

# Coordinated response to inadvertent introduction of pathogens to California restoration areas

Growers, regulators and native plant restoration experts are together trying to reduce the spread of more than 25 new *Phytophthora* taxa

Susan J. Frankel, Plant Pathologist, Ecosystem Function and Health, USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station

Janice M. Alexander, Forest Health Program Coordinator, UC Cooperative Extension Marin County

Diana Benner, Owner, Watershed Nursery

Alisa Shor, Director of Park Nurseries, Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy

*Phytophthora tentaculata* was cultured from the roots of this nearly dead sticky monkey flower (*Diplacus aurantiacus*) 2 years after outplanting in a restoration area in Alameda County.



Photo: Swiecki, Phytophthora Research

In 2012, a plant pathogen known as *Phytophthora tentaculata* was discovered on sticky monkey flower (*Diplacus aurantiacus*) at a native plant nursery in Monterey County (Rooney-Latham and Blomquist 2014). Subsequently — in a development that stunned restoration ecologists as well as growers at native plant nurseries — evidence emerged that *P. tentaculata*, *P.*

*cactorum* and other new or new hybrid *Phytophthora* species had been unintentionally but extensively introduced into restoration areas in the greater San Francisco Bay Area (Garbelotto et al. 2018, page 208 in this issue). These soilborne plant pathogens, as they move from native plant nurseries to restoration sites via planting stock, potentially undermine the very purpose of restoration projects. That is, they degrade rather than enhance habitat — not only causing plant mortality but also threatening ecological investments intended to improve habitat for vulnerable species such as coyote ceanothus (*Ceanothus ferrisiae*), California tiger salamanders (*Ambystoma californiense*), California red-legged frogs (*Rana draytonii*) and mission blue butterflies (*Aricia icarioides missionensis*).

In 2015, in an effort to protect sensitive habitats and restoration sites against

*Phytophthora* and other introduced plant pathogens, we created an organization called the Phytophthoras in Native Habitats Work Group and invited any interested parties to join. The Work Group's aim is to minimize the spread of *Phytophthora* pathogens in restoration sites and native plant nurseries by coordinating a comprehensive, unified program entailing management, monitoring, research, education and policy. The Work Group — modeled after the California Oak Mortality Task Force — builds consensus; provides technical assistance to stakeholders such as government agencies, nursery growers and nonprofit organizations; develops strategies and techniques to support adaptive integrated pest management programs to address *Phytophthora* species in restoration areas; and identifies funding needs and available resources to protect wildlands and assist the restoration industry in its efforts to contain *Phytophthora*.

## The problem

When *P. tentaculata* was discovered in Monterey County in 2012, it was the first time the pathogen had been detected in the United States. *P. tentaculata* was subsequently detected on toyon (*Heteromeles arbutifolia*), coffeeberry (*Frangula californica*) and sage (*Salvia* spp.) in nurseries and at restoration sites in several California counties (Rooney-Latham et al. 2015). Further investigations detected *P. quercina* — a microbe with high potential to damage U.S. environmental and economic interests (Swiecki and Bernhardt 2017) — on a planted valley oak (*Quercus lobata*) in a restoration area in San Jose (Santa Clara County). As with *P. tentaculata*, this was the first time *P. quercina* had been detected in the United States. A survey of native plant nurseries and restoration areas, conducted from 2014 through 2016, identified well over 25 *Phytophthora* taxa in the nurseries or in plantings that originated from the nurseries (Rooney-Latham et al. 2017), along with at least 70 new associations between *Phytophthora* and native plant species.

Two decades earlier, the sudden oak death pathogen (*P. ramorum*) had emerged from nurseries to create an uncontrollable epidemic of wildland plant disease. Now, detections of *Phytophthora* sparked concern that, once again, invasive species could travel the nursery-to-wildland pathway to cause an inadvertent but uncontrollable epidemic. The sudden oak death pathogen had been introduced to California and Oregon on ornamental nursery stock, most likely rhododendrons or camellias. It then moved via wind-blown rain into adjacent forests, taking hold in several areas along the Pacific coast and killing millions of tanoaks (*Notholithocarpus densiflorus*) and coast live oaks (*Quercus agrifolia*) (Rizzo et al. 2002). The spread of the oak pathogen demonstrated how, when container plants are transported, pathogens such as *Phytophthora* can travel long distances and proliferate across landscapes (Croucher et al. 2013; Goss et al. 2009; Grünwald et al. 2012). Once an area is contaminated, it is difficult or impossible to eradicate the pathogen and to restore lands (Goheen et al. 2017; Kanaskie et al. 2017).

*Phytophthora cinnamomi* introduced into native habitats can kill susceptible species, such as the giant chinquapin (*Chrysolepis chrysophylla*) visible in this photo taken in the Oakland Hills (Alameda County). Pallid manzanita (*Arctostaphylos pallida*) mortality due to *P. cinnamomi* infection is also present in this stand.

## The response

Some land managers, in response to soilborne *Phytophthora* introductions, suspended plantings, cancelled

orders of nursery stock or invested millions of dollars in solarization treatments to clean up contaminated sites. But such measures achieved only partial eradication (Hillman et al. 2017; Lyman et al. 2017) — and in any event, neither discontinuation of restoration planting nor switching to direct seeding represents an ideal long-term approach to *Phytophthora* prevention. Many benefits of restoration are foregone or significantly delayed when nursery stock is not used.

The Work Group has pursued a collaborative approach to protecting native plant habitats. Participants — growers of native plants, vegetation managers connected with water districts and open space areas, restoration consultants, plant pathologists, plant health regulators and environmental regulators — have established an interdisciplinary process for developing best management practices regarding production at restoration nurseries, planting at restoration areas and maintenance of sites. The group has produced guidance that can help environmental regulators reduce the risk of *Phytophthora* spread (the guidance involves altering certain elements of restoration design and instituting changes to regulators' criteria for success). The group also has organized a number of symposia and trainings and has posted key *Phytophthora* information on the internet



([calphytos.org](http://calphytos.org)). Partly in response to the group's work, several prominent managers of restoration nurseries proactively redesigned their facilities, imposing strict phytosanitary measures to prevent *Phytophthora* spread; they then shared stories of their success in growing healthier plants, inspiring other nursery managers to create clean areas for stock production (Sims et al. 2018). Organizations — with the help of interns, staff or contracted workers — sampled their properties to better understand the incidence and distribution of pathogens. Regulators such as the Army Corps of Engineers are incorporating Work Group guidance into environmental assessments. Finally, researchers are actively working on novel diagnostic approaches, including the use of dogs to sniff out pathogens (Swiecki et al. 2018, page 217 in this issue).

Concurrently, the California Native Plant Society adopted a policy intended to prevent harmful pathogens from spreading out of native plant nurseries or spreading via plant sales by the society's chapters; the policy fosters the use of clean, native plant stock in all landscape and restoration plantings. In February 2017, State Senator Bill Dodd introduced SB 287, entitled "Habitat restoration: invasive species: *Phytophthora* pathogens," which would require the California Department of Fish and Wildlife to adopt regulations to minimize the risk associated with *Phytophthora* pathogens in plant materials used for habitat restoration projects authorized, funded or required by the state. The Work Group, to simplify compliance with

and enforcement of the prospective regulations, is exploring a program for restoration nursery accreditation or certification, which could be incorporated into the bill. Meanwhile, the California Department of Food and Agriculture revised its pest risk ratings for several *Phytophthora* species; the new ratings enable county agricultural commissioners to take control actions if they deem them warranted.

## More to do

Many native plant nurseries, by adopting systematic phytosanitary measures, have committed themselves to preventing pathogen introductions. Much work remains to be done before all restoration nurseries are operating at the highest standards, but we are heartened by the progress made thus far and by the coalition's enthusiasm about the work still to come. Potential sources of contamination, however, are not limited to native plant nurseries — nurseries of all types pose risks. Many experts therefore agree that risks surrounding *Phytophthora* species and other plant pathogens (Swiecki and Bernhardt 2017) should be factored into hazard assessments for all nursery stock. Restoration nurseries have implemented phytosanitary measures to protect the diversity and vitality of natural vegetation — but because interconnections between people and nature are forever increasing, such measures might deserve wider adoption. [CA](#)

## References

- Croucher P, Mascheretti S, Garbelotto M. 2013. Combining field epidemiological information and genetic data to comprehensively reconstruct the invasion history and the microevolution of the sudden oak death agent *Phytophthora ramorum* (Stramenopila: Oomycetes) in California. *Biol Invasions* 15(10):2281–97. doi:10.1007/s10530-013-0453-8
- Garbelotto M, Frankel SJ, Scanu B. 2018. Soil- and waterborne *Phytophthora* species linked to recent outbreaks in Northern California restoration sites. *Calif Agr* 72(4):208–15. doi:10.3733/ca.2018a0033
- Goheen EM, Kanaskie A, Navarro S, Hansen E. 2017. Sudden oak death management in Oregon tanoak forests. *Forest Pathophthoras* 7(1):45–53. doi:10.5399/osu/fp.7.1.4030
- Goss EM, Larsen M, Chastagner GA, et al. 2009. Population genetic analysis infers migration pathways of *Phytophthora ramorum* in US nurseries. *PLOS Pathog* 5(9):e1000583. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000583
- Grünwald NJ, Garbelotto M, Goss EM, et al. 2012. Emergence of the sudden oak death pathogen *Phytophthora ramorum*. *Trends Microbiol* 20(3):131–8. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2011.12.006
- Hillman J, Swiecki TJ, Bernhardt EA, et al. 2017. 31 flavors to 50 shades of grey: Battling *Phytophthoras* in native habitats managed by the Santa Clara Valley Water District. In: Proceedings of the Sudden Oak Death Sixth Science Symposium. [www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/psw\\_gtr255/index.shtml](http://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/psw_gtr255/index.shtml)
- Kanaskie A, Wiese R, Norlander D, et al. 2017. Slowing spread of sudden oak death in Oregon forests, 2001–2015. In: Proceedings of the Sudden Oak Death Sixth Science Symposium. [www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/psw\\_gtr255/index.shtml](http://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/psw_gtr255/index.shtml)
- Lyman G, Appel J, Ingolia M, et al. 2017. Steam, solarization, and tons of prevention: The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's fight to contain *Phytophthoras* in San Francisco Bay Area restoration sites. In: Proceedings of the Sudden Oak Death Sixth Science Symposium. [www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/psw\\_gtr255/index.shtml](http://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/psw_gtr255/index.shtml)
- Presley C. 2016. Preventing the spread of plant pathogens. SERCAL 2016 Technical Session, Society of Ecological Restoration – California, June 15, Tahoe. [www.sercal.org/the-latest/2017/10/3/preventing-the-spread-of-plant-pathogens](http://www.sercal.org/the-latest/2017/10/3/preventing-the-spread-of-plant-pathogens)
- Rizzo DM, Garbelotto M, Davidson JM, et al. 2002. *Phytophthora ramorum* as the cause of extensive mortality of *Quercus* spp. and *Lithocarpus densiflorus* in California. *Plant Dis* 86(3):205–14. doi:10.1094/pdis.2002.86.3.205
- Rooney-Latham S, Blomquist CL. 2014. First report of root and stem rot caused by *Phytophthora tentaculata* on *Mimulus aurantiacus* in North America. *Plant Dis* 98(7):996. doi:10.1094/PDIS-09-13-1002-PDN
- Rooney-Latham S, Blomquist CL, Soriano MC, et al. 2017. An update on *Phytophthora* species in California native plant nurseries and restoration areas. In: Proceedings of the Sudden Oak Death Sixth Science Symposium. [www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/psw\\_gtr255/index.shtml](http://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/documents/psw_gtr255/index.shtml)
- Rooney-Latham S, Blomquist CL, Swiecki T, et al. 2015. First detection in the US: New plant pathogen, *Phytophthora tentaculata*, in native plant nurseries and restoration sites in California. *Native Plants J* 16(1):23–7. doi: 10.3368/npj.16.1.23
- Sims L, Tjosvold S, Chambers D, Garbelotto M. 2018. Control of *Phytophthora* species in plant stock for habitat restoration through best management practices. *Plant Pathol* 2018. doi:10.1111/ppa.12933
- Swiecki T, Bernhardt E. 2017. *Phytophthora* species in California restoration sites and wildlands: Ecology, distribution, dispersal, management. In: *Phytophthora* species in Restoration Nurseries, Plants, and Wildlands II, San Jose, May 18. [www.sudenoakdeath.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/03-Swiecki-Phyt-WG-mtg-5-20171.pdf](http://www.sudenoakdeath.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/03-Swiecki-Phyt-WG-mtg-5-20171.pdf)
- Swiecki TJ, Quinn M, Sims L, et al. 2018. Three new *Phytophthora* detection methods, including training dogs to sniff out the pathogen, prove reliable. *Calif Agr* 72(4):217–25. doi:10.3733/ca.2018a0026