Pre-Plant Weed Management followed by Mechanical or Chemical Control Improves Alfalfa Stand and Yield

Sarah Light, Agronomy Advisor, Sutter, Yuba, and Colusa Counties, UC Cooperative Extension

Background:

Good stand establishment is important for alfalfa production and can impact crop productivity for years. Weed competition during stand establishment may be irreversible because it impedes root growth, results in thinner alfalfa stands, and can lower forage quality. This project evaluated the efficacy of pre-plant weed control in alfalfa using mechanical cultivation or Glyphosate spray with the goal of providing both organic and conventional growers with regionally relevant information about an integrated weed management tool for improved stand establishment.

Methods

Experimental Design:

Table 1. Experimental	Table 1. Experimental treatments		
Treatment number	Pre-plant treatment	In-season treatment	Herbicide rate(s)
1	None	None	N/A
2	Tillage	None	N/A
3	Glyphosate	None	3 pt/acre
4	None	Raptor	6 fl oz/acre
5	Tillage	Raptor	6 fl oz/acre
6	Glyphosate	Raptor	3 pt/acre + 6 fl oz/acre

Each treatment was replicated three times in a split plot randomized complete block design. Main plots were pre-plant treatment (no pre-plant treatment, tillage, or Glyphosate) and subplots were in-season treatment (no treatment or Raptor application in-season). Sub-plots were not replicated within a block.

Weeds were germinated with winter rains. Pre-plant Glyphosate was sprayed on plots on 1/31/20 at a rate of 3 pints Glyphosate/acre. Mechanical cultivation (tillage treatments) were implemented on 2/11/20, once the soil was dry enough. This cultivation was very shallow, in the top few inches of the soil, to avoid bringing new weed seeds to the soil surface.

Alfalfa seed was flown on the field on 3/4/20 and the field was then ring-rolled to cover seed and get good seed to soil contact. Field was then irrigated up a week later. In season weeds were controlled with a tank mix of Raptor (Imazamox Ammonium Salt) at 6 fl oz per acre and Buctril (Bromoxnil) on 4/25/20.

Data Collected:

Baseline weed counts were taken on 1/29/20 from all plots before treatment implementation but after weed germination. Individual broadleaf weeds and grasses + sedges were counted in

three random 20x20 cm quadrats per plot. Plants were counted on this date because weeds and alfalfa plants were small and percent cover would not have captured potential differences.

Weed counts were taken three times between planting and first cutting from all plots. In season weed counts were taken as percent cover, in which the area of the quadrat was broken up in percent covered with broadleaves, grasses + sedges, bare soil, and alfalfa. On 4/9/20 and 5/14/20 weed counts were taken in three random 20x20 cm quadrats per plot and on 6/8/20 percent cover was observed in 3 random square meter quadrats per plot (Table 2 and Table 3). The larger quadrat was used for percent cover on 6/8/20 because alfalfa and weeds were tall at this time and the meter by meter square allowed for more accurate representation of each plot.

Plots were hand harvested on 6/8/20 prior to first cutting, which occurred on 6/10/20. Two square meter areas of each plot, which were representative of the larger plot, were cut. Yield biomass was separated into weeds and alfalfa, dried, weighed separately, and then converted up to a pounds dry matter/acre basis (Table 4).

Finally, on 6/23/20 following first cutting, alfalfa stand counts were taken in all plots by counting the number of alfalfa plants in three 20x20 cm quadrats (Table 5).

Results:

Baseline weed count (1/29/20) collected before treatment implementation.

The average count for grasses + sedges for all plots was zero at this count. For broadleaves, there were no significant differences by treatment but there were significantly more weeds in the side of the field with no in-season control compared to the side where Raptor was applied in-season.

Weed counts:

4/9/20 (Data not shown):

Grasses + sedges: There were not many grasses or sedges in the field.

Broadleaves: There were significantly less broadleaves in the plots that had pre-plant weed control (Glyphosate or tillage).

Alfalfa: Alfalfa plants were small at this counting date however, there were significant treatment differences with the pre-plant weed control treatments having more alfalfa than the control.

5/14/20 (Data not shown):

Grasses + sedges: There were not many grasses or sedges in the field.

Broadleaves: There were significantly less broadleaves in the plots that had pre-plant weed control (Glyphosate or tillage) and in the plots that had Raptor applied in-season.

Alfalfa: There was significantly more alfalfa in the plots that had pre-plant weed control (Glyphosate or tillage) and in the plots that had an in-season herbicide.

6/8/20 (at first cutting):

Grasses + sedges: There were not many grasses or sedges in the field and no significant differences by treatment. There were more grasses in the side of the field with no in-season herbicide application.

Broadleaves: There were significantly less broadleaves in the plots that had pre-plant weed control (Glyphosate or tillage) and in the plots that had Raptor applied in-season.

Table 2. Percent cover of broadleaves between treatments. Data reported as average percent per treatment ± standard error.

as a sea 80 become because a care a c		
Treatment	Raptor In-season	No In-season control
No pre-plant treatment	94 ± 2.9	99 ± 1.3
Glyphosate pre-plant	5 ± 4.1	64 ± 10.6
Tillage pre-plant	4 ± .69	70 ± 6.8

Alfalfa: There was significantly more alfalfa in the plots that had pre-plant weed control (Glyphosate or tillage) and in the plots that had an in-season herbicide.

Table 3. Percent cover of alfalfa between treatments. Data reported as		
average percent per treatment ± standard error.		

	O - F F		
Treatment	Raptor In-season	No In-season control	
No pre-plant treatment	2 ± 1.2	0 ± 0.22	
Glyphosate pre-plant	94 ± 3.6	29 ± 10.8	
Tillage pre-plant	96 ± .70	23 ± 7.0	

Alfalfa Yield: Yields are reported in pounds per acre as 100% dry weight. This yield data is only for the first cutting of the stand, not for the full first year of production. There were significant differences in alfalfa yield between pre-plant treatments and plots that had no pre-plant weed control. Both the Glyphosate and tillage pre-plant treatments increased yields. In addition, the Raptor spray significantly increased yields compared to plots without in-season control.

Table 4. Alfalfa dry matter yield. Data reported in lb/acre per treatment ±		
standard error.		
Treatment	Raptor In-season	No In-season control
No pre-plant treatment	53 ± 38.1	10 ± 2.4
Glyphosate pre-plant	3845 ± 163.6	1956 ± 332.3
Tillage pre-plant	3258 ± 233.4	1457 ± 388.9

Biomass was separated into alfalfa (above) and weeds after plots were hand-harvested. Then alfalfa and weeds were weighed separately by plot. There were significantly more weeds, by weight, in the side of the field that did not get the herbicide spray in season compared to the side that did get an herbicide spray. However, within one side of the field (Raptor or not), there were not significant differences by pre-plant treatment. In other words, even though there was more alfalfa in the plots with pre-plant weed control, there were also more weeds. The photos below, taken at harvest show how heavy the weed pressure was even in plots with Glyphosate and tillage pre-plant that did not have in season herbicide application.



Left: close up of a plot with Glyphosate pre-plant plus in-season Raptor. Right: close up of a plot with Glyphosate pre-plant but no in-season herbicide.

Below are broad views of the same plots.



Alfalfa Stand After 1st cutting:

This is the number of alfalfa plants in a 20cm² quadrant after first cutting. There were significant differences in the alfalfa stand after first cutting. With regard to pre-plant treatments, both Glyphosate spray and tillage pre-plant significantly increased alfalfa stand compared to the plots with no pre-plant treatment.

When comparing plots with the same pre-plant treatments with or without in-season herbicide spray, plots that were tilled pre-plant did not have significantly different stand counts regardless of in-season herbicide treatment. However, within the plots that were sprayed with Glyphosate pre-plant, those that also were sprayed with Raptor in-season had significantly higher alfalfa stand counts than those that without in-season control.

Table 5. Alfalfa plants/quadrat Data reported per treatment ± standard		
error.		
Treatment	Raptor In-season	No In-season control
No pre-plant treatment	1 ± .22	0 ± .22
Glyphosate pre-plant	18 ± 1.7	12 ± 3.5
Tillage pre-plant	14 ± 2.0	11 ± 2.0



Example of count data taken after first cutting.

Project Summary:

The data shows that controlling weeds prior to planting, either with shallow tillage or an herbicide spray (Glyphosate) will reduce weed pressure, increase yields, and lead to a stronger alfalfa stand after first cutting. There were also differences between plots that got an in-season herbicide and those that did not. Yields were highest in plots that had both pre-plant weed control and an in-season herbicide. The plots with the highest stand counts after first cutting were also the plots that had both pre-plant and in-season weed control. However, the stand in the pre-plant treatment plots that did not have in-season herbicide application still had relatively high alfalfa stand counts after first cutting. This means that the alfalfa stand may be more robust for future cuttings, even if weed pressure was high initially. As shown in photos above, the alfalfa was robust in the understory of the canopy, even when broadleaf weeds were very large. By first cutting many broad leaf weeds had gone to flower so likely would not return after first cutting.

Ideally, both pre-plant and in-season weed control would be implemented to get highest yields, quality, and ensure animal safety. However, growers (particularly organic) may be able to do a pre-plant tillage to control weeds and establish a good alfalfa stand, have yield reduction and additional weed pressure leading up to first cutting, and then have a strong alfalfa stand for subsequent cuttings.

Acknowledgements:

Thank you to the California Alfalfa and Forage Association for funding this research. Thank you to River Garden Farms, and Dominic Bruno and Roger Cornwell, for collaborating on this project over two years.