Vineyard Design Spacing and Trellis Selection

10 July, 2019 Napa

Johann Martinez, PhD

(Johann Martínez-Lüscher)

Post Doctoral Fellow

UC Davis Viticulture and Enology



Spacing & Trellis Decision Goals

SUSTAINABILITY

- •High quality
- High productivity per acre
- •Vineyard that is efficient to farm (less inputs and labour)



Trellis/Spacing should to match vigor

Factors Influencing Vigor

- Soil depth, texture, water-holding capacity, fertility
- Climate
- <u>Rootstock</u>
- Variety
- Spacing
- Farming practices <u>irrigation</u>, <u>fertilization (N)</u>, site preparation, cover crops



Rootstock selection is the most important decision affecting vigour

www.grapevinerootstock.com

- Climate? (cool, warm, hot) (irrigated, low availability, rain fed)
- Desired vigor?
- Salinity?
- Drainage?
- Soil pH?
- Nematode resistance?

Pest resistance>vigor>soil>climate



SPACING





Vineyard spacing has 2 components:

Row Spacing

Vine Spacing



Row Spacing:

- Based on farming equipment
- Operational efficiency
- Water availability
- Light Interception efficiency
- Cost of the land (ultra premium)

Vine Spacing:

Based on anticipated vine vigor

Row Spacing

•What equipment will be going down the row?

•How wide is it?



9 Foot row width

5-foot row wicth

1 111

in n a H

4 foot row

4 row sprayer







11 passes per tractor operation

17 passes per tractor operation

60% more time for tractor work: mowing, cultivation, spraying, dusting

40-60% more time for hand vine care: pruning, suckering, leaf removal, thinning...

Effect of vine spacing on labor

TABLE 5

Vine-spacing effect on labour input for canopy management, harvesting and pruning practices (man hours per hectare).

Spacing	Suckering	Shoot positioning	Topping and *shoot positioning	Harvesting	Pruning	Total
3 x 3	39,4 b	25,8 c	7,7 d	109,2 c	49,5 d	231,6
3 x1,5	40,4 b	27,1 c	9,3 d	122,7 bc	54,4 cd	253,9
2 x 2	48,6 b	25,3 c	10,2 d	147,1 b	65,2 c	296,4
2 x 1	58,0 b	34,6 bc	15,4 c	144,4 bc	67,5 c	319,9
1 x 1	106,4 a	56,4 b	22,8 b	206,1 a	104,2 b	495,9
1 x0,5	128,2 a	91,5 a	31,1 a	239,5 a	122,6 a	612,9

Values in columns followed by the same letter do not differ significantly ($p \le 0.05$).

*The second shoot positioning was done along with topping just after pea berry size.

Spacing (m)	Yield/ vine (kg)	Yield (t/ha)
3 x 3	10,34 a	11,49 c
3 x 1,5	5,76 b	12,81bc
2 x 2	5,55 b	13,88 b
2 x 1	2,78 c	13,92 b
1 x 1	1,91 d	19,08 a
1 x 0,5	0,88 e	17,60 a

Hunter, 1998



Planting Density # vines/acre = 43,560(sq ft/acre)/row spacing (ft) X vine spacing (ft)			
Spacing (ft)	Vines per acre		
8 x 12	454		
6 x 12	605		
4 x 12	908		
8 x 8	681		
6 x 8	908		
4 x 8	1361 UCDAVIS		

In-Row Vine Spacing

		In-Row Vine Spacing]
Parameter	1 m	2 m	<u>3 m</u>
Crop yield (mt/ha)	16.5	14.9	13.3
# Shoots/m row	24.7	21.2	19.3
# Clusters/ha (x103)	114.2	110.2	94.6
# Shoots/vine	24.7	42.4	58.1
# Clusters/shoot	1.74	1.76	1.62
# Clusters/vine	42	74	95
# Berries/cluster	115	121	126
Berry wt (g)	1.31	1.3	1.28
Cluster wt (g)	141	149	150



Based on 6-foot in-row spacing



Light Interception efficiency



12 foot

6 foot





In-Row Vine Spacing

(In a VSP) close enough together to produce a continuous fruit zone without gaps

True, if water is available and land is limited







Implications of vine spacing for water management





ROW ORIENTATION





Row Direction Considerations

- •Row length: long vs. short
- •<u>Sunburn</u>
- Hillside slope
- Soil variability
- Prevailing wind
- Sunlight interception
- Ripening uniformity



EFFECT OF OVEREXPOSURE

Over exposure: chronic

"Heat shock": acute



Can happen with T < 80° F, first signs 2 weeks post veraison and it gets worse



Need for T > 110° F and little exposure





Row Direction	Balance of light exposure (ratio)	Sunburn risk
E-W	Maximum uneven (4:1)	High (south side)
N-S	Even (1:1)	Very high (west side)
NE-SW	Somewhat uneven (2:1)	Moderate (NW)
NW-SE	Somewhat uneven (2:1)	Extremely high (SW side)

MITIGATION OF BERRY TEMPERATURE: ROW ORIENTATION (OAKVILLE-NAPA, CA)





DISTRIBUTION OF SOLAR RADIATION BETWEEN THE TWO SIDES OF THE FRUIT ZONE





The higher ambient temperature, the easier to reach critical temperature



UCDAVIS

TRELLIS





Goals of Training/Trellis System

- 1. Support the mechanical load of the grapevine
- 2. Facilitate the cultural operations
- **3.** Maximize canopy exposure
- 4. Improve the canopy microclimate
- 5. Promote balance between the vegetative growth and crop to optimize quality and quantity



Vertical shoot positioned is everywhere, why?



Pros: Makes premium farming easy Con: Limited yield, sensitive to overexposure









Non-Trellised







Single Curtain Systems

SINGLE CURTAIN SYSTEMS

UCDAVIS

Single wire high cordon

VSP Modifications

Vertical canopy division or separation

Vertically Divided

Scott – Henry Smart - Henry

Vertically Separated

Smart - Dyson

SINGLE CURTAIN SYSTEM WITH VERTICALLY DIVIDED FOLIAGE

Smart-Dyson

VERTICALLY DIVIDED DOUBLE CURTAIN

Smart-Henry

VERTICALLY DIVIDED DOUBLE CURTAIN

Horizontally Divided Double Curtain Systems

Shoot Orientation

Horizontal/ Downward

Vertical

Result

Moderate to low growth rate

High growth rate

Other Design Considerations

- End assemblies
- Metal or wood trellis materials
- Staging areas
- •Turn-around space (20 to 30 ft)
- Ability to mechanize harvest and pruning

Vineyard Design Summary

- Row orientation: 22° is ideal (NNE-SSW). Aim for long rows. Avoid NW-SE (135°)
- Row spacing: Machinery>Land cost vs water economy>labor
- In-Row spacing: 4-6ft . Bigger may reduce quality, vigor and yields. Smaller may be too expensive, high vigor and water use
- Trellis...go simple? VSP or Head trained (Gobelet)
- Some conflicts: double cordon with 4 ft rows will not work well for many reasons

Questions ?

