

# California

# AGRICULTURE

Progress Reports of Agricultural Research, published by the University of California College of Agriculture, Agricultural Experiment Station

Vol. I

DECEMBER, 1947

No. 13

## California Prune Industry May Face Market Adjustment

S. W. Shear and George B. Alcorn

During the next few years the California prune industry will probably go through a period of difficult adjustment largely because of the poor and uncertain foreign market outlook. Demand for California dried prunes will have to be increased or bearing acreage and average production decreased before prices can be expected that, without government support, will encourage efficient growers to maintain most of the good prune orchards in the state. During the next few years prices and overall demand for California dried prunes will probably continue to be held down: 1. At home, by keen competition from very plentiful supplies of fruits and popular fruit products; 2. Abroad, by considerably lower commercial demand and imports for our prunes than prevailed before the war, particularly in European markets.

Prune orchards with old and low-yielding trees, producing fruit of poor quality and small size, will probably be unprofitable again as before the war. Owners of these poor prune orchards should, therefore, give careful consideration to replacing them with other crops to which their land is adapted and for which better returns might be expected in the long run than from prunes. However, growers with good-sized fruit probably should not replace their prunes with other crops unless they are convinced that by so doing they can increase their returns significantly during the next 10 or 15 years. There is some probability, although no absolute assurance, that reduction in prune acreage and production within the next five years may more than offset the expected low level of domestic demand and of decreased exports, so that the supply and demand for California prunes may come into balance at prices that will give efficient growers with good orchards as

(Continued on page 2)

## Beet By-products In Mixed Rations As Livestock Feed

The sugar-beet industry offers two important by-products to the livestock industry: sugar-beet tops and siloed beet pulp. Both of these by-products are recognized as important feed resources. Their utilization is of mutual interest to livestock raisers and sugar-beet growers.

Sugar-beet tops harvested and siloed or stacked may be successfully used for fattening cattle or lambs, wintering pregnant ewes, or feeding dairy cattle when fed in mixed rations, they yield 150 to 250 pounds of beef or lamb per acre, two or three times as much as when tops are pastured.

Siloed beet pulp contains 76.4 per cent total digestible nutrients on a dry basis. The addition of molasses to the siloed pulp has been found to improve feeding efficiency.

These large food resources, if properly used, not only might promote an increased production of animal products, but also might increase the productive and economic stability of the beet-growing and sugar-manufacturing industries. Results of research on means of conserving and utilizing these sugar-beet by-products are presented in a new bulletin published by the College of Agriculture. (See page 4).

## Research On Granulation Of Valencia Oranges Shows Only Limited Control Measures Exist

E. T. Bartholomew, W. B. Sinclair and F. M. Turrell

Granulation of Valencia oranges is not caused by a fungus, a virus, or a bacterium. It is definitely related to the growth activity of the tree and fruit.


Since 1930 over 200,000 Valencia

Rough lemon rootstocks produced the most granulation—34% for the first, and 31% for each of the others—while Sampson tangelo produced the least—10%.

At Riverside a four-year average

In each case the percentage of granulation produced on the wet plots was a little over twice that produced on the dry plots.

Lime spray at 50 pounds per 100 gallons reduced the amount and sev-



Granulation in a Valencia orange. ABOVE LEFT: Granulation shown in a cross section of the stem end. ABOVE RIGHT: Cross section of the same fruit cut near the center, with no indication of granulation. BELOW: Showing (a) healthy juice sacs; (b) moderately granulated sacs; and (c) badly granulated sacs.

oranges—from approximately 2,000 trees in 75 groves—have been examined in studies to determine causes and possible means of control of granulation.

The cutting of a total of 61,900 fruits over a period of seven successive years showed the following percentages of fruits of different sizes to be granulated:

Fruit Sizes	Per cent granulated
100's	79
150's	54
200's	33
288's	18
344's	7

Over a period of nine successive years the cutting of 72,145 fruits showed 60 per cent to be slightly granulated, 25 per cent moderately so, and 14 per cent badly granulated.

Under these classifications slightly granulated fruits come within the tolerance limit set for high grade fruits. At least a large portion of the moderately granulated fruits can be shipped in an "off-brand," but badly granulated fruits have to be discarded or sent to the products plant.

### Field Studies

Valencia orange trees were started on nine different rootstocks with buds from the same parent tree. Half of the trees were planted at Tustin, for the coastal area, and the other half were planted at Riverside, for the interior area.

At Tustin, a three-year average showed that the trees on Brazilian sour orange, trifoliolate orange, and

revealed that the trifoliolate orange and the Rough lemon were noticeably the two highest producers of granulation—37% and 24%, respectively—while C. E. S. 343 grapefruit produced the least—3%.

The average amount of granulation produced by all rootstocks was 26% at Tustin and 14% at Riverside. The percentages given here are relatively high because only large fruits were examined.

Some trees in a given grove constantly produce little granulation, others produce much granulation, while still others may produce little granulation one year and much the next.

Fruits on the north side of the tree are more likely to be granulated than those on the south side.

Freezing temperatures may cause an increase in the amount of granulation in some individual fruits but low temperatures are not the direct cause of granulation.

Scaly bark does not cause granulation. A scaly-bark tree that produces much granulation does so because it had done so previously.

Over a period of years the soil in certain "dry" plots was kept just above the wilting point in the fourth foot while adjacent "wet" plots were kept above the wilting point in the first foot.

After a time the water applications were reversed, the dry plots became wet plots and the wet plots became the dry plots.

erity of granulation about half. This treatment is not recommended because the treated trees lost excessive amounts of leaves during the fall winds.

During a period of seven years, oil sprays were found to augment the amount and severity of granulation. Their use should be avoided where possible.

HCN—hydrogen cyanide—tested at the same time, did not affect the production of granulation.

(Continued on page 4)

## Poultrymen May Have More Market Competition Ahead

E. C. Voorhies

California does not produce enough eggs to keep up with the demand.

We must ship in eggs from other states. This puts the local producer in a favorable position because he gets a better price, and the consumer gets better eggs. The top quality ones are no longer shipped to eastern markets. Our in-shippments, on the other hand, do not come only from the Western states, as formerly, but in increasing numbers from those farther east, mainly the Mississippi Valley.

People have now developed a taste for good eggs. This taste was encouraged by wartime emphasis on eggs as a protective food, plus the fact that meats were rationed and were relatively higher in price. The buyer still wants, and has the money to buy, good eggs. It is up to the poultryman to see that he gets them.

If the producer keeps the quality up, people will continue to eat more eggs. If quality drops, consumption and prices will go down.

### Changed Market Conditions

If domestic consumption is lowered, the poultryman cannot count on foreign exports to take up the surplus. Europe, the chief wartime market, cannot afford to import eggs now, and we no longer have lend-lease and large military demands for them. During the war, large quantities of eggs were dried for overseas shipment. Dried egg production, largely carried on in the middle west, has now taken a big drop, which means more midwestern eggs for domestic markets. Good quality, continued good advertising, and good prices as compared to meat can help keep the demand for eggs at a high level.

Over a period of years, the number of shell eggs being held in storage has been going down. This is an advantage for the producer during the fall and winter months because his fresh shell eggs do not compete directly with the cold storage ones.

California now does not have a corner on new production methods. At one time, the state could send out eggs between October and February—the period of low production in other sections of the country. But poultrymen in other states, especially our chief competitors in the Mississippi Valley, have also developed improved management practices so that they do not have such big gaps between laying periods. This means that there is less difference between the year's highest and lowest egg prices. This

(Continued on page 2)

## Ladybirds, Lacewings, Parasites Tested As Long-tailed Mealybug Controls In California Citrus

Paul DeBach and C. A. Fleschner

The long-tailed mealybug, *Pseudococcus longispinus*, is a relatively recent pest of citrus and occurs principally in coastal areas of Orange, Los Angeles, and Ventura counties.

The first minor outbreak of the long-tailed mealybug was found on citrus in 1933 in the Rivera-Downey section of Los Angeles County. Parasites were introduced to combat this pest and it was generally thought they were keeping the mealybug in check.

In 1943 another build-up of the long-tailed mealybug occurred on citrus; this time in the Anaheim area of Orange County. This infestation

increased in area in 1944 and 1945 until perhaps 1,000 acres were infested to a greater or less economic degree.

Coastal areas of Ventura County were experiencing similar increases in long-tailed mealybug populations on citrus during this same period.

### Studies Started

Studies started late in 1945 were designed to determine why the mealybug was increasing.

During 1946 and 1947 detailed population samples of the long-tailed mealybug and all its natural enemies have been taken monthly. At the

(Continued on page 3)

# Summary Of Study Of Granulation Of Valencia Oranges

(Continued from page 1)

To determine whether granulation might be avoided by the proper selection of buds, trees on both sweet and sour orange stock were started in 1934 and 1935.

The buds for these trees were taken from parent trees known to be either high producers or low producers of granulation. The parent trees were then cross topworked.

The fruits on the specially budded trees and the topworked trees were examined each year from 1941 to 1946, except in 1943.

The results of this experiment combined with those of the other field studies mentioned, indicate that there is not much immediate hope of developing a "strain" of Valencia that will not produce granulated fruits.

### Laboratory Studies

Laboratory studies showed that: a. The volume of a granulated juice sac may increase until it is two to three times that of a healthy juice sac;

b. A granulated juice sac may be 20 times as hard to crush as a healthy juice sac;

c. The walls of the cells in the granulated juice sac may increase to many times their usual thickness;

d. Gas bubbles which form in granulating juice sacs look like crystals, and it was probably for this reason that in the past the affected fruits were said to be crystallized;

e. During the process of granulation the affected juice sacs lose or use up almost one half of their sugars;

f. The badly granulated juice sacs have lost about 70% of their acid;

g. Granulation does not materially change the nitrogen content of the juice sacs;

h. During granulation the total pectin content of the affected sacs is almost doubled;

i. Although not much juice can be reamed from the badly granulated portion of the fruit, the granulated juice sacs actually contain a little higher percentage of moisture than the healthy sacs;

j. Based on equal weights of granulated and healthy juice sacs the increase in dry weight of the granulated sacs was not over 3%, but when determined on a size basis of individual sacs the increase was at least 200%; and

k. Inorganic substances, such as calcium, magnesium, sodium, and potassium—especially calcium and magnesium—accumulated in excessive amounts in the granulated sacs.

### Control Measures Inadequate

Unfortunately no adequate control measure has been discovered. Granulation has been reported from 13 foreign countries, but none of these countries has suggested control measures by means of which it can be avoided.

Any factor that will affect growth, such as climate, amount of soil moisture, oil sprays, and rootstocks, will influence the amount of granulation produced.

The nearest approach to a control measure in California is to pick the large fruits early, especially on the north and inside of the trees. The longer the fruit remains on the tree the more likely it is to become granulated.

As a rule, young groves and groves on light soil should be watched most closely.

Limited tests in Orange County have shown that the amount and severity of granulation may be noticeably diminished by reducing to a practical minimum the amount of irrigation water applied.

It is recommended that when starting new Valencia trees all buds be taken from trees that were known to be low producers of granulation when they were young trees.

*E. T. Bartholomew is Professor of Plant Physiology, Plant Physiologist in the Experiment Station, Riverside.*

*W. B. Sinclair is Associate Biochemist in the Experiment Station, Riverside.*

*F. M. Turrell is Assistant Plant Physiologist in the Experiment Station, Riverside.*

Mimeographed copies of this article in slightly greater detail may be obtained, without cost, through the Farm Advisor's office in counties where citrus is grown.

# INDEX

Volume 1, December 1946, to December 1947, Inclusive

With the exception of Vol. 1, No. 1, December 1946, which is out of print, a limited supply of each issue of CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE is available. Requests for back numbers will be filled, without charge, so long as the supplies last. Address all requests to CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE, 331 Hilgard Hall, University of California, Berkeley 4, California.

**ACORN CALVES**  
Nutritional deficiencies in dams  
Feb., page 2.

**AGRICULTURAL OUTLOOK**  
As of Dec., 1946  
Jan., page 1.  
In mid-1947  
Oct., page 1.

**ALFALFA**  
Costs of mechanized harvesting  
Aug., page 1.  
Lygus bug injury on growth of,  
March, page 3.

**ALMONDS**  
Tunnel type drier  
July, page 3.

**ARMILLARIA ROOT ROT**  
Control in citrus  
July, page 1.

**AVOCADO**  
DDT dust with sulfur for greenhouse thrips  
May, page 1.  
Tree decline  
Dec., 1946, page 3.

**BACTERIAL CANKER**  
Stone fruits on peach root resist  
Sept., page 1.

**BEES**  
As pollenizers  
April, page 4.  
Spring management  
March, page 1.

**BORON DEFICIENCY**  
Readily supplied  
Jan., page 3.

**BRANCH WILT**  
Persian walnut trees  
Sept., page 3.

**BRUCELOSIS**  
Control of bovine  
Jan., page 3.  
Effect on reproduction in swine  
July, page 4.

**BUTTER**  
Carotene as coloring agent  
Nov., page 2.

**BUTTERFAT**  
Vitamin A content  
June, page 1.

**CAROTENE**  
Coloring agent for butter  
Nov., page 2.

**CARROTS**  
Oil spray as weed control  
June, page 4.

**CATTLE**  
Abortion, Trichomoniasis  
Oct., page 1.  
Acorn Calves  
Nutritional deficiencies in dams  
Feb., page 2.

**Beef**  
Nutrients for,  
Dec., 1946, page 2.  
Supplemental feed  
Sept., page 4.  
Bovine Brucellosis  
Jan., page 3.

**Dairy**  
Hybrid vigor by crossing in breed  
April, page 1.  
Mastitis  
One type controlled successfully  
Dec., 1946, page 4.  
Water intake  
Sept., page 3.  
High temperatures  
Effects of,  
March, page 3.

**Scab**  
Control of,  
March, page 3.

**CECAL COCCIDIOSIS**  
Sulfaguanadine as control  
July, page 1.

**CHEMICAL FERTILIZATION**  
Nutritional value of plants  
Feb., page 3.

**CHERIMOYA**  
Hand pollination of,  
July, page 2.

**CITRUS**  
Armillaria root rot  
July, page 1.  
Cost study in Orange County  
Oct., page 1.  
Fertilization  
Differences in Florida and California  
Aug., page 4.

**Fruits**  
Small size  
Nov., page 1.  
Long-tailed mealybug  
Control studies  
Dec., page 1.

**Mites**  
New chemicals for control  
July, page 3.

**Thrips**  
Control of,  
April, page 1.  
Valencia Oranges  
Rind spot and drop,  
Nov., page 2.

**CODLING MOTH**  
Control of, on apples and pears  
Feb., page 3.  
On the Payne Walnut  
June, page 1.

**COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE**  
University president discusses work  
Dec., 1946, page 1.

**CONSERVATION**  
State, federal and interstate roles  
April, page 1.

**COTTON GROWING**  
Mechanization  
March, page 1.

**DAIRY INDUSTRY**  
Economic outlook  
Feb., page 1.  
Research  
Sept., page 1.  
Seven new projects  
Dec., 1946, page 1.

**DEHYDRATION**  
Almonds  
Tunnel type drier  
July, page 3.  
Fruit  
New methods developed  
Dec., 1946, page 3.

**ECONOMIC OUTLOOK**  
As of Dec., 1946  
Jan., page 1.  
Dairy industry  
Feb., page 1.  
In mid-47  
Oct., page 1.  
Prune Market  
Dec., page 1.

**EGGS**  
Family selection and progeny testing  
June, page 4.

**ENZYMES**  
In commercial processing  
Jan., page 4.

**EVOLUTION**  
Study of, aided by research  
June, page 3.

**FARM**  
Income Reflected  
Dec., page 2.  
Leased lands  
Sept., page 1.  
Soil Surveys  
Dec., page 2.

**FERTILIZER APPLICATION**  
Liquid manure  
Nov., page 1.

**FORAGE GRASSES**  
Improved, in field trials  
March, page 1.

**FOREIGN TRADE**  
Farm products affected by,  
Nov., page 1.

**FROZEN MEAT**  
Pretreatment of,  
June, page 2.  
Wax-wrap for,  
July, page 2.

**FRUIT**  
Dehydration  
New methods developed  
Dec., 1946, page 3.  
Handling  
Storage, shipping and precooling of  
stone fruits  
Aug., page 1.  
Propagation  
Methods of plant,  
May, page 4.  
Storage  
Vapors in, of avocados and citrus  
Aug., page 1.

**FUNGICIDES**  
Row crop seeds, decay or damping-off  
Oct., page 4.

**GENUS CREPIS**  
Research aided by study,  
June, page 3.

**GRAIN**  
Dressness protects farm stored,  
July, page 1.

**GRANULATION**  
Valencia Oranges  
Dec., page 1.

**GRAPEFRUIT**  
Rootstocks for Marsh  
May, page 3.

**GRAPES**  
Improving quality  
April, page 1.  
Raisins improved by harvesting  
Sept., page 1.

**GRASS**  
Forage  
Improved, in field trials  
March, page 1.  
Sudan  
Sweet Sudan and Sudan 23  
April, page 3.

**GREENHOUSE THIRPS**  
DDT dust with sulfur on avocados  
May, page 1.

**HEAT-EXPANDED MINERALS**  
Aids in plant propagation  
Sept., page 4.

**HERBICIDES**  
See "Weed Control"

**HOGS**  
Cull limas protein source  
Feb., page 3.

**INSECT**  
Pests  
Control of, by disease agents  
May, page 2.

**INSECTICIDES**  
DDT  
Control of peach twig borer  
July, page 1.  
In livestock industry  
Jan., page 1.  
Residue from pears and apples  
Jan., page 2.  
With sulfur for greenhouse thrips  
May, page 1.  
Internal injuries to plants  
July, page 1.  
New, for control of citrus mites  
July, page 3.  
New, for pest control  
Sept., page 2.

**Plane**  
Spraying by  
Oct., page 3.

**IRRIGATED PASTURES**  
Favorable to livestock parasites  
Feb., page 1.  
For grazing lambs  
Sept., page 1.

**IRRIGATION**  
Extra, in prune production  
May, page 1.  
Infiltration rates into Yolo loam  
Oct., page 1.  
Underground water supply  
Aug., page 2.

**J**

**K**

**L**

**LAMBS**  
Grazing, on irrigated pasture  
Sept., page 1.

**LEGUMES**  
Fertilized, aid following crop  
Aug., page 1.

**LIMA BEANS**  
Freezing qualities  
March, page 4.  
Protein source for hogs  
Feb., page 3.

**LIVE OAK TREES**  
Tip mildew  
Nov., page 4.

**LIVESTOCK**  
DDT in the, industry  
Jan., page 1.  
Poisonous range plants  
Feb., page 2.

**LYGUS BUGS**  
Control with DDT dusts  
April, page 4.  
Injury to growth of alfalfa  
March, page 3.

**MANAGEMENT**  
Bees  
Spring  
April, page 4.  
Grapes  
Improving quality  
April, page 1.  
Redwoods  
Second growth  
April, page 3.  
Shot-hole borer control  
Nov., page 1.

**MARKET**  
Poultry, competition  
Dec., page 1.  
Prune Outlook  
Dec., page 1.

**MASTITIS**  
One type controlled  
Dec., 1946, page 4.

**MEAT**  
Frozen  
Pretreatment and wrapping  
June, page 2.  
Wax-wrap  
July, page 2.

**MELOLAND FIELD STATION**  
Expansion of,  
Dec., 1946, page 1.

**MICRONUTRIENT CHEMICAL ELEMENTS**  
Application of, to crop  
Feb., page 4.

**MILK**  
Cans  
Electric sterilizer tested  
Aug., page 3.  
Color  
Improved in evaporated milk  
Oct., page 4.  
Handling  
Bulk, by ranch-to-factory system  
Oct., page 1.  
Powder  
Processing, for particular uses  
June, page 1.

**NITROGEN**  
Needs of orchards  
Feb., page 1.

**NUTRIENTS**  
Cattle  
Beef  
Dec., 1946, page 2.  
Supplemental feed  
Sept., page 4.

**NUTRITION**  
Deficiencies and "Acorn Calves"  
Feb., page 2.  
Poultry research  
Dec., 1946, page 3.  
Aug., page 3.

**NUTRITIONAL**  
Value of plants  
Not lowered by chemical fertilization  
Feb., page 3.

**OIL FRACTIONS**  
Toxic effect on plants  
Sept., page 2.

**OLIVE CULTURE**  
New program of research  
March, page 1.

**ORANGES**  
Drop  
Plant growth regulators  
June, page 1.  
Quick decline believed virus disease  
Jan., page 1.

**ORIENTAL FRUIT MOTH**  
Control  
Dec., 1946, page 2.

**PEACH TWIG BORER**  
Control of,  
May, page 1.

**PEARS**  
Production problems  
April, page 2.

**PENICILLIN**  
Blight of trees  
Dec., 1946, page 1.  
New insecticides  
Sept., page 2.

**PLANE**  
Spraying insecticides  
Oct., page 3.

**PLASMA FRACTIONS**  
From domestic livestock  
June, page 3.

**POISONOUS PLANTS**  
On the range  
Feb., page 2.

**POULTRY**  
Competition for Markets  
Dec., page 1.  
Diseases  
Investigation reported  
April, page 1.  
Eggs  
Progeny testing  
June, page 4.  
Nutrition  
Helpful to humans  
Aug., page 3.  
Research  
Dec., 1946, page 3.

**PULLORUM DISEASE**  
Improvement plans  
Dec., page 3.

**PRECISION PLANTER**  
For row crop seeds  
May, page 1.

**PRE-EMERGENCE SPRAY**  
Sugar beets  
Oct., page 1.

**PROCESSING**  
Fruits and vegetables  
Role of enzymes  
Jan., page 4.

**PROPAGATION**  
Plant  
Heat-expanded minerals aids  
Sept., page 4.

**PRUNE PRODUCTION**  
Extra expense  
May, page 1.  
Market Outlook  
Dec., page 1.

**QUICK DECLINE**  
Of oranges, virus disease  
Jan., page 1.

**QUICK FREEZING**  
Home storage units  
March, page 3.

**RABBIT**  
Production problems  
June, page 3.

## ABSTRACTS OF NEW PUBLICATIONS

**SUGAR-BEET BY-PRODUCTS**  
FEEDING VALUE OF SUGAR-BEET BY-PRODUCTS, by H. R. Guilbert, R. F. Miller, and H. Goss. *Bul. 702, October, 1947. (24 pages.) See page 1.*

**RAISINS**  
Improved  
Sept., page 1.

**RANGE SHEEP**  
Supplemental feeds  
Nov., page 2.

**REDWOOD**  
Second growth  
April, page 3.

**RIND SPOT**  
Valencia oranges  
Nov., page 2.

**ROW CROPS**  
Fungicides  
Oct., page 4.

**S**

**SHOT-HOLE BORER**  
Control of,  
Nov., page 1.

**SITUATION AND OUTLOOK**  
Foreign trade  
Nov., page 1.  
Mid-1947  
Oct., page 1.

**SOILS**  
Water penetration  
Jan., page 2.

**SOIL TYPES**  
Over 1,100 recognized  
March, page 1.

**SPINACH**  
Increased by nitrogen  
July, page 1.

**STRIPE**  
Barley  
Control measures  
Oct., page 2.

**SUGAR BEETS**  
Plant analysis for fertilization  
May, page 4.  
Plowed and unplowed soil  
Aug., page 1.  
Pre-emergence spray  
Oct., page 1.

**SUPPLEMENTAL FEEDS**  
Cattle  
Sept., page 4.  
Sheep  
Nov., page 2.

**SWINE**  
Brucellosis  
July, page 4.

**T**

**TEMPERATURES**  
Effects of high, on cattle  
March, page 3.

**TIMBER**  
Continuous production  
Jan., page 4.

**TIP MILDEW**  
Live Oak trees  
Nov., page 4.

**TOMATO**  
Vitamin C loss in storage  
July, page 3.

**TREE BLIGHT**  
Penicillin not control  
Dec., 1946, page 1.

**TRICHOMONIASIS**  
Bovine  
Control measure  
Oct., page 1.

**TURKEY BREEDING STOCK**  
Selection and management  
Feb., page 4.

**U**

**V**

**VALENCIA**  
Granulation  
Dec., page 1.  
Rind spot and drop  
Nov., page 2.

**VEGETABLES**  
New, for California farms  
June, page 1.

**VITAMIN**  
A—  
In yellow or white butterfat  
June, page 1.  
C—  
Loss by tomato products  
July, page 3.

**W**

**WALNUTS**  
Branch wilt of Persian trees  
Sept., page 3.  
Codling moth  
Payne walnut  
June, page 1.

**WASP**  
Macrocentrus ancylivorous  
Oriental fruit moth  
Dec., 1946, page 2.

**WATERMELON**  
Sugar content  
July, page 4.

**WATER PENETRATION**  
Causes of poor, in soils  
Jan., page 2.

**WEED CONTROL**  
Oil fractions, their toxic effect  
Sept., page 2.  
Oil spray as, in carrot  
June, page 4.  
Pre-emergence spray on sugar beets  
Oct., page 1.  
2,4-D detrimental if mishandled  
Feb., page 1.  
Wild morning glory, by 2,4-D  
Aug., page 2.

**WHEAT**  
New strains increase yields  
Dec., 1946, page 1.

**WINERY**  
Liquid waste disposal  
May, page 1.

**WIREWORMS**  
Control by new chemicals  
Nov., page 1.

**X**

**Y**

**Z**

**DONATIONS FOR AGRICULTURE RESEARCH**  
Gifts to the University of California for research by the College of Agriculture, accepted in November, 1947

**BERKELEY**  
American Economic Committee for Palestine, Inc.—Motion picture, 3 reels, 16-mm film....."Modern Poultry Husbandry" Division of Poultry Husbandry

**DAVIS**  
C. E. Howard and Co.—One 300-gallon S/S Farm Milk Storage Tank with motor and agitator and with float and gauge ribbon; also with 2" no-foam inlet, 2" #10F W.M. valve and 2" Tps. ball base legs.

K. A. Ryerson.....War Dept. Map of World, 9"x12"

**RIVERSIDE**  
Dow Chemical Co.....\$2,640.00  
Investigation of new insecticides.