Table 13. Average monthly quality ratings for *Helichrysum* 'Ruby Clusters' on 4 ET_0-based irrigation levels in 2014.

|	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	AVG
Foliage									
80%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	2.3	3.0	4.3	**4.2**
60%	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.7	2.5	2.3	2.4	**3.8**
40%	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.2	3.0	2.3	2.8	**4.0**
20%	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.6	4.2	3.5	2.0	3.3	**4.0**
Flowering									
80%	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	1.3				**4.2**
60%	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.7	1.0		1.0		**3.4**
40%	4.7	4.3	4.8	5.0	1.8				**4.1**
20%	4.2	3.8	4.7	4.4	1.5				**3.7**
Pest Tolerance									
80%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	3.0	4.3	**4.6**
60%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	2.7	2.4	**4.3**
40%	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	3.3	3.0	**4.5**
20%	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.6	4.2	4.8	2.3	3.3	**4.2**
Disease Resistance									
80%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	**4.9**
60%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	**5.0**
40%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.3	4.3	**4.8**
20%	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	**5.0**
Vigor									
80%	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.5	3.0	3.7	4.3	**4.4**
60%	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.3	3.8	2.5	2.8	2.5	**3.8**
40%	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.3	3.0	2.7	3.5	**4.0**
20%	3.8	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	2.5	3.8	**3.8**
Overall Appearance									
80%	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.6	4.0	2.3	2.2	3.2	**3.9**
60%	4.7	4.6	4.8	3.9	3.3	2.3	2.0	2.1	**3.5**
40%	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.8	3.7	2.7	1.7	2.1	**3.6**
20%	4.8	4.3	4.7	3.7	3.8	2.9	2.0	2.8	**3.6**

Figure 21a. Average monthly plant growth index of *Helichrysum* 'Ruby Clusters' in 2014 on 4 ET_o–based irrigation levels. Bars represent ± 1 SE. No significant differences January through August. Bars with the same letters were not significantly different using ANOVA and Tukey's HSD at p< 0.05.


Figure 21b. Average monthly relative plant growth index of *Helichrysum* 'Ruby Clusters' in 2014 on 4 ET_o–based irrigation levels. Bars represent ± 1 SE. There were no significant differences using ANOVA and Tukey's HSD at p< 0.05.