ESTIMATING THE COST OF REPLACING FORAGE LOSSES ON ANNUAL RANGELAND

Cooperative Extension University of California
Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources
Publication 21494

The authors are Neil K. McDougald, Farm Advisor, Madera County; William E. Frost, Range Scientist, California State University, Fresno; and W. James Clawson, Extension Range Specialist, Department of Agronomy and Range Science, University of California, Davis.

THIS PUBLICATION IS PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER.

The University of California, in compliance with Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Sections 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, color, national origin, sex, mental or physical handicap, or age in any of its programs or activities, or with respect to any of its employment policies, practices, or procedures. Nor does the University of California discriminate on the basis of ancestry, sexual orientation, marital status, citizenship, medical condition (as defined in section 12926 of the California Government Code) or because individuals are special disabled veterans or Vietnam era veterans (as defined by the Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Act of 1974 and Section 12940 of the California Government Code). Inquiries regarding this policy may be addressed to the Affirmative Action Director, University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 300 Lakeside Drive, 6th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612-3560. (415) 987-0097.

2m-pr-3/91-HS/ALS

Estimating the Cost of Replacing Forage Losses on Annual Rangeland

Valuable forage is often lost on annual rangelands because of wildfires, cultivation, road construction, and excavation. Estimating the cost of such losses cannot be based upon traditional grazing rental rates for livestock because the impact on forage production is more far reaching than the impact of grazing. All residual dry matter is removed and, except in the case of fire, the soil profile is changed. Besides the loss of the current year's forage, the following growing seasons' forage production, length of adequate forage-growing periods, and species composition are affected.

For example, after a fire or disturbance has removed all vegetation, about 50 to 70 percent as much forage will be produced the following season, and the species composition will shift primarily to forbs. The second growing season following a disturbance, forage production will be about 20 percent less than on undisturbed sites. Only in the third growing season will forage production be similar on both sites (Hervy 1949, Zavon 1982, McDougald and Frost 1989, 1990). After a high-intensity fire that leaves white ash, less than 25 percent as much forage will be produced on the burned site than on an unburned site for each of the next 3 years, and possibly longer, if reseeding is not conducted (Frost 1988). To appraise the impact of this disturbance on annual rangeland, it is necessary to assess the impact on the site, its likely productivity, and the cost of replacing the forage lost.

In areas typified by the San Joaquin Experimental Range six easily recognized, fairly broad range sites make up usable rangeland (Bentley and Talbot 1951). Precipitous slopes; large, nearly barren rock outcrops; and areas with dense brush or interior live oak cover are excluded from consideration. The six range sites identified are:

Swale sites - gentle drainage bottoms, which produce the greatest amount of forage

Gentle slope – located just above the swale; slope less than 10 percent

Open-rolling sites – gentle uplands with few rock outcrops or brush; intermediate in annual forage productivity

Rocky, brushy sites - uplands; low in annual forage productivity

Steep, rocky, brushy, north slope sites – over 30 percent slope; least productive site

Steep, rocky, brushy, south slope sites – over 30 percent slope; about twice as productive as north slopes

Adequate amounts of residual dry matter (RDM), the dry plant material left on the ground from the previous year's growth, must be left at the end of the grazing season to protect the site and to provide a favorable microenvironment for the following year's forage production (Clawson, McDougald, and Duncan 1982). Therefore, the difference between the amount of forage produced on a site and the RDM is the amount available for rangeland users. Because livestock generally harvest 50 percent of the available forage (Smith, Leung, and Love 1986), the usable amount lost to the livestock producer is one-half of the available forage with the other 50 percent being utilized by wildlife, decomposition, trampling, etc. Typical forage production, an RDM standard, estimated available forage, and usable forage for sites at SJER are presented in table 1.

An alternative to using average forage production values for a given site would be to sample forage production on similarly burned and unburned sites. Techniques available for determining forage production include clipping of plots (Cook and Stubbendieck 1986), the comparative yield method (Haydock and Shaw 1975), and use of a pasture probe (George et al. 1989), etc. Another alternative would be to use forage production estimates taken from the Soil Conservation Service ecological site description (range site guides). This forage production estimate could then be used in place of the average annual forage production value in table 1.

Table 1. Average annual forage production, residual dry matter (RDM) standards, usable forage, and forage lost to livestock producer for 6 range sites typical of the San Joaquin Experimental Range, Madera County, California*

Range site	Average annual forage production (lb/ac)	RDM standard (lb/ac)	Available forage (lb/ac)	Harvest efficiency (%)	Usable forage (lb/ac)
Swale	4,400	600	3,800	50	1,900
Gentle slope	3,000	800	2,200	50	1,100
Open, rolling	2,100	800	1,400	50	700
Rocky, brushy	1,400	1,000	400	50	200
Steep, rocky, brushy, north slope	1,200	1,000	200	50	100
Steep, rocky, brushy, south slope	1,800	1,000	800	50	400

^{*}Adapted from Bentley and Talbot 1951.

The time of year the pasture is traditionally utilized determines the value of the forage lost as the nutrients contained in that forage must be replaced by supplemental feeding, which by definition is the supplementing of nutrients the grazed forage lacks. On California's annual rangelands, dry feed during summer and early fall lacks protein, phosphorus, and vitamin A. In fall and early winter, new forage growth is high in moisture and energy is often inadequate for desired livestock performance. Feed supplements are used commonly in the form of dry concentrated feed, liquids, or blocks formulated to provide a source of protein (or nonprotein nitrogen), phosphorus, vitamin A, and, sometimes, other minerals (i.e., copper and magnesium). At the beginning of the new forage season, protein and energy are most often supplied in the form of hay. Oat hay can supply an adequate amount of energy, but it is a poor source of protein and vitamin A. Alfalfa hay, on the other hand, is a good source of all these nutrients (table 2).

Table 2. Dry matter percentage, total digestible nutrients (TDN) percentage, protein content, and phosphorus percentage for oat and alfalfa hay and range forage

Feed	Dry matter (%)	TDN (%)	Protein content (%)	Phos- phorus (%)
Alfalfa hay	90	60	17	0.22
Oat hay	90	54	9	0.21
Late dry forage	90	45	3	0.15
Early green forage	30	20	6	0.08
Early green forage	90	60	18	0.25
Late spring forage	60	45	10	0.18
Late spring forage	90	60	15	0.25

Calculations to determine the value of forage lost are included in a set of worksheets, (a Sample Worksheet plus Examples 1-3). These calculations take into account the forage productivity of the site, the reduction in productivity due to a disturbance, the RDM standard necessary to protect the site, the harvest efficiency of grazing livestock, and the number of acres affected.

Three examples are presented to clarify the process outlined previously. For the examples that follow out hay was used as the supplemental feed when the pasture was used summer or fall and alfalfa hay when the pasture was used in spring. Out hay is comparable, in terms of TDN to late dry forage, although it is higher in protein content (table 2). Alfalfa hay is comparable to green forage in TDN, protein content, and phosphorus. If the reduced forage production on a site is less than the RDM standard, that site is ignored when calculating usable forage.

Example 1 (pages 9 to 12)

An escaped fire from a welding project burned 150 acres of ungrazed annual rangeland in June. This pasture was typically used in fall (the dry forage period) when available forage is somewhat similar in quality to oat hay. The cost of substituting oat hay for the lost forage was used to appraise the value of forage lost in this pasture.

The fire encompassed 8 acres of swale site; 34 acres of open, rolling site, 45 acres of rocky, brushy site; 32 acres of steep, rocky, brushy, north slope site; and 31 acres of steep, rocky, brushy, south slope site. By using the values from table 1 in the worksheets it was determined in Example 1 that 31 tons of usable forage were lost at the time of the fire, 22 tons were lost for the first growing season, and 13 tons for the second growing season following the fire. A total of 66 tons of usable forage were lost from this disturbance. With a value assigned of \$100 per ton for the purchase, delivery, and feeding of oat hay, the total value of forage lost is \$6,600.

Example 2 (pages 13 to 16)

In this scenario, a pasture similar to the Example 1 pasture is typically used in the adequate green forage period when the quality of forage is comparable to alfalfa hay. At the time of the fire this pasture had already been grazed to the proper RDM levels, so no usable forage was lost at that time. The impact of the fire will only be apparent in the following two growing seasons. The value of the forage lost can be evaluated by determining the cost of purchasing, transporting, and feeding a comparable amount of alfalfa hay. Calculations for this example are presented in Example 2.

The growing season following the fire forage production will be reduced about 40 percent resulting in a loss in total usable forage production of more than 44,000 pounds. In the second growing season, total forage production will be reduced by about 20 percent, creating a loss of just under 26,000 pounds of usable forage. For the two growing seasons about 35 tons (70,000 pounds) of usable forage will be lost. To compensate for this loss, 35 tons of alfalfa hay must be purchased, delivered, and fed at a cost of \$125 per ton, for a total of \$4,375.

Example 3 (pages 17 to 20)

In this third example the situation is similar to Examples 1 and 2, but this time the forage loss will be calculated using the USDA Soil Conservation Service ecological site descriptions (range site guides) (USDA Soil Conservation Service 1984). In this instance, swale, gentle slope, and open rolling slope are described by the Blue oak/annual grass/granitic site description, and the rocky brush slope and the steep rocky brushy slope by the Blue oak/annual grass/shallow granitic site description. Average forage production for these items is:

Blue oak/annual grass/granitic

2,000 lb/ac

Blue oak/annual grass/shallow granitic

1,300 lb/ac

Substituting these sites and production values on the worksheets produces the following results for the situation presented in Example 2. Calculations for this example are presented in Example 3.

Again, this pasture has been grazed to proper RDM levels at the time of the fire so no usable forage was lost at that time. Following the fire, the 40 percent reduction in forage production resulted in a decrease of 33,000 pounds of usable forage in the first growing season and a 20 percent reduction in forage production in the second growing season, creating a reduction of just over 22,000 pounds. Thus, purchase, delivery, and feeding of 27.5 tons (55,000 pounds) of supplemental alfalfa hay, at a cost of \$125 per ton, resulted in a cost of \$3,437.50.

References

- Bentley, J. R., and M. W. Talbot. 1951. Efficient use of annual plants on cattle range in California foothills. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture Circular 870. 52 pp.
- Clawson, W. J., N. K. McDougald, and D. A. Duncan. 1982. Guidelines for residue management on annual rangeland. Cooperative Extension, Division of Agricultural Sciences, University of California. Leaflet 21327. 3 pp.
- Cook, C. W., and J. Stubbendieck. 1986. Range Research: Basic problems and techniques. Society for Range Management. Denver, CO. 317 pp.
- Frost, W. E. 1988. Vegetation changes following a vegetation management program burn in the hardwood rangeland of California. Vegetation Management Program, California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection. 29 pp.
- George, M. R., M. Robbins, P. B. Sands, R. S. Knight, and K. Taggard. 1989. Using the pasture probe on annual range. Range Science Report No. 25. Dept. of Agronomy and Range Science, University of California, Davis. 7 pp.
- Haydock, K. P., and N. H. Shaw. 1975. The comparative yield method for estimating dry matter yield of pasture. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry. 15:663-670.
- Hervy, H. D. 1949. Reaction of California annual-plant community to fire. Journal of Range Management. 2:116-121.
- McDougald, N. K., and W. E. Frost. 1989. Effect of burning on seasonal forage composition and production on hardwood rangeland. Abstracts, 42nd Annual Meeting, Society for Range Management. No. 234.
- McDougald, N. K., and W. E. Frost. 1990. Seasonal forage production and composition following fire in managed and protected hardwood rangeland ecosystems. Abstracts 43rd Annual Meeting, Society for Range Management. No. 187.
- Smith, B., P. S. Leung, and G. Love. 1986. Intensive grazing management: forage, animals, men, profits. The Graziers Hui. Kamuela, HI. 350 pp.
- USDA Soil Conservation Service. 1984. Ecological site descriptions for California annual plant communities and associated perennial vegetation. USDA-SCS-CA Technical Guide Section II-E.
- Zavon, J. A. 1982. Grazing and fire effects on annual grassland composition and sheep diet selectivity. M.S. Thesis, University of California, Davis.

WORKSHEET

REPLACEMENT VALUE OF USABLE FORAGE LOST

Replacement value of usable forage depends on: site productivity, area impacted, season of use, harvest efficiency and cost of substitute feeds. The formula below is a quick method to appraise the replacement value of usable forage lost.

If no grazing had occurred on this area and it was intended for fall use all of the usable forage has been lost and must be replaced. Use equation (A).

If the area had been grazed, but not to the RDM standard, use (AA) to determine the amount of usable forage lost.

uccc	Till the the	amount of asab	o rorago					
Α.	Beginning	Inventory						
	<u>Site</u>	Forage Produced (lb/ac)	RDM (lb/ac)	Harvest Efficiency (Percent)	No. Acres		Usable Lost	
1.		- () XX	-	=		
2.		_ () XX		=		
3.		_ () XX		=		
4.		_ () XX	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	=		
5.) XX		=		
	•	_		Tota	1 Pounds			
		l Pounds Usable rage Lost	÷ 2000 = .	Total Tons Us Forage Los				
AA.	Partial U	se Prior to Di	sturbance					
	<u>Site</u>	Standing Crop 1b/ac	RDM <u>(1b/ac)</u>	Harvest Efficiency (Percent)	No. <u>Acres</u>		Usable Lost	Forage (lb)
1.	***************************************	- () XX		=		
2.		_ (=		
3.		_ () XX		=		
4.		_ () XX		=		

_____ (____ - ____) X ____ = ____

Total Pounds

Total Pounds Usable Forage Lost + 2000 = (AA)

Total Tons Usable Forage Lost

B. First Growing Season

<u>Site</u>	Forage Production (1b/ac)			RDM Ef	Harvesi ficiend Percent	cy No.	Fora	Usable ge Avai (1b)	lable
50-11	[(X)	÷.	J	Χ	x	_ = _		(1
	[(х)	-]	X	X			(2
	. [()]	Х)	٠.]	X	χ	=_		(3
	[(х)	٠,]	Х	x	_ = _		(4
	[()]	X)	٠.	1	Χ	X			(5
Typica	ite 1 (lb) 1 Usable Fo ite 2 (lb)	rage Produce	- d	Usable	(1b) Forago (1b)	e Avail '	Usable	(1b) Forage (1b)	Lost
	l Usable Fo ite 3 (1b)	rage Produce (A3)	- d	Usable	Forage	e Avail	- Usable	Forage (1b)	Lost
Typica S	l Usable Fo ite 4 (lb)	rage Produce (A4)	- d	Usable	Forage (1b)	e AvaiT	Usable	Forage (1b)	Lost
	l Usable Fo ite 5 (lb)	rage Produce (A5)	- d	Usable	Forage	e Avail	Usable	Forage (1b)	Lost
			τ	otal Pou	Fin	rst grow able for:			

Total Pounds Usable
Forage Lost First
Growing Season

+ 2000 = Total Tons Usable
Forage Lost First
Growing Season

(B)

C. Second Growing Seaso	С.	Second	Growing	Seasor
-------------------------	----	--------	---------	--------

<u>Site</u>	Produc (1b/a Table	tion c)	Re	l - % duction ercent)			Harve Effici (Perce	ency	No. Acres	F	Usab orage A (1b	vailal	וכ
	[(44	x	harves)	-] X	x_					_(
	[(x)	-] X	X_		_ = _			_(
	[(Lbar	x	1991 DI	-	4] X	x_		- _			_(
	[(x)	-] X	X_		. - _			_(
	[(Libai	x	in ora)	-	2] X	X_		- _			_(!
Typica	ıl Usabl	e For	age	Produce	d	Usable	Forage	Avai	= 1 Us	sable	Forage	Lost	
Typica	Site 1 I Usabl Site 2	e For	age	Produce	d	Usable	(1b) Forage	Avai	T Us	sable	Forage	Lost	
Typica	l Usabl Site 3	e For	age (A3)	Produce	d	Usable	Forage	Avai	T Us	sable		Lost	
Typica	l Usabl Site 4	e For (1b)	age (A4)	Produce	d	Usable	Forage (1b)	· Avai	T = Us	sable	Forage (1b)	Lost	

Second growing season usable forage lost

D. Substitution Value

The substitution value is based upon normal season of use, delivery feeding cost.

1. Dry Forage Period Season of Use

Substitution = (D1)
value per ton Purchase, delivery and feeding cost per ton of oat hay

2. Green Forage Period Season of Use

Substitution value per ton = (D2)

Purchase, delivery and feeding cost per ton of alfalfa hay

E. Total Cost

((A) or (AA) Beginning Tons Lost X (D) Substitution Value Per Ton

((B) X (D) Substitution Growing Season Value Per Ton

WORKSHEET

REPLACEMENT VALUE OF USABLE FORAGE LOST

Replacement value of usable forage depends on: site productivity, area impacted, season of use, harvest efficiency and cost of substitute feeds. The formula below is a quick method to appraise the replacement value of usable forage lost.

If no grazing had occurred on this area and it was intended for fall use all of the usable forage has been lost and must be replaced. Use equation (A).

If the area had been grazed, but not to the RDM standard, use (AA) to determine the amount of usable forage lost.

A. Beginning Inventory

		<u>Site</u>		Forage roduced (1b/ac)		RDM (1b/ac)		E	Harvest fficien Percent	су	No. Acres	_	Usable Forage Lost (1b)
<i>(S)</i>	1.	Swale Open	(_	4,400		600	_)	X _	50%	x_	8	. -	15,200
(OR)	2.	Rolling Rocky	(_	2,100	5 -	800	_)	X _	50%	X_	34	. -	22,100
(RB)	3.	Brushy	(_	1,400	u []	1,000	_)	X	50%	χ	45	=	9,000
(SRBN)	4.	Steep Rock Brushy N Steep Rock	ັ(_	1,200		1,000	_)	X	50%	X_	32	_	3,200
(SRBS)	5.	Brushy S	ຶ(_	1,800		1,000	_)	X	50%	X_	31	_ =	12,400
			61	,900		÷ 2000 =	:		31	otal	Pounds (A)		61,900

AA. Partial Use Prior to Disturbance

Total Pounds Usable

Forage Lost

	Site St	anding Crop 1b/ac	RDM (1b/ac)	Harvest Efficiency (Percent)	No. Acres	Usable Lost	Forage (1b)
1.	(-)	X	Χ	=	
2.	()	X	X		
3.	(9 0 8 2 U 2 A 0 1 2 A 1 1 1 2 2 0 1 1)	Χ	X	16701 06=0 <u>1</u>	
4.	(- 1006 5 9 Z 2 E I)	Χ	X	=	
5.	(-)	Χ	X	=	

Total Tons Usable

Forage Lost

Total Pounds

÷ 2000 = Total Pounds Usable
Forage Lost

† Total Tons Usable
Forage Lost

B. First Growing Season

(5)

12,400

	<u>Site</u>	Pro	orage duction b/ac)	Re	ducti	on		RDM b/ac)	Eff	arvest icienc ercent	y	No. cres	For	Usable age Avai (1b)	lable
1.	$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}^{\mathcal{S}}$	[(_	4,400	X _	60%	_)	_	600	_]	X 50%	X_	8		8,160	(1)
2.	OR	[(_	2,100	X _	60%	<u>.</u>)	- 0.	800	_]	X 50%	X_	34	n att	7,820	(2)
3.	RB	[(_	1,400	x _	60%	_)	-	1,000	_]	X_50%	x_	45	_ = _	Ø	(3)
4.	SRBN	[(_	1,200	Χ_	60%	_)	_	1,000]	X 50%	x_	32	6 <u>-</u>	Ø	(4)
5.	SRBS	[(_	1,800	X _	60%)	-	1,000]	X 50%	⊙	31	րիայու	1,240	(5)
(1)	Typica S	1 Us	15,200 able Fo 1 (1b)	rage (Al)	Prod	uced	-	Usab	е	, <i>160</i> Forage (1b)	Ava	-	Usab1	7,040 e Forage (lb)	Lost
(2)	Typica S	1 Us	22,100 able Fo 2 (lb)	rage (A2)	Produ	ıced	-	Usab	е	. <i>820</i> Forage (1b)	Ava	 	1. Us abl	4,280 e Forage (1b)	Lost
(3)	Typica S	1 Us	9, <i>000</i> able Fo 3 (1b)	rage (A3)	Produ	ıced	-	Usabl		Ø Forage (1b)	Ava	<u>=</u>		9,000 e Forage (1b)	Lost
(4)	Typica S	1 Us	3,200 able Fo 4 (1b)	rage (A4)	Produ	ıced	•	Usabl	е	Ø Forage (1b)	Ava	= il	Usabl	3 <u>,200</u> e Forage (1b)	Lost

Typical Usable Forage Produced Usable Forage Avail Usable Forage Lost (1b) (1b) (1b)

Total Pounds 44,680
First growing season usable forage lost

1,240

description of the image and image are shown as a second content of the image and image are shown as a second content of the image and image are shown as a second content of the image and image are shown as a second content of the image and image are shown as a second content of the image and image are shown as a second content of the image and image are shown as a second content of the image and image are shown as a second content of the image are

C. Second Growing Season

	Forage Production (lb/ac) Site Table I	l - % Reduction (Percent)	RDM Efficier (lb/ac) (Percent	ncy No. F	Usable Forage Availal (lb)	ble
1.	S_[(<u>4,400</u>	X <u>80%</u>)	- <u>600</u>] X 50%	X 8 =	11,680	_(1)
2.	OR [(2,100	X <u>80%</u>)	- <u>800</u>] X 50%	X 34 =	14,960	_(2)
3.	RB_ [(1,400	X <u>80%</u>) -	- 1,000] X 50%	X 45 =	2,700	_(3)
4.	SRBN [(_ 1,200	X <u>80%</u>) -	- 1,000] X 50%	X 32 =	Ø	_(4)
5.	SRBS [(_ 1,800	X <u>80%</u>) -	- <u>1,000</u>] X <u>50%</u>	X 31 =	6,820	(5)
(1)	15,200 Typical Usable Fo Site 1 (1b)	rage Produced (Al)	- 11.680	2 - 3	3,520 Forage Lost (1b)	
(2)	22,100 Typical Usable Fo Site 2 (1b)	rage Produced (A2)	- <u>14,960</u> Usable Forage A (lb)	= Usable	7,140 Forage Lost (1b)	
(3)	Typical Usable Fo Site 3 (1b)	rage Produced (A3)	Usable Forage A	= Ivail Usable	6,300 Forage Lost (1b)	
(4)	Typical Usable Fo Site 4 (1b)	rage Produced (A4)	Usable Forage A	= Vail Usable	3,200 Forage Lost (1b)	
(5)	Typical Usable Fo Site 5 (1b)	rage Produced (A5)	Usable Forage A	vail Usable	5,580 Forage Lost (1b)	
			Total Pounds Seco usab	25,740 nd growing se le forage los	ason t	

 D. Substitution Value

Example 1

The substitution value is based upon normal season of use, delivery feeding cost.

1. Dry Forage Period Season of Use

Substitution =
$$\frac{$100.00}{\text{Purchase, delivery and feeding}}$$
 (D1)

2. Green Forage Period Season of Use

E. Total Cost

WORKSHEET

REPLACEMENT VALUE OF USABLE FORAGE LOST

Replacement value of usable forage depends on: site productivity, area impacted, season of use, harvest efficiency and cost of substitute feeds. The formula below is a quick method to appraise the replacement value of usable forage lost.

If no grazing had occurred on this area and it was intended for fall use all of the usable forage has been lost and must be replaced. Use equation (A).

If the area had been grazed, but not to the RDM standard, use (AA) to determine the amount of usable forage lost.

A. Beginning Inventory

		Site	Forage Produced (1b/ac)		RDM (1b/ac)		E	Harve: fficio Percei	ency	No. Acres		Usable Forage Lost (1b)
<i>(S)</i>	1.	Swale Open	(4,400	-	600	_)	x _	50%	X	8	-	15,200
(OR)	2.	Rolling Rocky	(_2,100	-	800	_)	x _	50%	x_	34	=	22,100
(RB)	3.	<u>Brushy</u> Steep Rocky	(_1,400	-	1,000	_)	X .	50%	x_	45	-	9,000
(SRBN)	4.	Brushy N Steep Rocky	(_1,200	-	1,000	_)	X _	50%	X_	32	=	3,200
(SRBS)	5.	Brushy S	(1,800	-	1,000	_)	X _	50%	x_	31	=	12,400
									Total	Pounds		61,900
			Pounds Usa ge Lost	ble	÷ 2000 =	-	Tota		s Usal Lost	ole (A)		

AA. Partial Use Prior to Disturbance

	<u>Site</u>	Sta	anding (1b/ac	RDM (1b/ac)			Harv ffici (Perc	ency	No. <u>Acres</u>		Usable Forage Lost (1b)
1.	S	(_	600	 600	_)	x _	50%	x_	8	=	Ø
2.	OR		800	 800	_)	X_	50%	x_	34	-	Ø
3.	RB	Part (_	1,000	 1,000	_)	X	50%	x_	45	-	
4.	SRBN	(_	1,000	 1,000	_)	X	50%	x_	32	=	<u>Ø</u>
5.	SRBS	(_	1,000	 1,000	_)	X	50%	x_	31	=	Ø
								Total	Dounds		Ø

 $\frac{\emptyset}{\text{Total Pounds Usable}}$ $\frac{\div}{\text{Forage Lost}}$ $\frac{\div}{\text{Total Tons Usable}}$ Forage Lost

B. First Growing Season

	Forage 1 - % Production Reduction Site (1b/ac) (Percent) (Harvest RDM Efficiency No. lb/ac) (Percent) Acres	Forage Available
1.	S [(<u>4,400</u> X <u>60%</u>) -	<u>600</u>] X <u>50%</u> X <u>8</u>	=8,160(1)
2.	OR [(2,100 X 60%) -	<u>800</u>] X <u>50%</u> X <u>34</u>	= 7,820 (2)
3.	<u>RB</u> [(<u>1,400</u> X <u>60%</u>) -	<u>1,000</u>] X <u>50%</u> X <u>45</u>	=(3)
4.	<u>SRBN</u> [(1,200 X 60%) -	<u>1,000</u>] X <u>50%</u> X <u>32</u>	=(4)
5.	<u>SRBS</u> [(1,800 X 60%) -	<u>1,000</u>] X <u>50%</u> X <u>31</u>	= <u>1,240</u> (5)
(1)	Typical Usable Forage Produced Site 1 (1b) (A1)	- $\frac{8.160}{\text{Usable Forage Avail}} = \frac{1}{100}$	sable Forage Lost (1b)
(2)	Typical Usable Forage Produced Site 2 (1b) (A2)	- $\frac{7,820}{\text{Usable Forage Avail}} = \overline{\text{U}}$	sable Forage Lost (1b)
(3)	Typical Usable Forage Produced Site 3 (1b) (A3)	Usable Forage Avail (lb)	sable Forage Lost (1b)
(4)	Typical Usable Forage Produced Site 4 (1b) (A4)	- Usable Forage Avail (lb)	3,200 Isable Forage Lost (1b)
(5)	Typical Usable Forage Produced Site 5 (lb) (A5)	Usable Forage Avail (lb)	11,160 Usable Forage Lost (1b)
		Total Dounds 44 000	

Total Pounds $\frac{44,680}{\text{First growing season}}$ usable forage lost

Total Pounds Usable
Forage Lost First
Growing Season

÷ 2000 = 22

Total Tons Usable
Forage Lost First
Growing Season

(B)

C. Second Growing Season

		Forage Production (1b/ac)	Reduction		Harvest Efficiency			ailable
	<u>Site</u>	<u>Table I</u>	<u>(Percent)</u>	<u>(lb/ac)</u>	(Percent)	Acres	(1b)	
1.	S	[(_4,400	X <u>80%</u>)	600	X 50% X	8=	11,680	(1)
2.	OR	[(_2,100	X <u>80%</u>)	800	X <u>50%</u> X	34	14,960	(2)
3.	RB	[(_1,400_	X <u>80%</u>)	1.000	X <u>50%</u> X	45	2,700	(3)
4.	SRBN	[(_1,200	X <u>80%</u>)	1,000	X <u>50%</u> X	32		(4)
5.	SRBS	[(_1,800_	X <u>80%</u>)	<u> 1,000</u>	X 50% X	31	6,820	(5)
(1)	Typica	15,200	age Produced	- Ilsahla	11,680 Forage Ava:	=	3,520	Lost
		Site 1 (1b)		OSabie	(1b)	ii usa	(1b)	LUST
(2)		20. 100			14.000	e Acre	7 140	
(2)		1 Usable For Site 2 (1b)	age Produced	Usable	Forage Ava (1b)	il Usa	ble Forage (1b)	Lost
		31te 2 (10)	(AZ)		(10)		(10)	
(3)		9,000	rage Produced	-	2,700	= 1122	6.300	TA
		Site 3 (1b)		USable	(1b)	ii usa	(lb)	LOST
(4)	Typica	3,200 1 Usable For	rage Produced	- Usable	Forage Ava	il Usa	3,200 ble Forage	Lost
		Site 4 (1b)	(Ā4)		(1b)		(1b)	
(5)		12.400		_	6.820		5.580	
, ,		l Usable For Site 5 (lb)	rage Produced (A5)	Usable	Forage Ava	il Usa	ble Forage (1b)	Lost
		(12)	()		Luryest		(/	
				Total Po		25,740 growing	202500	
						forage		

D. Substitution Value

The substitution value is based upon normal season of use, deliver feeding cost.

1. Dry Forage Period Season of Use

Substitution = (D1)
value per ton Purchase, delivery and feeding cost per ton of oat hay

2. Green Forage Period Season of Use

Substitution value per ton = $\frac{$125.00}{Purchase, delivery and feeding cost per ton of alfalfa hay}$ (D2)

E. Total Cost

((A) or (AA) $\underline{\emptyset}$ X (D) $\underline{\$125.00}$) + Beginning Tons Lost Value Per Ton

((B) $\frac{22}{\text{Tons Lost First}}$ X (D) $\frac{\$125.00}{\text{Substitution}}$) + Growing Season Value Per Ton

((C) $\frac{13}{\text{Tons Lost Second}}$ X (D) $\frac{\$125.00}{\text{Substitution}}$) = (E) $\frac{\$4,375.00}{\text{Total Replacement}}$ Value Per Ton Value of Usable Forage Lost

WORKSHEET

REPLACEMENT VALUE OF USABLE FORAGE LOST

Replacement value of usable forage depends on: site productivity, area impacted, season of use, harvest efficiency and cost of substitute feeds. The formula below is a quick method to appraise the replacement value of usable forage lost.

If no grazing had occurred on this area and it was intended for fall use all of the usable forage has been lost and must be replaced. Use equation (A).

If the area had been grazed, but not to the RDM standard, use (AA) to determine the amount of usable forage lost.

	Α.	Beginning	Inventory						
		Site	Forage Produced (1b/ac)	RDM (1b/ac)		Harvest Efficiency (Percent)	No. Acres		Usable Forage Lost (1b)
BOAGG)	1.	Granite	-Annual Grass (2 <u>,000</u>	800	_) X_	50%	45	-	25,200
BOAGSG)	2.		-Annual Grass (_1,300	1,000	_) X_	50%	(=	16,200
	3.	Granite	_ (_) X)	1 51052	=	10704
	4.		_ (_) X		(117.7	=	
	5.		-) X		() afdaat	_	
						Tota	al Pounds		
		Tota Fo							
	AA. Partial Use Prior to Disturbance								
		<u>Site</u>	Standing Crop lb/ac	RDM (1b/ac)	i	Harvest Efficiency (Percent)	No. Acres		Usable Forage Lost (lb)
	1.	BOAGG	_ (800	800	_) X_	50%	(42	=	Ø
	2.	BOAGSG	(_1,000	1,000	_) X_	50%	(108	=	Ø
	3.		(2001		_) X			-	
	4.		1) X)		=	

Total Pounds Ø

(-) X X

5.

 $\frac{\emptyset}{\text{Total Pounds Usable}} \div 2000 = \frac{\emptyset}{\text{Total Tons Usable}}$ Forage Lost
Forage Lost

B. First Growing Season

Colgan

D.	FIRST Growing Sea.	3011		
	Forage Production Site (lb/ac)		Harvest RDM Efficiency No. lb/ac) (Percent) Acres	Usable Forage Available (1b)
1.	BOAGG [(2,000	X <u>60%</u>) -	<u>800</u>] X <u>50%</u> X <u>42</u>	= 8,400 (1)
2.	BOAGSG[(1,300	X <u>60%</u>) -	1,000] X 50% X 108	_ =(2)
3.	[(_ X) -] XX	_ =(3)
4.				
5.	[(_ X) -		_ =(5)
			epmini Noepublini	
(1)	25,200 Typical Usable Fo Site 1 (1b)	orage Produced (A1)	- 8,400 = Usable Forage Avail (1b)	Usable Forage Lost (1b)
(2)	16,200 Typical Usable Fo Site 2 (lb)	orage Produced (A2)	- Usable Forage Avail (1b)	Usable Forage Lost (1b)
(3)	Typical Usable F Site 3 (1b)	orage Produced (A3)	Usable Forage Avail (1b)	Usable Forage Lost (1b)
(4)	Site 4 (1b)	(A4)	Usable Forage Avail (1b)	(15)
(5)	Typical Usable F Site 5 (1b)	Forage Produced (A5)	Usable Forage Avail (1b)	Usable Forage Lost (1b)
	614/628 24 2001 - +136.		Total Pounds First growing usable for a	ng season

Total Pounds Usable
Forage Lost First
Growing Season

2000 = 16.5

Total Tons Usable
Forage Lost First
Growing Season

Growing Season

C. Second Growing Season

	Site	Foraç Produc (1b/a Table	tion (c)		l - % eduction Percent)		RDM 1b/ac)	Harve Effici (Perce	ency		. F	Usabl orage Av (1b)	ailal	ole
1.	BOAGG	[(2	,000	x _	80%_)		800] χ <u>50</u>	<u>%X</u>	42	164 59	16,800		(1)
2.	BOAGS	G[(<u> </u>	, 300	X _	80%)	- 1	,000] X 50	%X	108		2,160		_(2)
3.		[(* 1	Χ_)	-] X	X		00/ 10	eq suis:	,ns. or	_(3)
4.		[(<u> </u>	Χ_)] X	X		_ =			(4)
5.		[(χ)	-	961] X	Х	18	d 850.) 1 nes-1		(5)
(1)	Typica	25,200 1 Usab Site 1	e For	age (A1	Produced)	- Ī	Usable	16,80 Forage (1b)	0 e Ava	= il		3,400 Forage (1b)	Lost	
(2)	Typica	16,200 1 Usab Site 2	e For	age (A2	Produced)	Ī	Usable	2,16 Forage (1b)	e Ava	= il	Us able	1,040 Forage (1b)	Lost	
(3)	Typica	l Usab Site 3	e For (1b)	age (A3	Produced)	- I	Usable	Forage (1b)	e Ava	i 1 =	Usable	Forage (1b)	Lost	
(4)	Typica	l Usabi Site 4	le For (1b)	age (A4	Produced)	ī	Usable	Forage (1b)	e Ava	= il	Usable	Forage (1b)	Lost	
(5)	Typica	l Usab Site 5	le For (1b)	age (A5	Produced)	- I	Usable	Forago	e Ava	il =	Usable	Forage (1b)	Lost	
						T	otal Po	S	econd	grov	ving se age los			

 D. Substitution Value

The substitution value is based upon normal season of use, delivery feeding cost.

1. Dry Forage Period Season of Use

Substitution value per ton Purchase, delivery and feeding cost per ton of oat hay

2. Green Forage Period Season of Use

Substitution value per ton = $\frac{$125.00}{\text{Purchase, delivery and feeding cost per ton of alfalfa hay}}$

E. Total Cost

((A) or (AA) 0 Beginning Tons Lost X (D) Substitution Value Per Ton

((B) $\frac{16.5}{\text{Tons Lost First}}$ X (D) $\frac{\$125.00}{\text{Substitution}}$) + Growing Season Value Per Ton

((C) $\frac{11}{\text{Tons Lost Second}}$ X (D) $\frac{\$125.00}{\text{Substitution}}$) = (E) $\frac{\$3,437.50}{\text{Total Replacement}}$ Value Per Ton Value of Usable Forage Lost

OTHER PUBLICATIONS OF INTEREST TO RANGELAND MANAGERS

	ie of Leases for Annual R	•
21456	5 pages	\$1.00
Developing Livestock	Leases for Annual Grassl	ands
21424	8 pages	\$1.00
Evaluating Investmen	its in Natural Resource M	anagement
21459	28 pages	\$2.00
Forest Grazing Mana	gement in California	
21388	4 pages	\$1.00
Harvesting Firewood on Oak Rangelands	for Sustained Yield	• •
21487	32 pages	\$3.50
Managing Livestock	Grazing on Meadows of C	California's
Sierra Nevada: A Mai	nager-User Guide	
21421	12 pages	\$2.25
Monitoring Californi	a's Annual Rangeland Ve	getation
21486	28 pages	\$3.00
Preliminary Guidelin	es for Managing	
California's Hardwoo	od Rangelands	
21413	96 pages	\$5.00
Three Poisonous Plan	nts Common in Pastures a	and Hay:
Fiddleneck, Common	Groundsel and Yellow S	tarthistle
21483	10 pages	\$2.50

Available from ANR PUBLICATIONS 6701 SAN PABLO AVENUE OAKLAND, CA 94608-1239

Ask for our free catalog.