

RECEIVED FEB 12 1988



# GRAPE NOTES

## Tulare County

FEBRUARY 1988

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \*  
 \* FEBRUARY 18, 1988: TABLE GRAPE SEMINAR, \*  
 \* DINUBA MEMORIAL HALL, \*  
 \* PROGRAM ENCLOSED. \*  
 \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

### MANURE AS A VINEYARD FERTILIZER

Manure is an excellent vineyard fertilizer containing nitrogen, potassium and other nutrients. It also adds organic matter to the soil which can improve soil structure, aeration, water infiltration, and soil moisture-holding capacity.

To determine how much manure is needed, the nutrient content and the rate nitrogen is mineralized (become available for vine uptake) needs to be known. Actual nutrient content of manures varies depending on source, moisture content, storage, and handling methods. The following table gives general information of percent moisture, nitrogen (N) and potassium (K) content in various manures and grape pomace.

#### NUTRIENT CONTENT

This table gives some reported values of nitrogen and potassium in manures and grape pomace:

	%	Approximate composition	
		lbs. per ton	
	moisture	Nitrogen	Potassium
-----			
Fresh manure with normal quantity of bedding or litter.			
Dairy	86	11	10
Hog	87	11	9
Horse	80	13	10
Sheep	68	20	8
Steer, feedlot	75	12	11
Hen	73	22	10
Turkey	74	26	10
Dried commercial products:			
Dairy	16	18	31
Hog	10	45	20
Horse	8	14	10
Sheep	9	27	41
Steer, feedlot	15	41	38
Poultry (droppings)	8	83	31
(with litter)	13	41	23
Grape Pomace (wet)	65	15	7
(dry)	10	38	16

Adapted from Western Fertilizer Handbook, 5th Edition and Fresno County grape pomace analysis survey, 1965 and 1966.

-OVER-  
 In accordance with applicable Federal laws and University policy, the University of California does not discriminate in any of its policies, procedures or practices on the basis of race, religion, color, national origin, citizenship, sex, marital status, sexual orientation, age, veteran status, medical condition (as defined in Section 12926 of the California Government Code), or handicap. Inquiries regarding this policy may be directed to the Personnel Studies and Affirmative Action Manager, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2120 University Avenue, Berkeley, California 94720, (415) 844-4270.

The nutrient content of manure listed in the table should be used as a general guideline when determining rates of application, keeping in mind the wide variability that exists among samples. Also, application rates must take into account mineralization or the rate of release of N as the manure decomposes (see decay series).

Common reasons for the variability of the nitrogen content in manure include type of animal and feed ration, amount of litter, bedding, or soil included, and amount of urine concentrated with the manure.

Water content is another major reason for nutrient content variations and should always be considered when buying manure on a per-ton basis. Fresh manures generally contain 70% to 85% water. Air-dried manures will always retain some moisture--typically around 10% to 15%. As manure dries, the nutrients not only concentrate on a weight basis, but also on a volume basis due to structural changes (settling) of the manure.

For example a survey of 40 poultry manure sources showed the following comparisons.

	% water	lbs water per ton	cubic yards manure per ton	% nitrogen by weight	lbs nitrogen per ton	lbs nitrogen per cu. yd.
<b>Dry</b>	18	360	2.5	3.2	64	26
<b>Moist</b>	45	900	2.0	2.2	44	22
<b>Wet</b>	63	1260	1.6	1.3	26	16

Adapted from Bell (1971).

In the above example, the moisture content influences nutrient content on a weight (ton) basis more than on a volume (cubic yard) basis. Thus, nutrient content differences will be smaller when purchasing manure of varying moisture on a cubic yard rather than a per-ton basis.

Generally, dry manure contains 2 to 3 cubic yards per ton; 2.5 cubic yards per ton is a typical figure used for dry poultry and steer manures but must be adjusted with higher moisture contents.

### HANDLING MANURE

This can greatly alter the value of manure, particularly its nitrogen content. Nitrogen is present in manure in a variety of forms, most of which gradually converts to ammonium and nitrate nitrogen.

The ammonium form can be lost to the air and the nitrates leached by rainfall. Ammonium losses can be minimized by not stockpiling manure while it is moist, minimizing its handling, and discing it under immediately after spreading. Such effects are demonstrated in the following chart:

## Effects of Fermentation and Leaching on Fertilizer Value of Chicken Manure

Manure source	History	Nutrient composition	
		Nitrogen	Potassium
Droppings	Prompt drying	4.2	2.5
Center of moist stockpile	Fermentation and loss of nitrogen as ammonia	2.1	2.5
Outside of stockpile	Leaching by rain and fermentation loss	1.8	1.6

From Rackman et al. (1965)

Some ammonia can be lost to the air each time manure is moved or hauled. This loss can be very high when spreading manure, especially during warm, dry weather. Here, at least 50% of the ammonium nitrogen can be lost within 12 hours. Studies have also shown that by one week after spreading almost 100% of the ammonium nitrogen can be lost. This loss can represent up to 50% of the total nitrogen available in stockpiled, fermented manure.

Thus, the importance of discing in manure immediately after spreading is obvious.

### NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY AND MANURE APPLICATION

Manure is noted as a complete source of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. However, nitrogen is the main nutrient of concern for vines.

Phosphorus should not be included in the manure's value for grapevines as deficiency has not been documented in our vineyards.

Potassium deficiency is usually quite localized within a vineyard and would not be corrected with common rates of manure. However, some improvement might be expected with high rates above 10 tons per acre. The high rates needed to correct a potassium (K) deficiency would supply an excess amount of nitrogen, and this should be avoided..

### Rates of Manure for Nitrogen Needs

The nitrogen compounds in manure are eventually converted to the available nitrate form. Nitrate is soluble and is moved into the root zone with water. It is the same form ultimately available to vines from commercial nitrogen fertilizers.

However, the release of available nitrogen from the complex organic compounds during manure decomposition is very gradual. This slow release of nitrogen is manure's most important asset. It extends nitrogen availability and reduces leaching--of particular importance in sandy soils.

**"Decay series" of nitrogen availability.** The nitrogen carry-over from previous years of manuring should always be taken into account in fertilizer programs. This can be done by using a "decay series." This is an estimate of the annual release of nitrogen from manure.

The idea is to first apply enough manure to meet the first year's need of available nitrogen. Decreasing amounts are then applied in following years because of the carry-over organic nitrogen.

If the same rate of manure is applied each year, it is possible for a vineyard originally low in nitrogen to accumulate unnecessarily high levels in successive years.

The calculations of this "decay series" can be complicated and have not been completely evaluated under field conditions. However, it provides a general idea how to adjust for carry-over nitrogen in manuring.

The nitrogen in poultry manure is released fastest, as most is in the urea or uric acid form, with 90% of nitrogen released in the first year.

Fresh dairy manure is higher in organic matter and contains about 50% urea or uric acid, providing a somewhat slower release rate, with 75% of total nitrogen released the first year.

An even more gradual nitrogen release can be expected from dry feedlot steer manure, with only 35% of the total nitrogen released the first year.

The following example gives the rates of three manure sources needed to maintain the equivalent rate of 50 lbs nitrogen per acre annually up to 5 years. This is adapted from a "decay series" published by Pratt et al. (1973).

Manure source	Nitrogen content %	% of Nitrogen released in 1st year	time, years				
			1	2	3	4	5
Tons manure/acre required to release 50 lbs of Nitrogen each year.							
chicken (dry)	3.0	90	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
dairy (fresh)	0.7	75	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
<u>feedlot, steer (dry)</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>4.8</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>2.9</u>

Based on "decay series" of: chicken--.90, .10, .05; dairy--.75, .15, .10, .05; and feedlot--.35, .15, .10, .05.

These figures demonstrate the need to adjust rates with time among the various manure sources, especially feedlot manure with its more gradual nitrogen release.

## OTHER BENEFITS OF MANURE

The use of manure helps to maintain the organic matter content of the soil which may improve soil structure and water infiltration. However, manure is quickly decomposed under warm, moist soil conditions. With the manure rates used in vineyards organic matter content in soil is only temporarily increased.

## SUMMARY

The principal value of manure is its extended availability of nitrogen--of particular value in the more readily leached sandy soils. Manure is also helpful in improving soil fertility in cut areas from land leveling.

Nutrient content and rate of availability varies widely, depending mostly on manure source, handling methods, and water content. Promptly-dried manure with the least handling and then disced in immediately after spreading will retain the most nitrogen. A laboratory analysis of the manure for nitrogen content is useful. Be sure to take an accurate sample of the manure (requires a composite of many samples throughout the pile).

Generally, poultry manure is highest in nitrogen content, followed by hog, steer, sheep, dairy, and horse manure. Feedlot, steer manure requires fairly high rates to meet first-year nitrogen requirements because of its gradual nitrogen release characteristics.

However, this feature provides for more continued nitrogen availability in succeeding years, allowing for progressively lower annual application rates to meet vine requirements.

Faster nitrogen-release sources, such as poultry manure, require more constant annual rates to maintain nitrogen availability.

The possible advantages of organic matter content and disadvantages of weed seed and salt content should be considered in using manure.

## POSSIBLE DISADVANTAGES

**Weeds...**Weed seeds are common in some manures. They may enter the animal with its feed and then pass through the digestive tract, still viable; they may come with the litter used or be blown into the feed yard.

Poultry droppings typically have fewer weed seeds as few seeds can survive their digestive processes. However, other animal manures may contain numerous viable weed seeds if the original feeds have been contaminated. Compositing and stock-piling manures can reduce the number of viable weed seeds.

**Salts...**Manures commonly contain 4 to 5% soluble salts (dry weight basis) and may run as high as 10%. To illustrate, an application of 5 tons of manure containing 5% salt would add 500 lbs of salt.

Normally, irrigation and rain water will sufficiently leach well-drained soils to prevent damaging salt accumulations. However, one should be cautious with poorly

drained soils, soils with existing salinity problems, or unusually high application rates, especially when concentrated near young vines.

**Induced zinc deficiency**...Zinc deficiency can be induced or increased with repeated high rates of manure, especially on sandy soils.

The increased soil phosphorus from manuring apparently increases zinc fixation. Poultry manures, with their higher phosphorus, have been implicated more than other sources. However, this is not a common problem because only continued high rates are typically involved.

Moderate or infrequent applications do not normally present a zinc problem. However, growers should be aware of the potential problem, especially with soils and varieties of known susceptibility to zinc deficiency.

#### **REFERENCES**

**Azevedo, J. and P. R. Stout.** Farm animal manures: an overview of their role in the agricultural environment. Univ. of Calif. College of Agriculture Manual 44 (1974).

**Bell, D.** Chicken manure as a fertilizer. Univ. of Calif. Cooperative Extension, Riverside County bulletin (1971).

**California Fertilizer Association.** Western Fertilizer Handbook, 5th edition. Sacramento, CA (1975).

**Christensen, L. P., A. N. Kasimatis, and F. L. Jensen.** Grapevine nutrition and fertilization in the San Joaquin Valley. Univ. of Calif. Div. of Agric. Sciences publication 4087 (1978).

**Pratt, P. F., F. E. Broadbent, and J. P. Martin.** Using organic wastes as nitrogen fertilizers. California Agriculture, June 1972.

**Rackman, R. L., N. C. Welch, and W. D. Shupe.** Poultry manure for crop fertilization. Univ. of Calif. Cooperative Extension, San Bernardino County bulletin (1965).

-----  
The above article was prepared by:

**Pete Christensen**, Viticulture Specialist, Kearney Agricultural Center, and  
**Bill Peacock**, Tulare County Farm Advisor

\* \* \* \* \*

Sincerely,



William L. Peacock  
Farm Advisor  
(209) 733-6458

vj/865c