March 8, 2022

Dear Chairwoman Stabenow, Ranking Member Boozman, and Committee Members:

We, the undersigned organizations and individuals, respectfully request inclusion of the following provisions to improve children’s access to safe and appealing drinking water\(^1\) in the forthcoming child nutrition reauthorization.

In the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010, Congress first called for the provision of drinking water in schools participating in the National School Lunch Program and childcare facilities participating in the Child and Adult Care Food Program. Since then, research has increased understanding of drinking water as a critical component of health.

Congress and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have recently taken important steps (see \(^2,^3\)) to address the risk to children’s health and development from lead that can be present in drinking water.

More should be done to promote the consumption of water as a healthy beverage choice. In its recent report to Congress on diabetes, the National Clinical Care Commission recommended

\[
\text{... that all relevant federal agencies promote the consumption of water and reduce the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages in the U.S. population, and that they employ all the necessary tools to achieve these goals.}\]^{4}

This is particularly important in light of the fact that, during COVID-19, child obesity has increased dramatically.\(^5\) A retrospective study of 430,000 children showed a nearly double rate of Body Mass Index (BMI) increase during 6 pandemic months in 2020 as compared to 6 pre-pandemic months in 2019.\(^6\)
We recommend that the following priority items, which will complement and help to implement what Congress and the EPA already have done, be included in child nutrition reauthorization in order to promote the consumption of water:

**In School Nutrition Programs (NSLP, SBP, SFSP, CACFP Afterschool Snack/Supper)**

- All children should have ready access to more than sips of water during the school day. Ensure that all public K-12 schools have at least one water bottle filling station in a high-traffic area accessible throughout the day, with filtration if needed, and provide funding for water bottle filling station equipment and installation costs.
- Request that USDA report to Congress on how they have added specific checkpoints to on-site Administrative Review to ensure that there is effective oversight of drinking water safety and access.

**In Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP)**

- All water used for drinking, formula preparation and cooking in CACFP childcare programs should be safe. In their Lead and Copper Rule Improvements (LCRI), EPA should extend Lead and Copper Rule Revision tap water lead testing requirements from 2 taps per facility to all taps used for drinking and cooking water.
- Request that USDA report to Congress on how they have added specific checkpoints to CACFP monitoring site visit instruction to ensure that there is effective oversight of drinking water safety as well as of CACFP’s already excellent requirements for drinking water access.

**In Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC)**

- WIC should provide a temporary disaster additional benefit for drinking water during emergencies.
- We recommend that USDA, in collaboration with other appropriate stakeholders, develop and disseminate a WIC nutrition education component on healthy hydration habits and drinking water safety.

Our school legislative recommendation – to level the playing field by ensuring that every public school has at least one water bottle filling station – is also included in a letter to you signed by National Alliance for Nutrition and Activity (NANA) members. The recommendation to improve USDA’s Administrative Review and CACFP monitoring instruction has already been provided to USDA FNS. The Appendix to this letter provides background and rationale for our recommendations.
Please support healthy hydration for all children in our nation by maximizing the ability of schools, childcare and WIC to ensure safe and appealing drinking water through the federal child nutrition programs.

Thank you for your consideration of these recommendations.

The undersigned organizations and individuals,
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Affiliate Faculty  
Drexel University

Jennifer McNeil, RDN, SNS  
Founder, LunchAssist

Kristine Madsen, MD, MPH  
Professor, Joint Medical Program & Public Health Nutrition  
School of Public Health  
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Appendix: Background and Rationale

Importance of access to drinking water

As a matter of public health, consumption of plain water is a healthy substitute for sugary drinks that contribute to children’s tooth decay and obesity and increase their risk of developing type 2 diabetes and other metabolic diseases, obesity, and cardiovascular diseases.\textsuperscript{10,11,12,13,14} Even in the earliest years, disparities arise in these chronic conditions.\textsuperscript{15} Sugary drinks (drinks with added sugar such as sodas, sports and energy drinks, and juice drinks) are a key target because they are the largest contributor of added sugars in the U.S. diet and a major source of dietary calories.\textsuperscript{16}

Water is essential to maintain, optimize, and improve the health of students,\textsuperscript{17} and may improve cognition,\textsuperscript{18,19,20} focus\textsuperscript{21,22} and mood.\textsuperscript{24,23,24} When drinking water is fluoridated it is proven to protect against dental caries,\textsuperscript{25} the most common chronic disease among American children.\textsuperscript{26} On a given day, children who do not drink any water consume twice the calories from SSBs when compared to children who drink water.\textsuperscript{27}

Outside of home, children spend most of their time in schools and childcare facilities. Low-income children in particular are likely to spend time in schools participating in the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and the Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP). To support healthy hydration, the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010 (HHFKA) imposed a requirement that potable water be made available in these school and childcare nutrition programs.

The HHFKA requirement is important because one in two children aged 6-19 in the U.S. is not adequately hydrated, with disparities by race and gender.\textsuperscript{28} For some children, the child nutrition programs may be their most reliable source of safe and appealing drinking water.\textsuperscript{29} Improving water access and promotion is especially important for low-income and minority children who are at higher risk for obesity,\textsuperscript{30} report more negative perceptions about tap water,\textsuperscript{31} and have poorer beverage intake habits.\textsuperscript{27,32}

Data suggest drinking water access in U.S. schools is unsatisfactory

The 2014-15 USDA School Nutrition and Meal Cost Study reported that 95% of schools were observed to meet the HHFKA mandate to provide drinking water access at mealtimes.\textsuperscript{33} However, USDA observed that only one in two schools offered drinking
fountains (“bubblers”) within the cafeteria while another one-third of fountains were placed within 20 feet of the cafeteria. Fewer than one in four schools offered water dispensers or coolers in the cafeteria. At the time of the study, only 3% of schools offered water bottle filling stations.

Concerns over water quality and cleanliness can lead students to avoid drinking fountains. A nationally representative observational study of drinking water access in schools has never been performed.

- Using a photo-documentation tool, in a 2016 convenience sample of 325 water sources in forty racially, economically and geographically diverse U.S. schools, 37% of cafeteria water sources had a cleanliness issue; 23% had less than satisfactory water flow; 8% were broken or had no water flow; only 7% provided cups, and less than 1% had promotional signage.
- A 2016 observational study in Massachusetts public schools found 30% of drinking water sources were broken or appeared dirty at any given time.
- Observations in a representative sample of 240 California public schools in 2016-2018 found over 75% made water available. However, only 18% met all of the study’s criteria for excellence in effective access. Criteria include administrators’ perception that water is safe and appealing and that water sources are clean and functional, provision of water in at least four of five key school locations, at least one water source that allows more than sipping, and a high density of water sources.

Drinking water safety in schools and childcare

While the HHFKA may have assumed that tap water is uniformly safe and accessible, studies since then show this is not always the case. In 2020, just over 5% of U.S. public water suppliers (utilities), primarily small and rural, were in violation of one or more Safe Drinking Water Act health-based standards for drinking water quality (these are contaminants other than lead); down from nearly 6% in 2019. In a random sample of 240 California schools, 16% of study schools received water from a utility that was in violation of health-based standard for drinking water at the time of the study.

With regard to lead, drinking water contributes about 20% of overall lead exposure (the primary exposure is from lead in soil and dust), however since no level of lead is safe and lead levels in tap water can be very high, it is important to address this source of children’s lead exposure. Lead is a concern in school and childcare drinking water. Four reports highlight presence of lead in school drinking water.
• An analysis of all available statewide data on lead in U.S. school drinking water found that 12% of all tested taps had lead over the state’s action level, while 44% of schools had at least one tap with lead over the state’s action level.\textsuperscript{44}

• A study of Massachusetts school drinking water lead test results found that 12.1% of all tested taps exceeded 15 ppb and 63.6% of all schools had at least one tap with lead over 15 ppb.\textsuperscript{45}

• California has relatively few exceedances of lead standards, but an analysis of the state’s school drinking water lead testing results found that, while only 3% of schools had at least one sample over 15 ppb, 16% of schools had a sample over 5 ppb (the FDA standard for bottled water).\textsuperscript{46}

Drinking water lead levels in the childcare setting have had almost no study but exposures are likely to be higher, not lower, than in schools since childcare sites are more likely than schools to have lead service lines.

**Environmental considerations**

Drinking water in any form (tap, filtered, bottled), and particularly tap water, has a smaller environmental footprint than other beverages.\textsuperscript{47}

A recent study estimated the environmental footprint of the liquid contents of packaged beverages.\textsuperscript{48} The greenhouse gas emissions (GHGE) from SSB manufacture, per liter of average content, was 81 times greater than that of a liter of tap water. Manufacture of each liter of SSB required an average of 153 liters of fresh water. The study also looked at the environmental footprint of plastic bottles versus reusable containers for beverages. The researchers found that, per liter of content, one plastic bottle produced 11 times more GHGE, used 27 times more water in manufacture, and produced 440 times more plastic pollution, than a reusable stainless steel water bottle with a plastic cap.

In addition to these direct environmental benefits of replacing SSBs with tap water as a beverage of choice, there are also important indirect environmental benefits. For example, as described above, consumption of water in place of SSBs can, over time, reduce the incidence and prevalence of many diet-related chronic conditions. Reduced burden of disease means less need for healthcare, which in turn reduces its many environmental impacts.\textsuperscript{49}
Women, Infants and Children (WIC) special circumstances

Incidents such as elevated lead in Flint and Newark and natural disasters, such as climate change emergencies in Texas and southern coastal states, show that there are times and places when public water systems fail to provide safe tap water. Bottled water costs are an added burden for limited resource households. The Women, Infants and Children (WIC) program serves low-income and at-risk pregnant, lactating women and their infants and young children. WIC households shouldn’t have to choose between access to safe drinking water and other daily essentials.

In general, pregnant and lactating women, infants and young children are more vulnerable to the toxic effects of contaminated drinking water. Further, infancy is a critical time to set healthy beverage habits because infants’ innate preference for sweet flavors, combined with exposure to sweet foods/beverages, influence lifetime taste preferences, and during infancy, parents establish feeding practices that may be setting lifelong nutrition and health habits for their children.

Lead in drinking water with reference to WIC population

Lead is a proven toxin, particularly for infants and young children. Infants are more vulnerable to adverse outcomes of lead exposure owing to high volume of water intake per body weight, increased lead absorption and rapid neuro-cognitive development. Even low exposure to lead can reduce child IQ and is associated with attention deficit and problem behaviors; modelling shows that even low-level lead exposure reduces population IQ. Infants and children in WIC have been observed to be three times more likely to have elevated blood lead levels.

Homes in low-income communities are more apt to have lead exposures including lead service lines and lead in antiquated plumbing parts. There is limited data on lead in tap water in residential settings because public utilities are required regularly to test only a fraction of taps to monitor for lead in drinking water, however, a 2018 study of tap water in high-risk homes in New Orleans, found that though only 1% of all home water samples were above 15 ppb of lead, 12% were above 5 ppb (the FDA limit for bottled water) and 60% were above the American Academy of Pediatrics recommended level of 1 ppb.

Lead ingested through tap water is a particular risk for infants fed powdered formula reconstituted with tap water. Sixty percent (60%) of U.S. infants 0-11 months are formula fed and through formula they consume about 4 cups of water per day which means that 40-100%
of their exposure to lead is through water used to mix formula.\textsuperscript{63} Infants in WIC are more likely to consume formula than non-WIC participants.\textsuperscript{64}

Risks of lead exposure during pregnancy and lactation can include elevated lead in the fetal brain and adverse outcomes of pregnancy; while lead can be detected in breastmilk, breastfed infants are generally at lower risk of lead exposure than are infants fed formula.\textsuperscript{65} Lead exposure in US women of childbearing age is generally low yet identifying high-risk women (increased maternal age, race/ethnicity, poverty, immigrant) remains a public health need.\textsuperscript{66}

\textit{About National Drinking Water Alliance:} the \textbf{National Drinking Water Alliance} is a network of researchers, public health professionals, educators, advocates and industry members who believe that drinking water is healthier than drinking sugar-sweetened beverages and who work to enable all in the U.S. to drink water in place of sugary drinks.
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reduction, Lead and Copper Rule Improvements (LCRI) that will strengthen regulatory frameworks. (US Environmental Protection Agency. (2021). National primary drinking water regulations: Lead and copper rule revisions. Fed. Regist., 84(219)).


8 Cost information for water bottle filling stations:
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Recent reports on school drinking water quality and lead testing all find lack of uniformity in approach and all find lead is a problem
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