fruit was produced in the southwest quadrant, which was significantly different from the north half, but not from the southeast quadrant of the tree. The Valencia trees in Mecca were spaced 24×24 ft, with a tree in the center.

In Fillmore, all quadrants were significantly different from each other, with 58% of the fruit found on the south half of the tree (table 1).

At Woodlake, 62% of the fruit was produced on the east half of the tree, which is significantly different from the west half. These figures are similar to those obtained at the navel orange grove at Woodlake.

Grapefruit

Fruit on grapefruit trees was counted at Riverside and Indio in Riverside County and at Bardsdale in Ventura County. Indio grapefruit is harvested in late winter and early spring, while Riverside and Ventura County fruit is not harvested until summer. In all cases there was more fruit produced on the south half of the trees. At Riverside, 58% of the fruit was produced on the south half of the tree-significantly different from production on the north half of the tree. In Bardsdale, 57% of the fruit was found on the south half of the tree. In most locations except Riverside, a 1% or higher fruit production was produced on the southwest quadrant; and one location at Indio showed a significant difference in favor of the southwest quadrant.

Lemons

In this study, the only lemon trees counted were located on the Limoneira Ranch near Santa Paula in Ventura County. The four harvests in 1972 are described in table 1. The data show that the southwest quadrant produced the most fruit. It was not, however, significantly different from the southeast quadrant, which was second. The northwest quadrant was third and the northeast produced the least fruit. The south half of the tree produced 61% of the tree's total production.

TABLE	1.	NUMBER	OF	BLOOF	∕IS*	FOR	EACH	OF	SEVE
CROPS	0F	FIRST-Y	EAR	ROSES	, Cl	JLTIVA	AR (TO	NWC	CRIER
	HA	RVESTED	FRC	DM JUL	ΥT	HROU	GH JI	JNE,	
		S	AN	JOSE,	197	1–72			

Harvest period	Bed	Can†
July–August	4.86	5.81
September	4.67	6.00
October-November	5.33	5.57 ns
December-January	2.91	3.39
February-March	3.48	3.95
April	3.67	4.33
May-June	5.06	5.52
		_

* Average per square foot of three replications of eight plants each. † Container-grown production significantly greater for

 \uparrow Container-grown production significantly greater for all crops except October-November (least significant difference is 0.34 blooms at p = .05).

T. G. BYRNE

GREEN

 \mathbf{RO}

WIN

PRODU

INCREA

OUTD

ROTA

Greenhouse roses in California are typically planted in ground beds, but they also produce exceptionally well in five-gallon containers (see table 1). This type of culture appears to offer advantages that may prove commercially useful, including good production on poor growing sites; centralized soil preparation and planting operations; seasonal variations in spacing and/or cultivars; and the containment and possible recycling of run-off water. The use of individual plant containers also permits part of the crop to be rotated between high-cost greenhouse production areas and low-maintenance outdoor "plant renewal" sites. Production from "renewed" plants is greater than from continuously cropped plants.

TABLE 2. NUMBER OF BLOOMS* BY GRADE HARVESTED FROM CONTINUOUSLY CROPPED AND ROTATED ROSES CULTIVAR 'FOREVER YOURS' PER WEEK

				DURING	IWO	CROP C	YCLES,	1973			
IOUSE	Blooms per square foot										
	Week of harvest	10 - 13 in. Rot'd Cont		14 - 19 in. Rot'd Cont		20 - 25 in. Rot'd Cont		26 plus in. Rot'd Cont		All grades Rot'd Cont	
SE	120 29			0.10							
	Feb. 4		0.06		0.19		0.45		0.19		1.08
'ER	Feb. 11	0.06		0.90	0.38	1.86	0.77	0.38	0.45	3.20	1.60
	Feb. 18			3.07	1.09	1.47	0.96	0.13	0.19	4.67	2.24
					0.30		0.06		0.06		0.50
	crop totai	0.06	0.06	3.97	2,42	3.33	2.24	0.51	0.89	7.87	5.61
ED BY	Mar. 25		0.06		0.13		0.58				0.77
	Apr. 1		0.32		0.32	0.19	0.51		0.06	0.51	0.89
008	Apr. 8	0.38	0.06	2.50	0.58	2.11	1.22	0.19		5.18	1.86
	Apr. 15 Apr. 22			0.90	0.19	0.58	0.38	0.06	0.05	1.54	0.63
TON	Apr. 29			0.00	0.19		0.58		0.32	0.00	1.09
	crop	0.29	0.12	3 70	1.02	2.00	2.52	0.05	0.50	7.00	
		0.38	0,12	3.78	1.92	2.88	3.53	0.25	0.50	7.29	0.07

THE USE OF individual plant containers rotated between the greenhouse and outdoors resulted in greater winter bloom production in an experiment at San Jose. Two groups of roses that had been growing in cans in the greenhouse for three years were pruned to 30 inches on August 14, after being allowed to bloom out for three weeks. One group was taken outdoors after pruning. It was fertilized and given minimal maintenance, but left unharvested until brought into the greenhouse again in early January. This was the "rotated" group. The other group was grown in the greenhouse, with a crop harvested in September and a pinch made for Christmas in late October. This was the "continuous" group. Flower production from these two groups of plants was recorded from January 28 through April 29-a period of two complete crop cycles.

A comparison of the production of the continuous group with that of the rotated group (see table 2) indicates that production per square foot of greenhouse would have been increased if the continuously cropped plants had been re-

* Average per square foot of four row replications of three plants each.

placed by the outdoor, uncropped plants immediately after the Christmas harvest. In fact, the rotated group produced 30% more flowers of cultivar 'Forever Yours.' A similar increase was noted for cultivar 'Golden Wave' (data not presented here).

A direct conversion of number of flowers to the amount of money received for them is not possible, because of different prices for different grades. The flowers from these plots, however, were graded when harvested. This made possible a conversion of production to money, using prices of the San Francisco wholesale market as reported by the Federal-State Market News Service.

On this basis the return for the first post-Christmas crop was \$1.62 per square foot for the rotated group, and \$1.16 for the continuous group. The return on the second crop was \$1.19 for the rotated group and \$1.10 for the continuous group. The rotated plants, then, returned fifty-five cents (24%) more per square foot during the two-crop period.

T. G. Byrne is Specialist, Floriculture Research Facility, U.C. Deciduous Fruit Station, San Jose.

S. B. Boswell is Specialist, Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Riverside. R. M. Burns is U. C. Agricultural Extension Farm Advisor (Citrus), Ventura County. C. B. Cree, Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Riverside assisted with the statistical analysis.