


Evaluation of Yield Performance of Grafted Processing Tomato

Northern San Joaquin Valley Processing Tomato Meeting

Brenna Aegerter, Zheng Wang, and Gene Miyao
Farm Advisors, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Yolo Counties
January 29, 2020

USDA Specialty Crop Research Initiative

- SCRI Team
- other academic teams that have worked or now work on vegetable grafting



Trials with Grafted Processing Tomato

- **Different rootstock and scion**
- **Pre-commercial, non-disclosed rootstocks**
- **Yield performance**
- **Economically viable**

Vegetable Grafting

requires 2 varieties; may use more

Scion: 'recipient'

- supplies shoot
- fruit marketable
but roots flawed

Rootstock: 'donor'

- supplies roots
- fruit not
marketable but
roots better

scion

**vegetable
grafting**



rootstock

**combine
and
secure**



**several
ways
possible**



Grafted plants can be more:

- (1) resistant to biotic, abiotic stresses,
- (2) vigorous in root system,
- (3) resource-efficient (e.g., water and fertilizer),
- (4) productive (yield potential), but
- (5) **expensive (seeds, grafting, field management).**

than nongrafted counterparts.

2019 Field Trial, Manteca

- Scion: N 6428 and HM 3887.
- Rootstocks: Maxifort, Estamino, FusaPro, Shield, V90109, and two pre-commercial, non-disclosed rootstocks, plus non-grafted controls.
- Plot length: single bed by 75 feet, replicated four times.
- Transplants: produced by California MasterPlant.
- Trial setup: transplanted on May 28, harvested on Oct. 3 (128 days).
- In-row spacing: 12 - 13” regular vs. 24 - 26” wide spacing.
- Data collection: machine harvested, yield and PTAB quality.

SCION: N 6428

<u>Rootstock</u>	Yield (tons per acre)		Soluble solids (°Brix)		PTAB color	
Estamino	90.6	a	4.05	g	21.0	a
Maxifort	90.5	a	4.10	fg	21.0	a
V90109	89.0	ab	4.33	defg	20.8	ab
FusaPro	88.7	ab	4.18	efg	20.8	ab
FusaPro - wide spacing	80.8	abc	4.43	cdefg	20.3	bc
Rootstock #2 * - wide spacing	78.2	abcd	4.55	bcde	20.4	abc
Shield	74.6	cd	4.63	bcd	20.4	abc
Non-grafted control	69.9	cde	4.80	bc	20.5	ab
Rootstock #1 *	69.0	cde	4.78	bc	20.3	bc
Non-grafted control - wide spacing	59.3	e	4.85	b	20.3	Bc

SCION: HM 3887

<u>Rootstock</u>	Yield (tons per acre)		Soluble solids (°Brix)		PTAB color	
Maxifort	89.2	ab	4.48	bcdef	20.1	bcd
Estamino	79.6	abcd	4.45	bcdefg	20.0	bcd
FusaPro	77.8	bcd	4.63	bcd	19.8	cd
Rootstock #1 *	68.6	cde	5.35	a	19.5	d
Non-grafted control	66.9	de	4.83	bc	20.0	bcd

SCION: N 6428

<u>Grafting - Spacing</u>	Yield (tons per acre)	Soluble solids (°Brix)	PTAB color
Grafting - regular spacing	83.7 a	4.35 b	20.7 a
Grafting - wide spacing	79.5 ab	4.49 ab	20.4 a
Non-grafted - regular spacing	69.9 bc	4.80 a	20.5 a
Non-grafted - wide spacing	59.3 c	4.85 a	20.3 a

Combine: N 6428 and HM 3887

<u>Grafting vs. Non-grafting</u>	
Grafted plots	81.4 a
Non-grafted plots	65.4 b
	P < 0.0001

Summary of average yields from five trials, 2016 to 2019

Year	2016		2017		2018		2018		2019	
Location	Woodland		Madison		Dixon		Walnut Grove		Manteca	
Grafted plot yield (tons/acre)	60.4	10%	49.9	19%	83.5	8%	67.5	27%	81.4	24%
Non-grafted plot yield (tons/acre)	55.2		41.9		77.1		53.0		65.4	
P value	0.001		< 0.0001		< 0.0001		< 0.0001		< 0.0001	
Max increase	15%: DRI 0319 on DR 0138TX		32%: HM 3887 on Maxifort		20%: HM 3887 on FusaPro		55%: HM 3887 on Maxifort		38%: N 6428 on Estamino	

POTENTIAL ADVANTAGES	CHALLENGES
	<p>High cost of establishment (rootstock seed, grafted plants)</p>
	<p>Greenhouse logistics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rootstock seed germination and uniformity challenges • Doubling greenhouse space for first month, plus special healing facility
<p>Higher yield</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potentially lower soluble solids? • Potentially slightly higher input costs? • Delayed harvest
<p>Improved resistance to soil-borne diseases</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planting with union belowground may compromise disease resistance • Few/no rootstocks with F3, Vert race 2
<p>Abiotic stress tolerance</p>	<p>Yield advantage may be greater at some sites than others.</p>
<p>High vigor, better fruit cover, less sunburn</p>	<p>Perhaps greater need to manage vines with training or trimming?</p>

Resources for help: rootstock table and grafting manual



Home » Tomato Rootstock Table

Tomato Rootstock Table

1 - 51 of 51 << < > >>

Rootstock Variety	Product URL	Developer	Bacterial Wilt	Corky Root Rot	Fusarium Wilt Race 1	Fusarium Wilt Race 2	Fusarium Wilt Race 3	Fusarium Crown and Root Rot	Southern Blight	Vertillium Wilt	Root-knot Nematode	Tor Mo Vir
Aegis F1	Click Here	Takii	IR	IR	HR	HR		HR		HR	HR	R
Aibou	Click Here	Asahi Industries	R		R	R		R		R	R	R
Akaoni	Click Here	Asahi Industries										R
Anchor-T F1	Click Here	Takii	IR		HR	HR				HR	HR	R
Aooni	Click Here	Asahi Industries			R	R				R	R	R




Grafting Manual: How to Produce Grafted Vegetable Plants

www.vegetablegrafting.org

University of California
Agriculture and Natural Resources

Thank you!



USDA SCRI Grant # 2016-51181-25404

Grower cooperators

Blake Harlan, Harlan Family Farm, Woodland

Andrew Petrini, Fonseca and Fonseca, Walnut Grove

Chope Gill, Reveille Farms, Dixon

Jerry Schenone, Lagorio Farming, Manteca

Industry collaborators

The Morning Star Company / California Masterplant

Growers Transplanting Inc.

Ag Seeds

Timothy Stewart and Lekos (TS&L)

Seminis Vegetable Seeds/Bayer

Vilmorin/H.M.Clause

Rijk Zwaan

Enza Zaden

