

TSW symptom incidence in processing tomato varieties, 2008

Thomas Turini¹ and Michelle LeStrange²

¹ University of California Cooperative Extension

Vegetable Crops Advisor, Fresno County

² University of California Cooperative Extension

Vegetable Crops and Horticulture Advisor, Tulare and Kings Counties

Among processing tomato varieties, differences in incidence of Tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV)-symptom incidence was observed in variety trials in 2008. Thirteen mid-maturity (>118 days) processing tomato varieties were evaluated at the West Side Research and Extension Center (WSREC) as one of six sites at which the 2008 UCCE Statewide Processing Tomato Variety Evaluation Trials were conducted. Detailed results from the statewide trials can be found at <http://cemerced.ucdavis.edu/files/60020.pdf>.

At the WSREC site, three trials were conducted comparing mid-maturity varieties. All trials were on a Panoche Clay Loam and were drip irrigated. The experimental design for all three studies was a four replication randomized complete block. Plot size was one 66-inch bed x 70ft row, single plant row per bed. Details for each trial were as follows:

| | Plant date | First irrigation | TSWV evaluation | Harvest |
|--------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Mid #1 | 16 Apr (transplant) | 16 Apr | 18 Aug | 21 Aug |
| Mid #2 | 13 May (transplant) | 13 May | 16 Sep | 18 Sep |
| Mid #3 | 12 May (direct seed) | 13 May | 23 Sep | 24 Sep |

The number of plants expressing TSWV-symptoms was recorded one to three days before harvest in each 70 ft plot. Plant canopies were moved and carefully inspected. Shoots which bore symptomatic fruit were traced to a plant to help ensure that the count was accurate. Representative samples were tested with TSWV immunostrips (AgDia).

Differences in the number of plants expressing TSWV-symptoms were present among entries in the three mid-season variety trials conducted at WSREC. The variety AB 8058 has genetic resistance to the virus and incidence was 0 or very low. However, among susceptible varieties, incidence differed significantly $P=0.05$ (see table below)

Plants expressing Tomato spotted wilt-symptoms per 70 ft long single row plot in varieties evaluated at West Side Research and Extension Center, Fresno County, 2008

| Entry | Transplanted 16 Apr | Transplanted 15 May | Direct Seeded 12 May |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| AB 2 | 6.0 (10) cd | 13.3 (5) abc | 3.8 (7) cd |
| AB 8058 (SW5) | 0.0 (13) e | 0.5 (13) f | 0.3 (13) e |
| H 2005 | 4.3 (11) cde | 7.8 (10) cde | 3.0 (8) d |
| H 2601 | 7.3 (7) c | 17.2 (1) a | 8.0 (2) b |
| H 4007 | 7.7 (6) c | 10.0 (9) bcd | 2.8 (10) de |
| H 8004 | 20.3 (1) a | 16.0 (2) ab | 11.3 (1) a |
| H 9780 | 7.0 (9) cd | 12.8 (6) abc | 2.8 (11) de |
| HM 6898 | 18.7 (2) a | 13.8 (4) abc | 6.0 (3) bc |
| NDM 5578 | 13.3 (4) b | 12.0 (7) abc | 4.5 (4) cd |
| NUN 672 | 14.0 (3) b | 15.0 (3) ab | 4.3 (5) cd |
| PX 1723 | 7.3 (8) c | 11.5 (8) abcd | 3.8 (6) cd |
| SUN 6368 | 2.7 (12) de | 5.3 (11) def | 2.0 (12) de |
| UG 4305 | 8.7 (5) c | 3.0 (12) ef | 3.0 (9) d |
| LSD _{0.05} | 4.45 | 6.61 | 2.67 |
| CV(%) | 29.26 | 43.41 | 43.81 |

