# Site-specific management of soil pests in California strawberry production

Steve FennimoreUC Davis, Salinas, CAAlexander PutmanUC Riverside, Riverside, CAFrank Martin and Michael MatsonUSDA-ARS, Salinas, CAOleg Daugovish and Andre BiscaroUC Cooperative Extension, Ventura, CARachael Goodhue and Tom GordonUC Davis, Davis, CAForrest Melton and Lee JohnsonCSU Monterey Bay/NASA Ames, Mountain View, CAMichael StanghelliniTriCal, Hollister, CANathan DornFoodOrigins, Salinas, CAChris GreerUC Cooperative Extension, San Luis Obispo, CA

### Acknowledgements



#### **Grower Cooperators**

Matt Conroy and Dave Murray Andrew and Williamson

Henry Ito Ito Bros.

Jaime Lopez Mixtekz Berries

### Fumigation

- Often applied uniformly at the high label rate when perceived risk is high
  - Broadcast/flat
  - Drip
- Soilborne diseases usually occur in clusters or hot spots



### Clustered distribution of disease



How to provide knowledge to growers about the spatial distribution of disease?

#### Determine spatial distribution



Pathogen counts in soil

Amount of pathogen in soil is the biggest factor affecting disease severity



Determine spatial distribution



Pathogen counts in soil

Disease is likely to recur in the same area

Amount of pathogen in soil is the biggest factor affecting disease severity



#### **Determine spatial distribution**



Pathogen counts in soil



High-resolution yield

Disease is likely to recur in the same area

Amount of pathogen in soil is the biggest factor affecting disease severity Determine how yield is influenced by plant health, pathogen



#### Determine spatial distribution



#### Pathogen counts in soil



#### High-resolution yield







Pathogen counts in soil



**High-resolution yield** 

Can this information be used to reduce fumigation rate in low disease pressure areas without sacrificing yield?

#### **Determine spatial distribution**

#### Methods

- Treatments
  - Standard: broadcast/flat fumigation

– Precision: establish zones and apply fumigant at rate proportional to pressure

- Tri-Chlor (chloropicrin)
  250, 300, 350 lbs/acre
- Fields: ~10 acres
- Randomized complete block with 3 or 4 replications

### Study Locations

- Oxnard
  - Field A (2017-2018): Fall planting, history of Fusarium wilt and Macrophomina charcoal rot
  - Field B (2019-2020): Fall planting, history of Fusarium wilt
  - Field C (2019-2020): Summer planting, recent history of Macrophomina charcoal rot
- Salinas-Watsonville
  - 2018-2019: Fall planting, history of Verticillium wilt

## Timeline (fall, Oxnard)



Harvest

Field prep Bedding

## Mortality (previous crop)



#### Fumigant Rate Zones



### End of Season Mortality



Yield



Treatment — precision - - standard

### Economic Performance (per plot)

Treatment	Plots	Yield	Gross revenues	Net returns
Precision	1,4,5	4,709	\$53,751	\$47,475
Standard	2,3,6	4,580	\$51,750	\$44,939

- Partial budgeting analysis: only treatment costs considered
  - Precision treatment includes pathogen sampling costs
- Daily prices from USDA Agricultural Marketing Service

### Summary – Oxnard Field A (2017)

- Precision treatment: 6% higher net returns
  - First 4 months of yield
- Precision treatment used 15% less fumigant
- Low disease pressure
  - Mild weather
  - Fusarium-resistant cultivar planted during study season

### Watsonville (2018)

and the second

### Plant Health Imagery



Tracking Individual Plants

- Stand and mortality counts
- Plant health over time

