of inhibition by 1-MCP among species and tissues nor for the variation in this property among cyclopropenes. Is this a reflection of different rates of binding site turnover or are there differential rates of dissociation of cyclopropenes from the binding site? For these and other unanswered questions about the physiology and biochemistry of this remarkable compound, ornamentals continue to provide excellent model systems. The diversity of ornamental species, their year-round availability, and relatively short lives, as well as the variation in their response to ethylene and 1-MCP makes them ideal models for biochemical and comparative studies. The carnation petal system used by Mor and Reid (1980) has proven to be particularly useful for such studies. The petals respond rapidly and strikingly to the presence of ethylene, and experiments can easily include large numbers of replicates. Present understanding of the ethylene action cascade and the properties of the protein encoded by the putative ethylene-binding site (ETR1) were reviewed by Stépanova and Ecker (2000). The protein is suggested to form an active dimer with six transmembrane domains (three per polypeptide), a Cu I ion complexed with each polypeptide, and protein kinase domains on the C-terminus, presumably functioning in...
transmission of the ethylene signal (Fig. 5). The ETR-1 protein is thought to act as a negative regulator whose normal function, presumably mediated by the C-terminal kinase, is to maintain an intermediary regulatory protein (CTR2) in an active state so that it prevents the activity of a further step in the signal cascade catalyzed by EIN-1 (Fig. 5A–B). When ethylene binds, it inhibits the kinase activity and thereby unleashes the ethylene cascade (Fig. 5C).

The inhibitory action of 1-MCP is commonly considered to be the result of competitive binding of 1-MCP to the ethylene-binding site (Hall et al., 2000; Sisler et al., 1999). The data presented here suggest that this model of action is unlikely to be correct, at least for carnation petals. The fact that supersaturating concentrations of ethylene are unable to reverse the inhibition caused by 1-MCP (Fig. 3) suggests irreversible binding by 1-MCP.

One of the beauties of the carnation petal system is that responses to gaseous regulators are very rapid. In control petals (treated with 1-MCP alone), inhibition of ethylene action is complete within 15 min (Fig. 4). The fact that moderate concentrations of ethylene present at the time of 1-MCP treatment delay its inhibitory effects (Fig. 4) is not consistent with simple competitive binding of an agonist and highly effective inhibitor at the same binding site. Eventually (by 6 h), 1-MCP does become inhibitory, even in the presence of ethylene (Fig. 4). This finding could be suggested to indicate the gradual release of ethylene from the binding site and preferential binding of 1-MCP, although this interpretation would require rather different

---

**Fig. 5.** Schematic of the presently accepted pathway of ethylene action and of the suggested mode of action of 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP). (A) In the absence of ethylene, kinase activity of the receptor (ETR-1) turns off the first step in the response cascade (CTR2). (B) When ethylene (spheres) binds, kinase activity is inhibited and the response cascade is initiated. (C) 1-MCP (cone) is assumed to bind irreversibly to the ethylene-binding domain in ETR-1, preventing ethylene binding and thereby maintaining kinase activity even in the presence of ethylene.

**Fig. 6.** Schematic of a possible alternative model for the inhibition of ethylene action by 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP). (A) The allosteric changes accompanying the kinase activity of the receptor (ETR-1) reveal a domain to which 1-MCP can bind. (B) When 1-MCP (cones) binds, the kinase is irreversibly turned ON and the ethylene-binding pocket is not exposed. (C) If ethylene (spheres) binds first, the kinase is turned OFF and the 1-MCP-binding pocket is therefore not exposed.
kinetics from those found in classical competitive inhibition (in which a highly effective or irreversible inhibitor will immediately displace the agonist, and where the agonist can overcome the effects of the inhibitor if present at sufficiently high concentrations).

Likewise, the strong effect of temperature on the inhibitory activity of 1-MCP (Fig. 2) is not consistent with a direct competitive binding model, because the temperature/inhibitor action relationship is more suggestive of the effect of temperature on enzyme activity than on a simple chemical binding. It could be argued that the temperature effect is simply an effect of temperature-induced conformational changes in the membrane-bound receptor protein, suggesting that 1-MCP or ethylene is unable to bind at lower temperatures. However, such a conformational change might be expected to result in release of the agonists at low temperature, and inhibition by 1-MCP is extended when treated flowers are held at low temperatures (Celikel and Reid, 2002b). It seems unlikely that the temperature effect is the result of inhibition by 1-MCP, because in our model, the molecules bind at different sites. Certainly, this hypothesis will require verification using advanced biochemical chemical and molecular tools, but it does provide an explanation for aspects of the behavior of 1-MCP that was previously very puzzling.
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