Olive Tree Spacing

studies indicate wide spacing of trees advantageous to yields

Karl Opitz and H. T. Hartmann

Olive trees in the outside rows of orchards or in border row planting generally outyield trees growing in solid block plantings. This is believed to result from less root and top competition. Compared with the solid block plantings, border row trees are better illuminated and the roots spread into areas where such essentials as water and soil nutrients are more readily available.

The usual planting distance for olives—though there are numerous exceptions—is about $30' \times 30'$ in Tulare County, $28' \times 28'$ in Butte County and $22' \times 22'$ in Tehama County. Very little yield data on comparable block plantings with different spacings are available.

Studies were undertaken to obtain some idea of the best spacing for Mission and other olive varieties. Two blocks of Mission olive trees were brought under cultivation in 1938 after they had been abandoned for a number of years. They are within a quarter of a mile of each other in the northern part of Tulare County on comparable soil areas of San Joaquin clay loam and Greenfield sandy loam.

The trees are all of the same age and stock. The east block of trees consists of seven acres with the trees planted 44 feet apart each way. The west block of trees is 15 acres in size with the trees planted on 30-foot squares.

Both blocks of trees have been under the same management and treated alike in such respects as fertilization, irrigation, cultivation, pest control, and harvesting.

Yield records for each block of trees were kept separate during five harvest seasons, from 1944 to 1946 and in 1948 and 1949. These data, which are presented in the accompanying table of yields show that with the 44' × 44' spacing there was an increase in yield over the 30' × 30' spacing of 77% on a tree basis and 52% on an acre basis.

In the second table a comparison is made for the 1949 season of gross income between trees grown on the 30' × 30' spacing with those planted 44' × 44' apart. This table, which takes into consideration the size grades, shows that the fruit was slightly larger on the trees with the wide spacing. In 1949 there was an increase in gross income of \$240.29 per acre with trees planted on the wider spacing.

Very few olive orchards in California are planted as far apart as $44' \times 44'$. In fact, $30' \times 30'$ is considered to be a fairly generous planting distance with an appreciable percentage of the orchards being set even closer than this.

There are many orchards in California in which the trees are obviously planted too close together. One of these orchards, with trees set $20' \times 20'$ apart was given a severe pruning in an attempt to overcome the crowded condition. Such heavy pruning further reduces yields by removing fruiting wood.

A much better solution to this problem would be to pull out a portion of the trees. In an orchard planted $20' \times 20'$, the removal of every other tree in every row, starting with alternate trees, would leave the trees on a diagnal $28' \times 28'$ spacing, which would still be a minimum desirable distance. A further removal perhaps several years later, of all the remaining trees in every other row would leave a final



Transplanted mature olive tree three year:

spacing of 40' × 40' which could be expected to result in an increase in yields per acre in a few years after new fruiting wood developed. Another important ad-Continued on page 16

Effect of Planting Distance on Yields of Mission Olives During Five Harvest Seasons—Tulare County

	Yield p	er tree	Yield per acre			
_	Close spacing (30 x 30)	Wide spacing (44 x 44)	Close spacing (30 x 30)	Wide spacing (44 x 44)		
1944	. 29 lbs.	128 lbs.	1,450 lbs.	2,880 lbs.		
1945	. 4	26	194	585		
1946	. 26	116	1,258	2,610		
1948	. 46	214	2,226	4,815		
1948	. 55	201	2,352	4,771		
Average of 5 years	. 32 lbs.	137 lbs.	1,496 lbs.	3,132 lbs.		
Annual increase in yield due to wide spacing		105 lbs		1,636 lbs.		
Per cent increase in yields		77%		52%		

Gross Income per Acre for the 1949 Harvest Season from Mission Olives Planted 30 \times 30 in Comparison with Trees Planted 44 \times 44

	Size grades and yields per acre—1949						
Size grades and price per lb.	30 x 30 spacing			44 x 44 spacing			
	Lbs.	Per cent	Value	Lbs.	Per cent	Value	
Mammoth (16.5¢)				21	0.4	\$ 3.47	
Extra large (14.8¢)	178	7.5	\$ 26.34	389	8.2	57.57	
Large (13.0¢)	498	21.2	64.74	1,171	24.5	152.23	
Medium (11.3¢)		33.0	87.80	1,363	28.6	154.02	
Standard (10.0¢)		21.4	50.30	771	16.2	77.10	
Substandard (3.8¢)		16.8	15.05	1,056	22.1	40.13	
	2,352 lbs.		\$244.23	4,771 Ib	s.	\$484.52	
Increased income per acre in 1949 with wide spacing						\$240.29	



-now ready for distribution-

Each month, new publications of the College of Agriculture are listed in this column as they are received from the press.

BREEDING CHICKENS FOR MEAT PRODUCTION, by V. S. Asmundson and I. Michael Lerner. Exp. Sta. Bul. 675 (revised) January 1951. For the professional poultryman who wants technical information about the genetic factors influencing quality and quantity of meat on chickens.

Single copies of these publications or a catalogue of Agricultural Publications may be obtained without charge from the local office of the Farm Advisor or by addressing a request to: Agricultural Publications, 22 Giannini Hall, University of California, College of Agriculture, Berkeley 4, California.

OLIVE

Continued from page 13

vantage would be the ease of harvesting due to the production of fruiting wood around the sides of the tree as well as in the top.

Transplanting

Olive growers contemplating a tree removal program are fortunate because the olive is a fruit tree species in which mature trees can be dug up or pulled out and replanted in a new location. It is necessary to cut the scaffold branches back to the trunk and to remove practically the entire leaf area but in a few years transplanted olive trees will again be in bearing. Such trees will be in full bearing much sooner than trees started from nursery stock. A two-fold advantage can thus be obtained—the removal of trees from overcrowded orchards with the resultant increase in yields, and the rapid establishment of a new bearing orchard.

Karl Opitz is Farm Advisor of Agricultural Extension Service, Tulare County, University of California College of Agriculture.

H. T. Hartmann is Assistant Professor of Pomology, University of California College of Agriculture, Davis.

The above progress report is based on Research Project No. 1301.



Penalty for private use to avoid payment of postage, \$300

University of California College of Agriculture, Agricultural Experiment Station, Berkeley 4,

rul I. Tharp

Free—Annual Report or Bulletin or Report of Progress Permit No. 1127

DONATIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

Gifts to the University of California for research by the College of Agriculture accepted in January, 1951.

BERKELEY

For soil fumigation studies

DAVIS

Tor experimental use in weed control in brush

Chester Himel Basal applicator

For use in experimental work in brush control

Lederle Lebergerie Basal applicator

LOS ANGELES

RIVERSIDE

CORRECTION

In the article, Mites on Walnuts, published on page 9 of the February 1951 issue of California Agriculture the data pertaining to five tests with Aramite (88R) were given. The figures for the fifth treatment should read as shown in the reduced table at the right.

Control of Spider Mites Obtained with the Acaricide Aramite (88R)

Treatment and composition per 100 gallons of water			Average	number of active mites per sample				
	Date - applied	July 24	Aug. 1	Aug. 2	Aug. 4	Aug. 9	Aug. 21	Sept.
34 pints 25% emulsion + 4 oz. multifilm L	Aug. 2			(25)* 124.3**	(50) 25.4	(50) 12.8	(50) 12.7	(50) 7.7

^{*}Number of leaflets examined. **Pretreatment count.