

2019 Glenn County 4-H Fashion Revue - Consumer Science Purchased Scorecard

Name _____ Placing Medalist: 25-28 State Winner
 County **GLENN** Blue: 18-24
 Division Junior: 9-10 Intermediate: 11-13 Senior: 14-19 Red: 11-17
 White: 7-10

	Excellent 4	Very Good 3	Satisfactory 2	Needs Improvement 1	Score
EXHIBITOR					
Self Esteem Poise/Posture	Exhibits confidence. Self assured. Models outfit to its best advantage. Good use of gestures and facial expressions.	Confident. Poised and graceful with good posture. Appropriate use of gestures and facial expressions.	Presents outfit with some enthusiasm and poise. Limited facial expressions.	Appears awkward or ill at ease when presenting outfit. Additional practice will help increase confidence.	
Personal presentation/ Grooming	Fresh and well groomed. Neat in appearance. Skin, hair, nails clean and well cared for. Shoes spotless and in good condition.	Well groomed. Neat in appearance. Skin, hair, nails clean. Shoes clean and in good shape.	Neat in appearance. Skin, hair and nails clean. Shoes need attention.	Appearance needs more attention: skin, hair, nails and/or shoes are untidy or soiled.	
Verbal Communication Interaction with Evaluators	Thorough knowledge of garment care, fabric, fiber content, overall cost vs. value. Engages confidently with evaluators. Makes full eye contact.	Able to answer questions regarding garment care, fabric content, construction techniques. Engages with evaluators. Makes eye contact.	Basic knowledge of garment care and cost vs. value. Limited eye contact and engagement with evaluators.	Able to answer questions with prompting but nervousness prevents further engagement with evaluators.	
GARMENT(S)					
Shopability & Versatility	Examined and compared product features such as price, functionality, and versatility. Demonstrates the use of multiple shopping sources. Developed new skills in purchasing power. Gained new attitudes through the shopping experience.	Some comparison of price and functionality. Purchased pieces add versatility to existing wardrobe. Developed new skills as a consumer.	Bought outfit pieces without much comparison of price or functionality. Items add limited versatility to wardrobe. New consumer skills not obvious without questioning.	The outfit pieces indicate no comparison shopping. No new skills indicated. Purchased pieces do not coordinate with existing wardrobe.	
Cost vs. Value	Great quality for money invested. Value of items far exceeds the cost paid. Cost and time for care are not excessive.	Good quality for money invested. Value is higher than cost. Cost and time for care are reasonable.	Average quality for money invested. Value is slightly higher than cost. Cost and time for care were not considered.	Poor quality for money invested. Value is equal to or lower than cost. Cost and time for care exceeds value of garment.	
Fit	Evidence of skills used to select attractive, comfortable, becoming clothing, sized for style and body type. Figure problems minimized.	Neat and well fitted. Adequate ease in proportion to the style and design. Enhances personal attributes.	Fit and ease mostly accurate but needs attention in a few places.	Outfit has fit defects that detract from overall appearance.	
Coordinated total look	Outfit looks smart and put together. Style, color and accessories express individual personality. Accessories enhance overall look of outfit.	Outfit complements the model. Suitable for size, body build and age. Good coordination of style, and color. Accessories relate well to look of outfit.	Outfit looks good on model. Color, style, and/or accessories have minimal effect to enhance look of outfit. Needs better pressing.	Outfit looks incomplete. Design elements are not cohesive. Outfit shows wear.	
EVALUATOR:					TOTAL POINTS

- Disqualification: Entry did not include current 4-H year receipts for all visible items including shoes.
 Disqualification: Entry exceeds the \$40.00 expenditure limit, not counting sales tax.

Comments: What was especially good about this entry or could be done to improve it?