



Post-Fire Erosion Control

Mitigating Hillslope Erosion Following a Wildfire

Post-Fire Erosion

High-intensity rainfall events following a high-severity wildfire can trigger erosion, runoff, and sedimentation. As area burned by high-severity wildfires is increasing in area, post-fire erosion control treatments are becoming increasingly necessary to prevent soil erosion and protect human values at risk.

Hillslope erosion during heavy rainstorms following a high-severity wildfire occurs due to the loss of roots and vegetation holding soil in place and alteration of soil physical and chemical properties. Severe heating of soils can lead to a reduction in soil infiltration rates and formation of a water-repellent layer in the soil.

Hillslope erosion occurs through three processes: (1) rainsplash when raindrops hit bare soil and detach soil particles; (2) sheeting from water flowing over weakened soils and carrying soil particles along with it; and (3) rilling, the process of water becoming concentrated in incisions on the landscape and developing into larger flow paths if not mitigated (Image 1).

The effects of wildfire on soil properties are often not consistent over space and time. The magnitude of post-fire hillslope erosion is dependent on multiple factors, including topography, burn severity, time since fire, and

Steps to Mitigate Erosion

- Survey the damage to your property. Areas of concern include steep and long slopes with bare soil upslope from infrastructure that could be impacted by flooding or erosion.
- Establish cover on slopes where erosion, flooding, or debris flows could impact values at risk using weed-free straw, wood mulch, or wood chips before the rainy season.
- Avoid disturbing soil and slopes during the rainy season.

Contact a professional to receive advice about erosion control needs.

the intensity of rain events prior to the reestablishment of vegetation. While high-severity wildfire may increase erosion potential through these processes, low-intensity fire may increase soil fertility by releasing nutrients into the soil. Post-fire erosion may increase by an order of magnitude following a wildfire but is likely to return to pre-burn erosion rates within two to three years post-fire with the regrowth of vegetation and depletion of easily erodible surface material (Prats et al. 2016).

Erosion control techniques

The purpose of erosion control is to reduce post-fire flooding and erosion caused by uncontrolled runoff on slopes that have become impermeable or water repellent and denuded of vegetation. These treatments generally fall into three categories: (1) mulching, (2) erosion barriers, and (3) seeding.

Mulching treatments have been found to be the most effective erosion control technique on hillslopes (Robichaud et al. 2014). These treatments provide ground cover that traps sediment, allows water to infiltrate, and slows the development of concentrated flows. Mulching treatments may be applied using agricultural straw, wood shreds, or wood chips and typically, a mulch application rate of 60 to 70 percent ground cover is ideal for limiting erosion (Prosdociimi et al. 2016). Care should also be taken to avoid spreading mulch too thick as it can limit the regeneration of native vegetation (Robichaud et al. 2010)



Image 1. Formation of rills following the 2022 Oak Fire
[Credit: Alison Deak]



Image 3. A successful straw mulching treatment
[Credit: Alison Deak]

Agricultural straw mulching is a low-cost and effective erosion control strategy, but it may be less effective during high-intensity rainfall events than wood mulch and has the potential to be transported off hillslopes by wind (Image 2). Straw mulches also come with the risk of introducing non-native species that could compete with regenerating native vegetation, even if certified weed-free (Shive et al. 2017).

Wood mulching has been widely recognized as the most effective erosion control treatment on hillslopes due to its longevity and resistance to wind displacement. Wood mulch may be purchased or produced on site through chipping or shredding. The most effective wood mulches are those with fragments longer than two inches in length and less than one inch wide (Foltz and Wagenbrenner 2010).

Erosion barriers are linear treatments installed to restrict sheet flow and trap soil on hillslopes. The use of these treatments has declined as more effective mulching treatments have developed. Some considerations for installing erosion barriers are that

they may be expensive to install if using off-site materials and are likely to fail during short-duration high intensity rainfall events because they do not provide ground cover and can channelize flow if installed incorrectly (Image 3; Robichaud et al 2008). Erosion barriers can be constructed using either contour log felling or straw wattles.

Contour log felling is the practice of cutting down dead trees and placing them on the contour of the slope, with a reservoir area on the uphill slope that catches eroded soil. These are only suitable for sites with slopes between 25 and 60 percent. Contour logs must be long enough (15-20 feet in length), accurately placed along the contour, and installed at a high enough density with soil berms installed at the ends of the log.

Fiber rolls or “straw wattles” are similar to contour logs but are rolls about 9 inches in diameter and up to 25 feet long manufactured from rice straw wrapped in degradable netting. An advantage to fiber rolls is they provide a seedbed for vegetative recovery, but they may contain noxious weeds. Fiber rolls are only suitable on slopes less than 40 percent.



Image 2. A failed erosion barrier that led to channelized flow during a high-intensity rain event [Credit: Alison Deak]

Assessing soil burn severity	
Low Severity	• Surface litter (grass, leaves, needles) may be charred or partially consumed but still recognizable • Soil structure and roots remain largely unchanged • Tree canopy mostly unaltered with some scorching possible
Moderate Severity	• Surface litter may be charred but recognizable leaves and needles remain on ground • Thin layer of black to gray ash at soil surface with recognizable litter underneath • Soil structure may be slightly altered at the top of the soil profile • Roots near surface may be charred or scorched but largely unchanged
High Severity	• Little to no effective ground cover with only ash or bare soil remaining. • Thick layer of powdery gray or white ash. Soil surface may be black, brown, or reddish brown beneath ash layer. • Soil structure loose and weakened due to loss of fine roots and soil organic matter • Water does not infiltrate at surface or deeper within soil
Parsons et al. 2010	

Allowing for natural recovery

It may be appropriate to close burned areas and allow for natural recovery on sites with low or patchy moderate to high soil burn severity, gentle slopes, and in areas that do not threaten values at risk. Fire and erosion are natural

processes and forested landscapes throughout California are fire adapted. Following a wildfire, removing, or disturbing ash, surface litter, downed trees, roots, and other remnant vegetation protecting the soil can initially increase erosion potential.

Seeding has been found to be a largely ineffective treatment for controlling hillslope erosion (Peppin et al. 2010). Heavy rainfall events can cause seeds to be washed downslope and if successful, may limit regeneration and introduce invasive species. Seeding in conjunction with mulching may increase the effectiveness of this treatment by improving moisture retention and protecting seeds from being washed downslope.

Works Cited

Foltz, R. B., and N. S. Wagenbrenner. "An evaluation of three wood shred blends for post-fire erosion control using indoor simulated rain events on small plots." *Catena* 80, no. 2 (2010): 86-94.

Parsons, Annette, Peter R. Robichaud, Sarah A. Lewis, Carolyn Napper, and Jess T. Clark. Field guide for mapping post-fire soil burn severity. General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-243. Fort Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, 2010.

Peppin, Donna, Peter Z. Fulé, Carolyn Hull Sieg, Jan L. Beyers, and Molly E. Hunter. "Post-wildfire seeding in forests of the western United States: an evidence-based review." *Forest Ecology and Management* 260, no. 5 (2010): 573-586.

Prats, Sergio Alegre, Joseph W. Wagenbrenner, Martinho António Santos Martins, Maruxa Cortizo Malvar, and Jan Jacob Keizer. "Mid-term and scaling effects of forest residue mulching on post-fire runoff and soil erosion." *Science of the Total Environment* 573 (2016): 1242-1254.

Prosdocimi, Massimo, Paolo Tarolli, and Artemi Cerdà. "Mulching practices for reducing soil water erosion: A review." *Earth-Science Reviews* 161 (2016): 191-203.

Robichaud, Peter R., Louise E. Ashmun, and Bruce D. Sims. "Post Fire Treatment Effectiveness for Hillslope Stabilization." General Technical Report RMRS-GTR 240. Fort Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, 2010.

Robichaud, Peter R., Hakjun Rhee, and Sarah A. Lewis. "A synthesis of post-fire Burned Area Reports from 1972 to 2009 for western US Forest Service lands: Trends in wildfire characteristics and post-fire stabilisation treatments and expenditures." *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 23, no. 7 (2014): 929-944.

Robichaud, P. R., J. W. Wagenbrenner, R. E. Brown, P. M. Wohlgemuth, and J. L. Beyers. "Evaluating the effectiveness of contour-felled log erosion barriers as a post-fire runoff and erosion mitigation treatment in the western United States." *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 17, no. 2 (2008): 255-273.

Shive, Kristen L., Becky L. Estes, Angela M. White, Hugh D. Safford, Kevin L. O'Hara, and Scott L. Stephens. "Rice straw mulch for post-fire erosion control: assessing non-target effects on vegetation communities." *International journal of wildland fire* 26, no. 6 (2017): 538-549.