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Epoxide Metabolism in the Liver of Mice Treated with Clofibrate (Ethyl-α-(p-chlorophenoxyisobutyrate)), a Peroxisome Proliferator. Moody, D. E., Loury, D. N., and Hammock, B. D. (1985). Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 78, 351-362. An increase in cytosolic epoxide hydrolase (cEH) activity occurs in the livers of mice treated with peroxisome proliferating–hypolipidemic–nongenotoxic carcinogens. As increases in activity of epoxide metabolizing enzymes may reflect the carcinogenic mechanism, a detailed comparison of the response of cEH, microsomal epoxide hydrolase (mEH), and cytosolic glutathione S-transferase (cGST) activities using the geometrical isomers trans- and cis-stilbene oxide as substrates has been performed in livers from mice treated with clofibrate (ethyl-α-(p-chlorophenoxyisobutyrate)). The maximal increase of cEH activity occurred at lower dietary doses of clofibrate (0.5%) and within a shorter time (5 days) than mEH and cGST (2%, 14 days) activity. After 14 days at 0.5% clofibrate, cEH, mEH, and cGST activities were 250, 175, and 165% and 290, 220, and 75% of control values in male and female mice, respectively. Withdrawal of clofibrate from the diet resulted in a reversion of activities to control values within 7 days. Clofibrate treatment shifted the apparent subcellular compartmentation of all three enzymatic activities with an increase in the ratio of soluble to particulate activity. In particular, the relative specific activity of all three enzymes decreased in the light mitochondrial (peroxisomal) cell fraction, and an increase of a mEH-like activity (benzo[a]pyrene-4,5-oxide and cis-stilbene oxide hydrolase) in the cytosol occurred. Both the increase of cEH activity and the appearance of mEH-like activity in the cytosol are novel responses of epoxide metabolizing enzymes, which may be related to the novel cellular responses that follow clofibrate treatment, peroxisome proliferation, hypolipidemia, and nongenotoxic carcinogenesis.

Epoxides are important functional groups on certain arene and alkyl compounds, endogenous and xenobiotic, encountered naturally or after biotransformation. Epoxide moieties may be quite reactive and have been described as the active functional group involved in the covalent binding of several carcinogens and of other toxic compounds to cellular macromolecules (Miller and Miller, 1977; Chacos et al., 1983). In mammalian cells epoxides are generally metabolized by hydrolysis to diols (epoxide ether hydrolysis, EC 3.3.2.3) (Oesch, 1972; Hammock et al., 1980) or by conjugation with glutathione (glutathione S-transferases, EC 2.5.1.18) (Jakoby, 1978). Epoxide hydrolysis primarily is associated with two distinct sets of enzymes, a predominantly membranous enzyme, mEH,4

4 Abbreviations used: mEH, microsomal epoxide hydrolase; cEH, cytosolic epoxide hydrolase; cGST, cytosolic glutathione S-transferase.
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and a predominantly soluble enzyme, cEH. These enzymes can be distinguished by numerous parameters, including substrate selectivity, pH optima, molecular weight, antigenic determinants, and intracellular distribution (Hammock et al., 1980; Guenther et al., 1981). Glutathione conjugation of epoxides is catalyzed by cGST, a set of isozymes found predominantly in the soluble cell fraction.

Induction of mEH and cGST after treatment with several diverse xenobiotics is well established (see above reviews). It was reported recently from this laboratory that cEH, along with mEH and cGST activity, is increased in mouse liver after treatments with clofibrate (ethyl-α-((p-chlorophenoxy)subbutyrate)), di-2-ethylhexylphthalate, and 2-ethylhexanol, while being refractive to several other compounds which increased mEH and/or cGST activity (Hammock and Ota, 1983). Waechter et al. (1984) have since found that cEH activity is increased in the cytosol from livers of several strains of mice treated with nafenopin, an analog of clofibrate. These four compounds are the only agents now known to increase cEH activity, and all four belong to a set of compounds known as peroxisome proliferators. These compounds all share the capacity to induce hepatic peroxisomes and elicit a hypolipidemic response (Hess et al., 1965; Reddy et al., 1982; Moody and Reddy, 1978). More recently it has become apparent that they also elicit hepatic cancer after long-term treatment, but are not genotoxic in the standard assays (Reddy et al., 1980; Reddy et al., 1982). The proliferative response of peroxisomes is a distinct cellular response to chemicals, and now a unique response of epoxide metabolism to the same compounds has also been identified.

To facilitate studies on the relationship of increased epoxide metabolism to peroxisome proliferation, it is important to establish the basic principles of treatment (i.e., time course and dose response). The metabolism of radiolabeled cis- and trans-stilbene oxide is a sensitive assay for differentiates among cEH, mEH, and cGST in mice (Gill et al., 1983a; Oesch and Golan, 1980; Hammock et al., 1980). These assays have now been used to study the time course and dose response of the increase in cEH, mEH, and cGST activity in liver from clofibrate-treated mice. The complex subcellular distribution of the three enzymes has been taken into consideration, and the relationship between the increase in epoxide metabolism and peroxisome proliferation is discussed. The increase of cEH activity by peroxisome proliferating–hypolipidemic–carcinogens may provide important information on the physiological role of cEH and the mode of action of these compounds.

METHODS

Materials. Clofibrate was provided by Ayerst Laboratories (New York, N.Y.), trans-Stilbene oxide, cis-stilbene, n-dodecane (99%), and hexanol (98%) were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company (Milwaukee, Wis.). cis-Stilbene oxide and radiolabeled cis- and trans-stilbene oxides were prepared as previously described (Hammock and Hasegawa, 1983; Gill et al., 1983a). Radiolabeled benz[a]pyrene-4,5-oxide was provided by F. Oesch (Mainz, West Germany). Bovine serum albumin (fraction V) and glutathione were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, Mo.). Bio-Rad protein reagent was purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Richmond, Calif.). ACS scintillation fluid was purchased from Amersham (Arlington Heights, Ill.).

Animals, treatment, and preparation of cell fractions. Male and female Swiss–Webster mice (Bantin–Kingman, Fremont, Calif., 25 to 30 and 20 to 30 g, respectively) were housed in steel cages with kill-dried pine shavings as bedding in an environmentally controlled room (12-hr light cycle, 22.5 to 24.0°C, constant humidity). Feed (Purina Rodent Chow) and tap water were provided ad libitum. Clofibrate dissolved in corn oil was mixed into ground chow at concentrations of 0.5 to 2.0% (w/w). Control and clofibrate-withdrawn diets contained similar amounts of corn oil (10 m1/100 g; this result in a total fat content of 15% resulting in a nonisounit diet). Fasted mice (used only when indicated) had feed removed 16 hr prior to termination. Mice were killed by cervical dislocation between 9 and 10 AM; livers were removed, perfused with cold 1.15% KCl, placed into buffer, and weighed. Homogenates (20-sec burst with polytron) from individual livers were then used to prepare cell fractions. Conventional crude microsomal and cytosolic fractions were prepared from 10% (of original liver weight, w/v) homogenates in 76 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.4) as described by Hammock and Ota (1983), with microsomes (10% Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 0.12 M hepatic homogenates prepared Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) were solu- tion as described by Cushing et al., 1983), presented in histogram form (Cushing et al., 1985). All fractions from above preparations were centrifuged at 70°C. No difference in fresh and frozen preparations was observed in Sorvall SS-34 at 4°C.

Enzyme assays. The specific activity of cEH, mEH, and cGST activity was assayed as the [14]stilbene oxide at pH 7.4 of diol from cis-[14]H]stilbene activity as formation of glu- tathione conjugates. These assays were performed with Sorvall SS-34 at 4°C. [14]H]stilbene oxide at pH 7.4 under these conditions was purchased from Ham- mcock and Hasegawa, 1983; Gill et al., 1983a). Radiolabeled benz[a]pyrene-4,5-oxide was provided by F. Oesch (Mainz, West Germany). Bovine serum albumin (fraction V) and glutathione were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, Mo.). Bio-Rad protein reagent was purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Richmond, Calif.). ACS scintillation fluid was purchased from Amersham (Arlington Heights, Ill.).

Protein assay. Protein was determined by the method of Bradford (1976). Protein (μg) was added to a single solution of 400 μl of diluted mixture was incubated for 2 hr, 20 μl of each reaction mixture was placed in a cuvette and absorbance was determined at 620 nm using a Gilford EIA manual reader.

Calculations and statistics (manually calculated as specified). Hepatic cytosolic total relative activity (per specific activities generally was estimated by Student’s t-test, accepted as significant.

RESULTS

Control Values

The majority of the data were performed on crude microsomal fractions prepared from female Swiss–Webster mice. Control values for activity of cEH and cGST (Fig. 1) for...
(1983), with microsomes subsequently washed in 0.05 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 0.125 m M KCl. Alternatively, 10% hepatic homogenates prepared in 0.25 m M sucrose, 10 m M Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) were separated by differential centrifugation as described by Gill and Hammock (1981) and presented in histogram form as described by de Duve et al. (1955). All fractions from this separation and the above preparations were either assayed fresh or stored at −70°C. No difference in activity was noted between fresh and frozen preparations. Centrifugation was performed with Sorvall SS-34 or Beckman 42.1 rotors at 4°C.

**Enzyme assays.** The standard assay conditions for eEH, mEH, and cGST activity by radiometric partition assay using trans- and cis-stilbene oxides as substrate have been described by Gill et al. (1983a). In brief, eEH activity was assayed as the formation of diol from trans-[3H]stilbene oxide at pH 7.4, mEH activity as formation of diol from cis-[3H]stilbene oxide at pH 9.0, and cGST activity as formation of glutathione conjugate from cis-[3H]stilbene oxide at pH 7.4. The validity of the assays under these conditions was shown by Gill et al. (1983a) and Hammock and Hasagawa (1983). The hydrolysis of benzalpyrene-4,5-oxide was assayed as described by Jernia et al. (1977). All assays were performed in triplicate. Scintillation counting was performed in a LKB 1217 Rackbeta liquid scintillation counter.

**Protein assay.** Protein was measured by a modification of the method of Bradford (1976) which is suitable for an ELISA reader. Protein or bovine serum albumin (100 μl) was added to a single cuvette, followed by the addition of 400 μl of diluted Bio-Rad reagent, and the mixture was incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Absorbance at 620 nm was then determined with a Gilford EIA manual reader.

**Calculations and statistics.** The enzyme activities were routinely calculated as specific activity (per milligram of protein), hepatic concentration (per gram of liver), and total relative activity (per liver of 100 g mouse) with specific activities generally presented in the text. The significance of differences between treated and control groups was estimated by Student’s t test with p < 0.05 accepted as significant.

**RESULTS**

**Control Values**

The majority of these experiments was performed on crude microsomal and cytosolic fractions prepared from livers of male and female Swiss–Webster mice. The individual control values for activities of mEH, eEH, and cGST (Fig. 1) from male (n = 52) and female (n = 9) are presented in histogram form. The histograms readily display the variance in values which were encountered over the course of the experiments. Furthermore, sex differences are apparent. Relative liver weight, microsomal protein (data not shown), and eEH and mEH activities were significantly greater in males, while cGST activity was significantly greater in female mice. The values presented here can be applied to later data presented as percentage of control to calculate the actual values.

**Assay for Epoxide Metabolism**

The differentiation of epoxide metabolism by eEH, mEH, and cGST is dependent upon substrate specificity, pH optima, and cell fraction used. cis- and trans-Stilbene oxide metabolism conveniently and sensitively differentiates among eEH, mEH, and cGST in untreated mice (Gill et al., 1983a). By these methods, the three enzyme activities are operationally defined as eEH—hydrolysis of trans-stilbene oxide by cytosol protein at pH 7.4; mEH—hydrolysis of cis-stilbene oxide by microsomal protein at pH 9.0; and cGST—conjugation of cis-stilbene oxide in the presence of glutathione by cytosolic protein at pH 7.4. The use of single compounds or geometrical isomers of a single compound for the assays enhances studies comparing different enzymes involved in epoxide metabolism. In tissue from livers of control and clofibrate-treated mice, these assays were adequate to detect activity in the major cell fractions of the enzymes (mEH—microsomes; eEH and cGST—cytosol), as well as cell fractions with minor amounts of activity. Formation of product for all three assays was linear with respect to time of incubation and protein added until approximately 40% of substrate was consumed (data not shown). Activities reported were always obtained from assays within the linear range.

The response of eEH, cGST, and mEH activities in microsomes and cytosol from
mouse liver to treatment for 14 days with clofibrate is summarized in Table 1. The hydrolysis of benz[a]pyrene-4,5-oxide at pH 9.0 also was assayed in both fractions as this substrate is not metabolized detectably by cEH (Hammock and Hasegawa, 1983; Oesch and Golan, 1980; Wang et al., 1982) and may provide a more reliable assessment of increase of mEH-like activity in cytosol than cis-stilbene oxide. Activities are expressed per gram of liver to facilitate comparison between the two cell fractions. It is readily apparent that the majority of the activity of cEH (ratio of cytosol to microsomes 250 and 170) and cGST (ratio of cytosol to microsomes 2540 and 1450) is present in the hepatic cytosol.
TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TSO pH 7.4</th>
<th>CSO and GSH pH 7.4</th>
<th>CSO pH 9.0</th>
<th>BPO pH 9.0</th>
<th>BPO/CSO pH 9.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control cytosol</td>
<td></td>
<td>246 ± 30</td>
<td>1500 ± 160</td>
<td>44 ± 5</td>
<td>3.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(37)⁶</td>
<td>(91)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clofibrate cytosol</td>
<td></td>
<td>636 ± 71c</td>
<td>2910 ± 570c</td>
<td>110 ± 10c</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9c</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(91)</td>
<td>(91)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control microsomes</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.03</td>
<td>38 ± 6</td>
<td>28.1 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clofibrate microsomes</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.40c</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.40c</td>
<td>87 ± 13c</td>
<td>65.2 ± 9.2c</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(87)</td>
<td>(87)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁶Significantly different from the respective control cell fraction, p < 0.05.

A major contribution to hydrolysis of cis-stilbene oxide, apparently not attributable to cEH, was located in the cytosol. The hydrolysis of benzo[a]pyrene-4,5-oxide at pH 9.0 was predominantly in the microsomes. The ratio of cytosol to microsomal activity was 0.13 and 0.24 for control and clofibrate-fed mice, respectively. These data also demonstrate that the mEH-like activity in the mouse hepatic cytosol has a different ratio of cis-stilbene oxide to benzo[a]pyrene-4,5-oxide hydrolysis (0.10 and 0.18 for control and clofibrate fed) than the activity in microsomes (0.73 and 0.75 for control and clofibrate fed). The presence of benzo[a]pyrene-4,5-oxide hydrolysis in the cytosol suggests that activity other than cEH is located in the cytosol. It is, however, not completely identical to mEH activity in the microsomes.

Dose Response in Male and Female Mice

Sex-dependent differences in the activities of mEH and cGST are well established (Fig. 1) (Oesch, 1972; Hammock et al., 1980; Jakoby, 1978) and sex dependence of the dose response of peroxisome proliferation
and induction of peroxisome-associated enzymes to clofibrate treatment have been described (Svoboda et al., 1969; Reddy and Kumar, 1979). The dose response of cEH, mEH, and cGST activities to clofibrate has therefore been studied in both sexes of mice.

Inclusion of different doses of clofibrate in the diets of male and female mice for 14 days resulted in dose-dependent increases in liver weight and microsomal protein in both sexes and in cytosolic protein in male mice. Inclusion of 2% clofibrate in the diet appeared to be toxic as it resulted in decreases in body weight of male and female mice to 78 and 68% of control, respectively, while no significant change in body weight occurred with lower doses (data not shown). The response of cEH, mEH, and cGST activities was dependent upon dose and sex (Figs. 2A and B). While mEH activity in male and female mice and cGST activity in male mice showed incremental increases with successively higher doses up to 2.0%, the maximal increase in cEH activity occurred at lower doses of 0.5 and 1.0% in male and female mice, respectively. In female mice cGST activity was decreased by doses of clofibrate greater or equal to 0.25% (Fig. 2B). When the difference in activities between control males and females are noted, it is of interest that the maximal alterations of cEH and cGST activities resulted in essentially identical specific activity in both sexes of 14 nmol/min/mg protein for cEH and 88 nmol/min/mg protein for cGST. The ratio of female to male mEH activity also increases from 0.64 in control mice to 0.85 after treatment with the various doses of clofibrate.

**Time Course of Increase and Reversion of Activities**

The time course of clofibrate-mediated changes and subsequent response to withdrawal of clofibrate from the diet was determined in male mice fed diets containing 0.5% clofibrate (Fig. 3). Liver weight, micro-

![Fig. 2. Dose response to clofibrate in male and female mice. Clofibrate was mixed into diets at the concentrations indicated and fed to male and female mice for 14 days. Livers were removed from (A) male and (B) female mice, cytosolic and microsomal fractions prepared, and cEH (C), mEH (D), and cGST (Δ) activities determined. Values are the mean of three to four individual mice expressed as percentage of three to four control mice killed at the same time.](image)

![Fig. 3. Time course of mEH activity in mice after feeding diets containing 0.5% clofibrate (solid line), 0.25% clofibrate (Δ) activities were measured in cell fractions. In one set of experiments, the effect of withdrawal to 0.25% clofibrate on the time course of mEH activity is shown.](image)
and female mice, respectively. The activity of cGST activity was increased by a diet of clofibrate greater or equal to 2B. When the difference in female and control males and females is of interest that the activity data of cEH and cGST activities differ substantially between the sexes (Fig. 2B). Specifically, a diet of 14 mmol/min/mg of female and 68 mmol/min/mg protein of male mEH activity in control mice from 0.64 in control mice to levels of 0.66 with treatment with the various activators.

Increase and Reversion of cEH Activity

The time course of clofibrate-mediated increase in cEH activity after 7 days to 10 days from the diet was determined. Male mice fed diets containing 0% clofibrate were used (Fig. 3). Liver weight, microsomal protein, and cytosolic protein reached their maximal elevations at 10, 5, and 14 days of treatment, respectively, and returned to control values within 7 days of withdrawal of clofibrate from the diet (data not shown). The maximal increase of cEH activity occurred within 5 days and thereafter remained constant for up to 21 days of treatment. Removal of clofibrate from the diet after 14 days resulted in a rapid decrease in activity, with a return to control values within 7 days after withdrawal. The activity of mEH increased within 1 day to 155% of controls and then further increased with additional treatment to 190% of controls at 14 days of treatment. Within 7 days after withdrawal of clofibrate from the diet, mEH activity was reduced to 120% of control values. cGST activity increased progressively with time on the diet reaching a maximum of 160% of control at 14 to 21 days. Following withdrawal of clofibrate, cGST activity increased initially and then declined toward control values to 125% of controls at 7 days after withdrawal (Fig. 3).

Distribution of Activities between Particulate and Soluble Cell Fractions

The primary localization of activities of cEH and cGST in cytosol and of mEH in the endoplasmic reticulum of hepatic cells of control animals is well established. Numerous reports are available, however, of minor activities of these enzymes in other cell compartments. cEH activity has been described in mitochondrial (Gill and Hammock, 1981), microsomal (Guenthner and Oesch, 1983), and peroxisomal (Waeber et al., 1983) fractions; mEH activity has been described in nuclei, golgi, plasma membrane (Dansette et al., 1979; Stasiecki et al., 1980), and cytosol (Griffin and Noda, 1980; Wang et al., 1982; Gill et al., 1983b), and cGST activity in microsomes (Morgenstern et al., 1980) and mitochondria (Wahlander et al., 1979; Stasiecki et al., 1980). The determination of the exact subcellular localization of all three of these enzymes in control and induced animals is of considerable interest, but beyond the scope of this initial study. For the purposes of this study, we were interested in testing whether the subcellular distribution of the three enzyme activities involved in epoxide metabolism was differentially affected by clofibrate treatment. Homogenates of livers from control and clofibrate-treated mice were separated into five fractions by differential centrifugation. The distribution of cEH, mEH, and cGST activities from control mice was consistent with the primary localizations of the enzymes in cytosol, microsomes, and cytosol, respectively. The polydispersity of the enzymes also is apparent, albeit not well defined, from these studies (Fig. 4). In mice
treated with clofibrate, the distribution of cEH activity was altered noticeably, with decreased relative specific activities in all of the particulate fractions. The distribution of mEH and cGST activities was not as markedly altered, but the relative specific activities of both enzymes in the soluble fraction were increased (Fig. 4).

The differential recovery of the enzymes in soluble vs particulate fractions from control and clofibrate-treated, fasted, or nonfasted mice was examined in greater detail (Table 2). The relative increases in activities of cEH, mEH, and cGST were similar in fasted and nonfasted mice (data not shown). Expression of the recovery in the cytosol as a percentage of total recovery revealed that 42 to 45% of the protein was recovered in this fraction, and this recovery was not altered by clofibrate treatment with or without prior fasting. In a

FIG. 4. Effect of clofibrate on the subcellular distribution of cEH, mEH, and cGST. Male mice were fed 0.5% clofibrate for 14 days and fasted overnight. Tissue was fractionated by differential centrifugation and enzymes were assayed as described under Methods. The abscissa represents cumulative percentage protein, and the ordinate, percentage of enzyme activity over percentage of protein (relative specific activity), as suggested by de Duve et al. (1955). Values are the ± of four individual mice.

The increase in the recovery of cytosolic enzyme activities and the physiological role of peroxisomes in lipemia and carboxylic acid metabolism are well established (Hammock and Moore, 1984) and are the subject of this study. The recovery of cytosolic enzyme activities was not altered by clofibrate treatment with or without prior fasting. In a similar fashion, the recovery of liver mitochondrial marker enzymes, regardless of treatment, was not affected by clofibrate treatment with or without fasting. The recovery of liver mitochondrial marker enzymes was not affected by clofibrate treatment with or without fasting. The recovery of liver mitochondrial marker enzymes was not affected by clofibrate treatment with or without fasting. The recovery of liver mitochondrial marker enzymes was not affected by clofibrate treatment with or without fasting.
similar fashion the recovery of cGST activity in the cytosol was consistent (97.4 to 98.0%) regardless of treatment or availability of feed. The recovery of cEH activity in the cytosol was not affected by fasting, but increased from 65 to 68% in control mice to 83 to 85% in clofibrate-treated mice. The recovery of mEH-like activity in the cytosol was lower in the fasted mice, but increased in either situation after clofibrate treatment (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The increases in activity of epoxide metabolizing enzymes may prove useful in studying the physiological responses associated with peroxisome proliferation including hypolipidemia and carcinogenesis. Conversely, the chemical-mediated induction of enzymes has proven useful in elucidating their biological roles and in providing an enriched source for studies on their physical and chemical characteristics. The recent discovery that cEH activity was increased by four compounds also known to induce hepatic peroxisomes (Hammock and Ota, 1983; Waechter et al., 1984) has now provided a model system to study the increase in cEH activity.

Differential responses in the dose response and time response of increases in cEH, mEH, and cGST activities were seen. cEH activity was maximally increased at a lower dose while mEH and cGST activities (male mice only) were incrementally increased up to the largest dose tested. In addition, the rates of increase with treatment and subsequent reversion of enzyme activity after withdrawal were different. Half-lives for the rate of reversion of increased enzyme activities calculated as suggested by Haining (1971) were 0.70, 4.20, 1.61, 2.35, 2.18, and 2.35 days for cEH, cGST, cytosolic protein, mEH, microsomal protein, and relative liver weight, respectively. The similar rates of reversion for mEH, microsomal protein, and relative liver weight are noteworthy, as are the distinctly different rates of cEH, cGST, and mEH. As these latter two enzyme activities also are increased by numerous other drugs, it is possible that a discrete mechanism of response exists between cEH on one hand and mEH and cGST on the other hand. Lalwani et al. (1983) have shown that nafenopin, a potent peroxisome proliferator, binds to cytosolic protein in rats and suggest from this preliminary evidence that peroxisome proliferation is mediated by a cytosolic receptor. One might speculate that cEH, but not mEH and cGST, is also under the control of this putative cytosolic receptor.
The subcellular distribution of cEH, mEH, and CGST activity is characterized by primary localization within either the cytosol or microsomes, as well as minor localization within several other compartments of the cell. Our initial studies with “conventional” cytosolic and microsomal fractions indicated that increase of cEH, mEH, and CGST activity following treatment with clofibrate clearly occurred in the major cell fraction, as well as within a minor cell fraction. Additional studies using differential centrifugation further indicated that the increase of both mEH and cEH activities was associated with a shift of relative specific activity from particulate to soluble fractions. Hydrolysis of cis-stilbene oxide and benzo[a]pyrene-4,5-oxide occurred in cytosol from both control and clofibrate-treated mice. Based on previous reports (Oesch and Golan, 1980; Guenther et al., 1981; Wang et al., 1982), cEH has an extremely low activity toward benzo[a]pyrene-4,5-oxide. It therefore can be concluded that clofibrate does increase the activity of an “mEH-like” enzyme in cytosol. The difference between microsomes and cytosol in their ratio of hydrolysis of cis-stilbene oxide to benzo[a]pyrene-4,5-oxide suggests that this enzymatic activity may also be different from mEH. This speculation deserves more rigorous study.

While the predominance of activity characteristic of cEH is indisputably in the cytosol, the present study on the distribution of cEH activity after differential centrifugation of control mouse liver agrees with the previous studies (Gill and Hammock, 1981; Guenther and Oesch, 1983; Waechter et al., 1983) which clearly indicate a particulate localization of cEH. The high relative specific activity of cEH in the light mitochondrial fraction and the increase in activity of cEH by peroxisome proliferators (Hammock and Ota, 1983; Waechter et al., 1984) presents an intellectually attractive argument for the increase of cEH in peroxisomes. However, the relative specific activity of cEH in the light mitochondria fraction is actually decreased after treatment with the peroxisome proliferator clofibrate. A similar response to peroxisome proliferators is seen with the peroxisomal enzyme catalase which may arise from breakage of peroxisomes (Leighton et al., 1975; Moody and Reddy, 1976) or from true localization of portions of catalase in the cytosol (Jones and Masters, 1978). Potential induction of cEH in peroxisomes may similarly be difficult to resolve.

The CGST activity measured in this study was limited to the conjugation of cis-stilbene oxide for comparison with the substrates used for hydrolysis. Measurement with a single substrate would not distinguish the multiple isozymes of CGST in mouse liver (Lee et al., 1981). However, our previously described decrease in conjugation of 1,2-dichloro-4-nitrobenzene in livers of male mice fed clofibrate (Hammock and Ota, 1983) and the sex dependence of the response of cis-stilbene oxide conjugation described in this report suggest that the response of mouse liver CGST to clofibrate may be isoenzyme dependent. No evidence for an isoenzyme-dependent response of cEH or mEH to clofibrate treatment is evident from these or previous studies.

The increase of cEH activity by clofibrate and other peroxisome proliferators is limited so far to this class of compounds (Hammock and Ota, 1983; Waechter et al., 1984). While the increase of cEH activity does appear to be coupled with the proliferation of peroxisomes, the increases in mEH and CGST activities in this study and following treatment with several other xenobiotics may be coupled with the proliferation of the smooth endoplasmic reticulum and cytochrome P-450-dependent mixed-function oxidase (Oesch, 1972) which also occur along with peroxisome proliferation (Moody and Reddy, 1976; Orton and Parker, 1982). The mechanisms by which the activities of the epoxide metabolizing enzymes increase have not been fully elucidated. Whether induction or activation of the enzymes occurs is not even known, but their association with other cellular responses, peroxisome proliferator cytochrome P-450, physiological role of these epoxide metabolizing enzymes such as the cytochrome peroxisomal oxidases involved in producing tetrahydrobiopterin which could in turn may speculate that the activity of mEH, CGST, and TGST is due to protective mechanism.
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