DESIGN AND PLANNING FOR URBAN AGRICULTURE: The Role of Site Design in Supporting Community Spaces and Farming

David de la Peña, PhD, Assistant Professor Landscape Architecture & Environmental Design, UC Davis <u>dsdelapena@ucdavis.edu</u> (530) 752-4082

Free Farm in San Francisco, Image by C. Napawan



SUSTAINABLE LIVING AND LEARNING COMMUNITIES – UC DAVIS Draft Vision Plan, April 13 2018



AMERICAN PLANNING ASSOCIATION LISTED BENEFITS ASSOCIATED WITH URAN AG

Urban Agriculture: Growing Healthy, Sustainable Places



Kimberley Hodgson, Marcia Caton Campbell, and Martin Bailke



Hea	Ith benefits:	
1.	Increase accessibility to fruits & vegetables	
2.	Provide opportunities for public health programming	
3.	Therapeuatic benefits of recreational gardening	
4.	Food security	
Soc	al benefits:	
1.	Opportunity for community involvement	
2.	Social interaction between ethnically and age-diverse communities	
3.	Connection between farmers and consumers	
4.	Community economic security	
5.	Vacant property reuse strategy and catalyst for community development	
Eco	nomic benefits:	
1.	Provides volunteer maintenance	
2.	Increase local employment opportunity or training	
3.	Generates income	
4.	Capitalizes on underused resources	
5.	Increases property values	
6.	Reduces food expenditures to free larger portion of household income	
Env	ronmental benefits:	
1.	Contribution to environmental management & productive reuse of contaminated land	
2.	Decreased storm-water run-off	
3.	Improved air quality	











DEMOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN OF SACRAMENTO

SACRAMENTO ANCESTRY

hinese

French

Dutch

Polish

ong

Filining

Ukrainian

Swadish

dominant immigrant populations and ancestra origins per census tract.

Portuguese

Puerto Rican



0.70

70 - 135

135 - 205

275-340

205 - 275

0.80

80 - 160

160 - 240 240 - 320

320- 735

Represents a comparison between the numbof people per block group with low incomversus those with high income. Low and hig incomes are determined by established (star-



440 - 590

590 - 735

HU

SACRAMENTO

City



0 - 2.5% 2.5 - 8.5 8.5 - 17% 17 - 35.5 35 5 - 5

2.5 - 8.5% 8.5 - 17% 17 - 35.5% 35.5 - 54.8%

Represents the number of househoulds per census tract that receive food stamps as a part of the SNAP Program enrollment. Represents the percentage of people per census tract that are low income and have low access (Swither than 1 mile) to supermarkets, local

URBAN AGRICULTURE COLLABORATORS



The mission of the Yisrael Family Urban Farm is **to educate**, **inspire and demonstrate** the benefits of growing your own food to the local community and beyond.

Soil Born Farms focuses on promoting **health and providing** experimental learning opportunities for youth and adults, producing healthy food, improving access to healthy food for all and modeling land and environmental stewardship.

The Building Healthy Communities mission is to **make healthier**, **lireble areas for peeple**. They strive to do this by improving employment toportunities, education, housing, neighborhood safety, unhealthy environmental conditions, and access to healthy foods.

The City of Sacramento has taken a few steps to **support locally** grown foods; build community; improve public health and well-being; and provide economic opportunity, particularly in areas that have vacant or undervillized land.

M. Ma | A Panes | A Rubigo

Sacramento Urban Agriculture Coalition recognize and embrace the potential of food production and soles to impact our region's food system.

BENEFITS OF URBAN AGRICULTURE PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS



Disclar AND MORE INFORMATION Inad Braha Canvos Data: http://www.cansos.gov oranistici.in.orgentPapulations.http://donvific.acca IDA Food Acoas.http://www.acsuida.gov







EXISTING LITERATURE ON DESIGNING PUBLIC OPEN SPACE



EXISTING LITERATURE ON DESIGNING URBAN AGRICULTURE

LITERATURE REVIEW OF SPATIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR SUCCESSFUL PUBLIC SPACE

Sources:	Spatial Considerations for successful urban public space:
Marcus, C. (1976). "Mini Parks" in People Places	site selection with ease of pedestrian access and visibility; appropriate programming which includes community involvement; clear entrances and boundaries; and appropriate site materials use
Whyte, W. (1980). The Social Life of Small Urban Spaces	varied and abundant seating opportunities, the presence of water feature and/or food vending, a sense of enclosure, and proximity to active pedestrian corridors
Francis, M., Cashdon, L., Paxson, L. (1984). Community Open Spaces	site selection and programming relevant to neighborhood context; clear signage and integration with community on site development; site design may employ design professionals, but community input and volunteer efforts play a role in design and construction, and design has adaptability; community responsible for site maintenance and management
Project for Public Space (2000). "What Makes a Successful Place?"	sites are accessible; many and diverse users on site engaged in a range of activities; site is comfortable and has good image; site is a sociable place
Francis, M. (2003). Urban Open Spaces	site supports user needs & user diversity, program diversity, safety/security, and accessibility

LITERATURE REVIEW ON SPATIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR SUCCESSFUL UA PROJECTS

Sources:	Spatial considerations for successful urban agriculture projects:
Lawson, L. (2005). City Bountiful	design to engage a broader range of public participation, including youth and non-gardening/farming programs
Hou, J., Johnson, J., Lawson, L. (2009). Greening Cities, Growing Communities	ability for incremental change, adjustments, and improvements over time; sensitivity to existing context and user needs; multi-use, including non-gardening programs; diversity and artistic expression
Milburn, L. and Vail, B. (2010) "Sowing the Seeds of Success" from Landscape Journal	site selection/proximity to users, physical characteristics that support growing (solar gain, access to water & soil), compact site (as opposed to long, linear sites), high visibility from street and within garden, accessibility, inclusion of appropriate site elements for growing (including composting, storage, perimeter fencing, and bulletin/message board)

PLACEMAKING: DESIGNING URBAN FARMS AS PLACES



Image source: "Form and Fabric in Landscape Architecture" by C. Dee

SITE ASSESSMENT: SITE SCALE



Image source: "Site Analysis" by E. T. White

PHYSICAL ELEMENTS ON AN URBAN FARM / GARDEN

Original source (adapted): Carpenter and Rosenthal (2011). The Essential Urban Farmer

Crop planting beds (In-ground or raised beds) – 3 ft to 5 ft wide Children's' garden beds – 1 ft – 2 ft wide Accessible garden beds – Raised to max height of 24 in. with width of 18 inches or 36 inches if accessible on both sides Vertical growing structures (Trellises, arbors) – consider 2 ft wide beds next to fences Hoop house or cold frames Greenhouse Composting areas (compost bins, worm binds, manure aging bins, brush piles) – must be 20 ft from residential buildings (Sac)

Fruit trees Fruiting shrubs and vines Seedling propagation area Pollinator patches – use native plants, 3x3ft, 5x5 ft, or 10x10ft

Animal housing and yards Beehives and native pollinator nests (not on property line unless solid fence; maintain 10 ft flight path; orient away from paths) Bat houses Aquaculture (check local regulations)

Space for waste, green waste and recycling Bulk materials storage Tool storage Produce washing and packing stations Shaded group seating/work/hanging out areas Outdoor cooking areas or cooking demonstration areas Children's play areas Farm stand location (120 sf stand is allowable, temporary use daytime hours only, requires permit in Sac county)

Greywater system for diverting household water Water storage cistern / barrels Irrigation system Perimeter fence Signage Parking

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND PRINCIPLES

SITE PREREQUISITES

Solar access – understand the daily and seasonal path of the sun Available water source Good soil free of hazards (get your soil tested!) Adequate site drainage (does water pond or flow too quickly off the site?) Appropriate visual and physical access Legal rights Community support (talk to neighbors, have an open house, design it together!)

MATCH THE PROJECT PURPOSE

Production food production Community empowerment Recreation and mental health Food literacy education Job training / local capacity-building

MATERIALS

Use renewable resources Wood – rot resistant redwood is best, avoid pressure treated for beds, avoid RR ties, avoid plywood, beware of pallets Carpets – they work but they also leach chemicals and possibly synthetic fibers into the soil Cardboard – free and good weed abatement, soil building Straw (not hay) Metal – Galvanized or stainless steel or coated to avoid rust, restrict if farming under power lines Pathway surfaces – decomposed granite, properly installed, can be an ADA accessible (4 ft min pathway for access route)

AESTHETICS

Don't overlook the importance of appearance! Create beauty and integrate art Celebrate the hand-made Create experiences – How do people arrive? What do they see along the way?













Beacon Food Forest Schematic Site Plan



15th Ave S

Scale: 1" = 20'

harrison design Iandscape architecture 911 westen ave suite 406 seatte wa 98104 206.840.2540



DESIGN EXERCISE

Start with a little context (5 minutes)

Include:

- Your property and buildings and significant trees
- Surrounding properties and buildings
- Include the street and any properties across the street
- Note the land uses (residential, commercial, office, park, etc)

Your site map (5 minutes)

Include:

- Property lines, with some approximate dimensions
- All structures
- Driveways, sidewalks, pathways
- Trees and other plants
- Fences
- Other site features
- Note: MAKE SURE NORTH IS FACING UP,

Design! (5 minutes)

- What is the purpose of your project?
- What elements should be included?
- Start with general use areas how are they related?
- Work toward details, checking dimensions and technical requirements
- Don't forget the beauty, the art, and the experience

Share and get feedback (10 minutes)

PHYSICAL ELEMENTS ON AN URBAN FARM / GARDEN

Original source (adapted): Carpenter and Rosenthal (2011). The Essential Urban Farmer

Crop planting beds (In-ground or raised beds) – 3 ft to 5 ft wide Children's' garden beds – 1 ft – 2 ft wide Accessible garden beds – Raised to max height of 24 in. with width of 18 inches or 36 inches if accessible on both sides Vertical growing structures (Trellises, arbors) – consider 2 ft wide beds next to fences Hoop house or cold frames Greenhouse Composting areas (compost bins, worm binds, manure aging bins, brush piles) – must be 20 ft from residential buildings (Sac)

Fruit trees Fruiting shrubs and vines Seedling propagation area Pollinator patches – use native plants, 3x3ft, 5x5 ft, or 10x10ft

Animal housing and yards Beehives and native pollinator nests (not on property line unless solid fence; maintain 10 ft flight path; orient away from paths) Bat houses Aquaculture (check local regulations)

Space for waste, green waste and recycling Bulk materials storage Tool storage Produce washing and packing stations Shaded group seating/work/hanging out areas Outdoor cooking areas or cooking demonstration areas Children's play areas Farm stand location (120 sf stand is allowable, temporary use daytime hours only, requires permit in Sac county)

Greywater system for diverting household water Water storage cistern / barrels Irrigation system Perimeter fence Signage Parking