**2023 California 4-H State Fashion Revue - Consumer Science Purchased Scorecard - $50 Limit**

Name Placing Medalist: 25-28 State Winner

County Blue: 18-24

Division: Junior: 9-10 Intermediate:11-13 Senior: 14-19 Red: 11-17

White: 7-10

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Excellent**  **4** | **Very Good**  **3** | **Satisfactory**  **2** | **Needs Improvement**  **1** | **Score** |
| **EXHIBITOR** | | | | | |
| **Self Esteem, Poise, & Posture** | Confident & self-assured, models outfit to its best advantage; great use of gestures, eye contact, and facial expressions. | Good confidence, poise, posture, gestures, expressions, and eye contact. | Presents outfit with limited enthusiasm, poise, eye contact, gestures, or expressions. | Appears awkward or uncomfortable when presenting outfit. Lack of gestures, eye contact, and facial expressions. |  |
| **Personal Appearance & Grooming** | Neat, spotless, clean, well-groomed, well-pressed appearance. | Well groomed, neat appearance. | Minor problems with grooming; shoes or pressing need attention. | Appearance and grooming need more attention. Outfit shows wear. |  |
| **Verbal & Written Communication** | Thorough knowledge of fabric, fiber content, cost vs. value, and care. | Able to answer questions about care, fabric content, and cost vs. value. | Basic knowledge of care and cost vs. value. | Difficulty giving complete answers or providing answers to some questions. |  |
| **GARMENT(S)** | | | | | |
| **Shopability & Versatility** | Outfit pieces add excellent functionality and versatility to wardrobe. Multiple shopping sources used. Learned new purchasing skills and gained new attitudes through the shopping experience. | Outfit pieces add some functionality and versatility to existing wardrobe. Learned new consumer skills. | Bought outfit pieces without much concern for functionality; adds limited versatility to wardrobe. New consumer skills not obvious without questioning. | No new skills and limited shopping experience indicated. Outfit pieces do not add functionality and versatility to existing wardrobe. |  |
| **Cost vs. Value** | Great quality for money invested. Value of items far exceeds the cost paid. Cost and time for care are not excessive. | Good quality for money invested. Value is higher than cost. Cost and time for care are reasonable. | Average quality for money invested. Value is slightly higher than cost. Cost and time for care were not considered. | Poor quality for money invested. Value is equal to or lower than cost. Cost and time for care exceeds value of garment. |  |
| **Fit** | Fit enhances personal attributes. A great fit of neck, shoulder, sleeves, waist, crotch, and side seams. | Well sized for style and body type; allows adequate ease of movement. | Minor adjustments needed in fit of entry. | Entry has fit defects that detract from overall appearance. |  |
| **Coordinated total look** | Smart, well put together look. All aspects complement the model. Accessories enhance overall look. | Fabric, color and design complement the model. Accessories relate well to look of outfit. | Suitable for model; accessories add little interest to outfit. | Looks incomplete; design elements are not cohesive. |  |
| **EVALUATOR: TOTAL POINTS** | | | | |  |

Comments: What was especially good about this entry and what can be done to improve it?